

some water intakes and boat ramps may need to be extended for safe operation.

3. Though not expected, the Corps recognizes that if seepage conditions worsen, or new information determines that the lake elevations should be significantly changed to ensure the public's health, safety, and welfare; then the following resources could be significantly impacted:

(1) The cold-water fisheries both in the lake and tailwater;

(2) Water quality throughout the Caney Fork River and downstream in the Cumberland River;

(3) Federally listed threatened and endangered species;

(4) Designated uses of the waterway including fish and aquatic life, livestock watering and wildlife, irrigation;

(5) And economics including electric power production, municipal and industrial water supply, recreation, navigation, flood damage reduction, and disruption to communities, jobs, and other related factors.

#### 4. Current Actions to Reduce Risk.

Several actions have already been taken to reduce the risk. Prior to 2005, spring rains were captured in the reservoir to maximize downstream flood protection and hydropower generation. Beginning in March 2005, the pool was managed more aggressively to reduce inflow peaks and adhere more closely to the prescribed guide curves. In 2006, continuous surveillance was initiated at the dam. This involves providing patrols to monitor the dam, known seepage and trouble spots, and downstream areas. Currently, the Corps is conducting exploratory drilling to assess the limestone rock condition and key access points for future grouting activities. Additional coordination and exercises have been held with state and local emergency management agencies. These agencies will be provided flood inundation maps to help coordinate emergency evacuation planning. The Corps has improved its emergency notification procedures, increased instrumentation in, on, and around the dam, and conducted numerous public meetings to advise the public of problems with the dam.

5. A DEIS will be undertaken to review current actions taken and to consider other possible alternatives to reduce stress on the dam.

6. This notice serves to solicit comments from the public; Federal, State and local agencies and officials; Indian Tribes; and other interested parties in order to consider and evaluate impacts of these proposed activities. Any comments received by the agency will be considered in determining future operations. In the decision-making

process, comments are used to assess impacts on public health and safety, endangered species, historic properties, water quality, water supply and conservation, economics, aesthetics, wetlands, flood hazards, floodplain values, land use, navigation, shore erosion and accretion, recreation, energy needs, food and fiber production, mineral needs, considerations of property ownership, general environmental effects, and in general, the needs and welfare of the people.

7. Activities proposed that may require a review under the guidelines promulgated by the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), under authority of Section 404(b)(1) of the Clean Water Act (40 CFR part 230) include fill placement for water intake extensions, boat ramp extensions, and other mitigation actions.

8. Other Federal, State, and local approvals that may be required for proposed work are as follows:

a. Section 401 water quality certification from the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation.

b. Coordination with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for the Endangered Species Act and Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.

c. Coordination with the Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency.

d. Coordination with the State Historic Preservation Officer and President's Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

9. Significant issues to be analyzed in the DEIS include impacts to fisheries, tailwater mussel resources, water quality, flood control, recreation, navigation, water supply, electric power production, economics, and community development. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has agreed to be a Cooperating Agency on the DEIS. A DEIS should be available in June 2007.

10. *Public Meetings:* At present, no public meetings have been scheduled to scope for potential issues to be evaluated in the DEIS. Requests for public meetings should be directed to Mr. William Peoples, Chief, Public Affairs Office, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Nashville District, Nashville, TN, 37202-1070. Mr. Peoples may be reached by telephone at (615) 736-7834.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 07-853 Filed 2-23-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-GF-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Development of an Inlet Management Plan That Includes the Repositioning and Realignment of the Main Ebb Channel of Rich Inlet and To Use the Material To Nourish Figure Eight Island, North of Wilmington, New Hanover County, NC

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE), Wilmington District, Wilmington Regulatory Field Office has received a request for Department of the Army authorization, pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbor Act, from Figure "8" Beach Homeowners Association to develop a management plan for Rich Inlet that would mitigate chronic erosion on the northern portion of Figure Eight Island so as to preserve the integrity of its infrastructure, provide protection to existing development, and ensure the continued use of the oceanfront beach along the northernmost three miles of its oceanfront shoreline. Figure Eight Island is an unincorporated privately developed island located on the southeast coast of North Carolina, approximately eight miles north of Wilmington. The island is bordered to the south by Mason Inlet and Wrightsville Beach; and to the north by Rich Inlet and Lea-Hutaff Island, an undeveloped, privately-owned island.

The inlet management plan would involve the repositioning and realignment of the main ebb channel of Rich Inlet to a location closer to the north end of Figure Eight Island. The intended alignment is to be essentially perpendicular to the oceanfront shorelines of the adjacent islands. The new channel position would be periodically maintained with maintenance episodes dictated by natural shifts in the channel position that produce unfavorable shoreline responses on the north end of Figure Eight Island. While the main focus of the project is to relocate the main ebb bar channel, consideration will also be given to possible alterations in Nixon Channel and Green Channel to determine if such modification would enhance the stability of the new channel. Nixon Channel meanders along a southwesterly path on the landward

side of the north end of Figure Eight Island; connecting to the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (AIWW) at a point approximately two miles west of the Rich Inlet throat. Green Channel meanders to the northeast on the landward side of Lea-Hutaff Island and intersects with the AIWW approximately 1.75 miles north of the Rich Inlet throat.

Material dredged from the inlet and channels will be placed along the central and northern portions of Figure Eight Island and, if needed, along portions of Lea-Hutaff Island. The objective of the placement of beach fill along the Figure Eight Island's shoreline is to keep the design fill density less than 50 cubic yards/foot, to avoid the placement of a permanent static vegetation line. This beach fill would be maintained through a program of periodic beach nourishment events with the material extracted from the dredging of Rich Inlet to maintain the inlet in an optimum location.

