

When will the interest begin accruing and how long will it continue to accrue?

The interest on a reparation award will accrue from the date payment or remittance would have been due under the P&S Act. The interest will continue accruing at the same rate, compounded annually, until full payment is made.

For example, if an Order issued October 2, 2006, awarded \$800 for one transaction in which payment was due on June 30, 2006, then the Order would start interest accrual on the award as of June 30, 2006, and continue accruing the interest until the person subject to the Order makes full payment, including interest. The rate of interest used to calculate the accrual in this example would be 4.9 percent, since the weekly average 1-year constant maturity Treasury yield for the calendar week prior to October 2, 2006, reported by the Federal Reserve as of September 29, 2006, was 4.9 percent.

If the reparation involves more than one transaction, the interest on the reparation award will accrue from the date payment or remittance is due under the P&S Act for the last transaction on which the award is calculated. The interest will continue accruing at the same rate, compounded annually, until the person subject to the Order makes full payment.

For example, if an Order issued October 2, 2006, awarded \$1500 for three transactions in which payment was due on June 15, June 30, and July 15, 2006, respectively, the Order would start interest accrual on the award on July 15, 2006, and continue accruing the interest until full payment, including interest, is made. The rate of interest used to calculate the accrual in this instance would be 4.9 percent, since the weekly average 1-year constant maturity Treasury yield for the calendar week prior to October 2, 2006, reported by the Federal Reserve as of September 29, 2006, was 4.9 percent.

Beginning interest accrual when payment is due under the P&S Act accomplishes several goals. It consistently enforces the payment requirements of the P&S Act and regulations and it discourages violations of the P&S Act that are subject to the reparations process. It also encourages the parties to resolve complaints early in the reparations process, and compensates the injured party for delays in payment from the date payments were originally due.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 228.

James E. Link,

Administrator, Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-4095 Filed 3-6-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-KD-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Deemed Export Advisory Committee; Notice To Solicit Meeting Speakers and Presentations

The Deemed Export Advisory Committee (DEAC), which advises the Secretary of Commerce on deemed export licensing policy, will meet on May 2, 2007 from 8 a.m. to 12 p.m. The DEAC is a Federal Advisory Committee that was established under the auspices of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. app. 2. The meeting location will be Atlanta, GA, with exact details to be announced in a subsequent **Federal Register** Notice. At this time, the Department of Commerce, Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS), would like to solicit stakeholders from industry, academia and other backgrounds to address the DEAC members on May 2 in an open session on issues related to deemed exports and, in particular, their organizations' perspectives and concerns related to U.S. deemed export control policies. Stakeholders are those individuals or organizations who have some experience in or knowledge of export control regulations and policies, who must apply these rules in the course of normal business or whose operations are directly impacted by those export regulations and policies mandated by the U.S. government. BIS seeks to have an equal number of presenters from industry, academia, and other backgrounds. There may be up to three presenters from each group and speaking time may be limited to 10 minutes or less per speaker depending on the number of interested parties. Speakers may be selected on the basis of one or more of the following criteria (not in any order of importance): (1) Demonstrated experience in and knowledge of export control regulations; (2) demonstrated ability to provide DEAC members with relevant information related to deemed export policies and issues; (3) the degree to which the organization is impacted by the U.S. Government's export policies and regulations; and (4) industry area or academic type of institution represented. BIS reserves the right to limit the number of participants based

on time considerations. For planning purposes, BIS requests that (1) that interested parties inform BIS of their commitment, via e-mail or telephone call, to address the DEAC no later than 5 p.m. EST April 11, 2007, as well as provide a brief outline of the topics to be discussed by this same deadline; and (2) that once interested parties receive confirmation of their participation at the meeting, they provide either an electronic or paper copy of any prepared remarks/presentations no later than 5 p.m. EST April 25, 2007. Interested parties may contact Ms. Yvette Springer at *Yspringer@bis.doc.gov* or (202) 482-2813. The purpose of this solicitation is only to accept speakers for the May 2, 2007 DEAC meeting. However, all members of the public may submit written comments to BIS at any time for the DEAC's consideration.

Dated: March 1, 2007.

