

section 751(c) of the Act. *See Furfuryl Alcohol from Thailand; Preliminary Results of the Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 71 FR 62583 (October 26, 2006) (“*Preliminary Results*”).

The Department conducted a verification of the data provided by Indorama Chemicals (Thailand) Ltd. (“Indorama”) on December 19 and 20, 2006. The verification report was issued on January 3, 2007.

We provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. The Department received a case brief from Penn Specialty Chemicals, Inc. (“Penn”) on January 16, 2007, and a rebuttal brief from Indorama on January 22, 2007. A hearing was not held because none was requested.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is furfuryl alcohol (C₄H₃OCH₂OH). Furfuryl alcohol is a primary alcohol, and is colorless or pale yellow in appearance. It is used in the manufacture of resins and as a wetting agent and solvent for coating resins, nitrocellulose, cellulose acetate, and other soluble dyes. The product subject to this order is classifiable under subheading 2932.13.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Furfuryl Alcohol from Thailand; Final Results,” to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated February 27, 2007 (“Decision Memo”), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the antidumping duty order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review and Revocation

The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on furfuryl alcohol from Thailand is not likely to lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping. Consequently, the Department is revoking the antidumping duty order on furfuryl alcohol from Thailand, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1)(ii). Consistent with 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i) and section 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act, this revocation will be effective May 4, 2006, the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of continuation. *See Notice of Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Furfuryl Alcohol from the People's Republic of China and Thailand*, 66 FR 22519 (May 4, 2001).

We will notify the U.S. International Trade Commission (“ITC”) of our final results. We do not intend, however, to report a rate to the ITC as a determination by the Department that revocation of the order would not lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping will result in revocation of the order.¹ Moreover, the ITC has already ruled in this proceeding.

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to liquidate without regard to dumping duties entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after May 4, 2006, (the effective date), and to discontinue collection of cash deposits of antidumping duties.

This sunset review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act. This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary material disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Dated: February 27, 2007.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. E7-3792 Filed 3-2-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

¹Contrary to the ITC's statement that the order on furfuryl alcohol from Thailand remains in place, the Department's sunset determination in this proceeding will, in fact, result in the order being revoked. *See* ITC News Release 06-093 (September 1, 2006) concerning Inv. Nos. 731-TA-703 and 705 (Second Review).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-837]

Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan: Discontinuation of Reconsideration of Sunset Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 24, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Goldberger, Kate Johnson, or Rebecca Trainor, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-4136, 202-482-4929, or 202-482-4007, respectively.

Discontinuation of Reconsideration of Sunset Review

On April 13, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the notice of initiation notice of the reconsideration of the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on large newspaper printing presses and components thereof, whether assembled or unassembled (LNPP), from Japan. *See Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, From Japan: Reconsideration of Sunset Review*, 71 FR 19164 (April 13, 2006). This review was initiated to reconsider the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on LNPP from Japan, which resulted in the revocation of that antidumping duty order. *See, Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan (A-588-837) and Germany (A-428-821): Notice of Final Results of Five-year Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders*, 67 FR 8522 (February 25, 2002). The Department published its preliminary results in the reconsideration of sunset review on November 6, 2006. *See Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan: Preliminary Results of Reconsideration of Sunset Review*, 71 FR 64927 (November 6, 2006).

On January 24, 2007, the Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its decision in *Tokyo Kikai Seisakusho, Ltd. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 06-00078, Slip. Op. 07-12 (January 24, 2007), and ordered the Department to

“discontinue any action in regard to a reconsideration” of the sunset review. Tokyo Kikai Seisakusho, Ltd. v. United States. See FULL CITE Slip Op. 07–12. In accordance with that decision, the Department is discontinuing action with respect to its reconsideration of the sunset review.

Dated: February 26, 2007.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. E7–3788 Filed 3–2–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–881]

Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 5, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Moats, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, Room 1870, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5047.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 71 FR 69543 (December 1, 2006). On December 15, 2006, Beijing Sai Lin Ke Hardware Co., Ltd. (“SLK”) requested that the Department conduct a review of its sales and entries of subject merchandise into the United States. On January 3, 2007, Mueller Comercial de México, D. de R.L. de C.V. (“Mueller”) requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of its sales and entries of subject merchandise into the United States. On January 4, 2007, SLK withdrew its request for review. On January 31, 2007, Mueller withdrew its request for an

administrative review.¹ No other parties requested a review. On February 2, 2007, the Department published the *Initiation Notice* covering Mueller, and issued an antidumping duty questionnaire to Mueller for the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from the PRC with respect to Mueller for the period December 1, 2005, through November 30, 2006.

Rescission of Review

The Department’s regulations at 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws its request at a later date if the Department determines that it is reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. Mueller timely withdrew its request before the 90-day deadline. Therefore, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on malleable cast iron pipe fittings from the PRC covering the period December 1, 2005, through November 30, 2006. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection 15 days after of publication of this rescission.

Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

¹ Although Mueller submitted its withdrawal of its request for review before the *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 72 FR 5005 (February 2, 2007) (“*Initiation Notice*”), published in the **Federal Register**, Mueller’s withdrawal was submitted after the *Initiation Notice* had been transmitted to the **Federal Register** for publication.

Dated: February 27, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7–3797 Filed 3–2–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–886]

Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 5, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurel LaCivita or Matthew Quigley, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4243 or (202) 482–4551, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 28, 2005, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review of Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags (“PRCBs”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) for the period January 26, 2004, through July 31, 2005. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 56631 (September 28, 2005). On September 13, 2006, the Department published the preliminary results. See *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 54021 (September 13, 2006) (“*Preliminary Results*”). On January 10, 2007, the Department extended the time period for completion of the final results of this review. See *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 1216 (January 10, 2007). On February 15, 2007, the Department extended the time period for completion of the final results of this review a second time. See *Polyethylene Retail*