Yvette Springer,

Committee Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 07-1063 Filed 3-6-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-570-868)

Folding Metal Tables and Chairs from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 7, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurel LaCivita or Matthew Quigley, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4243 or (202) 482-4551, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 27, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on folding metal tables and chairs from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 71 FR 42626 (July 27, 2006). This

review covers the period June 1, 2005, through May 31, 2006. The preliminary results of review are currently due no later than March 2, 2007.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of the administrative review of folding metal tables and chairs from the PRC within this time limit. Specifically, due to complex issues related to the selection of surrogate values, we find that additional time is needed to complete these preliminary results. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completion of the preliminary results of this review by 90 days until May 31, 2007.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 1, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-4048 Filed 3-6-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission and Intent To Rescind

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges (stainless steel flanges) from India manufactured by Echjay Forgings Ltd. (Echjay),

Rollwell Forge, Ltd. (Rollwell), and Shree Ganesh Forgings, Ltd. (Shree Ganesh). The period of review (POR) covers February 1, 2005, through January 31, 2006. We preliminarily determine that Echjay did not sell subject merchandise in the United States at less than normal value (NV) during the POR. In addition, we preliminarily determine to apply an adverse facts available (AFA) rate to Rollwell's sales. We also preliminarily determine that Shree Ganesh had no entries of subject merchandise during the POR.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in these proceedings are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issues and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 7, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2924 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 9, 1994, the Department published the antidumping duty order on stainless steel flanges from India. See *Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India*, 59 FR 5994 (February 9, 1994) (Amended Final Determination). On February 1, 2006, the Department published the *Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review* for this order covering the POR. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 71 FR 5239 (February 1, 2006). On February 28, 2006, we received requests for an administrative review for the period February 1, 2005, through January 31, 2006, from Echjay and Shree Ganesh. We also received requests for a new shipper review and, failing that, an administrative review,¹ from Kunj

¹On April 6, 2006, the Department published a notice initiating new shipper reviews of Kunj, Micro, Pradeep, and Rollwell. See *Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews*, 71 FR 17439 (April 6, 2006). On September 29, 2006, we rescinded the new shipper reviews with respect to Micro, Pradeep, and Rollwell. See *Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India: Notice of Partial*

Forgings Pvt. Ltd. (Kunj), Micro Forge (India) Ltd. (Micro), Pradeep Metals Limited (Pradeep), and Rollwell Forge, Ltd. (Rollwell). On April 5, 2006, we initiated administrative reviews of the six companies. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Deferral of Administrative Reviews*, 71 FR 17077 (April 5, 2006).

On November 1, 2006, we extended the time limit for the preliminary results of this administrative review to February 28, 2007. See *Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India*, 71 FR 64245 (November 1, 2006).

Echjay

On April 5, 2006, the Department issued its initial questionnaire to Echjay. Echjay submitted its section A response on May 8, 2006, and its section B and C responses on May 30, 2006. The Department issued a supplemental questionnaire on November 1, 2006, to which Echjay responded on November 15, 2006. On December 27, 2006, Echjay submitted audited financial statements, revised section B and C data and calculations for fields that changed as a result of changes in the financial statement. On February 27, 2007, Echjay submitted a sales reconciliation.

On December 21, 2006, Echjay requested revocation on the basis it had three years of zero or *de minimis* margins. Echjay also submitted the required certifications pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222. However, this request was filed nearly ten months after the deadline for filing such requests under 19 CFR 351.222(e)(1). This delay prevented the Department from timely notifying interested parties of Echjay's possible revocation, as well as planning and conducting verification, both of which are required by 19 CFR 351.222(f). The Department will not therefore entertain this request in this review.

Rollwell

The Department sent its questionnaires to Rollwell on April 5, 2006. Rollwell submitted its response to the section A questionnaire on May 8, 2006. It submitted its responses to sections B and C on May 31, 2006. The Department issued a supplemental section A, B, and C questionnaire to Rollwell on November 1, 2006. Rollwell submitted its response to that supplemental questionnaire on

Rescission of New Shipper Reviews, 71 FR 57468 (September 29, 2006).