**DATES:** A public scoping meeting for the Draft EIS will be held at Eaton Elementary School, located at 6701 Gordon Road, on March 1, 2007 at 6 p.m. Written comments will be received until March 29, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of comments and questions regarding scoping for the Draft EIS may be addressed to: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Wilmington District, Regulatory Division. ATTN: File Number 2006-41158-067, Post Office Box 1890, Wilmington, NC 28402-1890.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Questions about the proposed action and DEIS can be directed to Mr. Mickey Sugg, Wilmington Regulatory Field Office, telephone: (910) 251-4811.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

1. *Project Description.* The Figure Eight Beach Homeowners Association proposes to develop an inlet management plan for Rich Inlet that will produce semi-permanent positive shoreline impacts on the extreme north end of Figure Eight Island. Through a variety of investigations, it has been determined that chronic erosion problems along the northern sections of Figure Eight Island have been directly linked to changes in the orientation and position of the main ebb channel through Rich Inlet. When the main ebb channel of the inlet is oriented toward the southeast or in the direction of Figure Eight Island, and positioned close to the north end of the island, the shoreline immediately south of the inlet tends to accrete. The accretion is associated with the wave sheltering ("breakwater effect") provided by the south side of the ebb tide delta which

also moves with the channel. During periods in which the main bar channel migrates to the north toward Lea-Hutaff Island and is oriented in a southeasterly direction, the north end of Figure Eight Island erodes. The northward movement of the main ebb channel is accompanied by the northward shift of the south side of the ebb tide delta away from the north end of Figure Eight Island, thus removing the "breakwater effect" afforded by the south side of the ebb tide delta.

A geomorphic analysis of Rich Inlet will be performed utilizing historical aerial photographs of Rich Inlet and the adjacent shorelines. The geomorphic analysis will be used to develop alternative channel positions and alignments that will assist in determining the desired changes on the north end of Figure Eight Island. The analysis will also assist in identifying any positive and/or negative impacts associated with Lea-Hutaff Island. The position and alignment of the main ebb channel design and design alternatives will be evaluated to determine the potential effects on the adjacent shorelines and natural resources located within the study area.

2. *Proposed Action.* The scope of activities for the formulation of the management plan for Rich Inlet will include the following engineering and geological investigations: (1) Detailed geomorphic studies of the inlet and its impacts on the shorelines of Figure Eight Island and Lea-Hutaff Island; (2) numerical model simulations of various channel alternatives including possible modifications of Nixon and Green channels; (3) geotechnical investigations to determine sediment quality in the inlet and connecting channels; (4) compatibility analysis of the inlet material with the native beach material; and (5) analysis of the physical impacts of the project on the inlet complex (including the adjacent marshes and connecting channels) and on Figure Eight Island and Lea-Hutaff Island.

The Figure Eight Island beach fill design will consist of the disposal material from Rich Inlet channel along the island shoreline in a general template of a horizontal berm constructed to an elevation of +6.0 feet NAVD (National Geodetic Vertical Datum) with a 1V:15H seaward slope. The width of the berm, which would begin near the seaward toe of the existing dune system, will depend on the volume of material removed from Rich Inlet to construct the new channel and the slope the material assumed during placement. Another design objective is to keep the design fill

density less than 50 cubic yards/foot, to avoid the placement of a permanent static vegetation line. The volume of material that would be removed to construct the new channel will depend on the final design of the channel but could range between 500,000 cubic yards and 2,000,000 cubic yards. Some of the channel material may be used to construct or maintain the dune system on portions of Figure Eight Island. Existing profiles will be analyzed to identify the range of natural beach and dune elevations, widths, and slopes. The beach fill design will include beach fill construction templates and equilibrium cross-sections to estimate the seaward limit of cross-shore spreading over the project life and the reduction in beach width due to changes in profile shape following construction.

Beach planform performance will be evaluated based on the numerical modeling for the proposed projects. The numerical model evaluation of various channel alternatives will employ a process-based numerical model known as Delft3D developed by WL Delft Hydraulics (WL Delft Hydraulics, 2005). Delft3D is an advanced 2D/3D hydrodynamic model that can simulate water level changes, currents, wave transformation, sediment transport, and bathymetric (morphological) changes in coastal environments. The model evaluations will consider short-term changes (i.e., tidal cycles and storms) to the inlet's flow pattern and morphology; as well as long-term (one to five years) changes in flow patterns and inlet morphology associated with various inlet channel alternatives. The model simulations will also be used to evaluate the importance of modifications of Nixon and/or Green Channels on the overall stability and associated impacts of the new channel.

Comprehensive geotechnical investigations of the Rich Inlet system including the inlet throat, flood tidal delta, ebb tidal delta, and feeder channels Nixon and Green Channel will be used to identify and map sand quality and quantity to be placed on the shoreline of Figure Eight Island or elsewhere as the study dictates. The proposed sand search will be completed in two phases: (1) Research and planning, and (2) jet probes and vibrance collection and analysis. Sand resources in the study area will be evaluated for compatibility with native beach sand. This evaluation is necessary to determine the potential performance of sand on the beach since the performance is highly dependent on similar sediment characteristics including mean grain size, sorting, and

composition of borrow sands and native sands.

The research and planning phase includes a comprehensive analysis of historical geophysical data, hydrographic survey data, and aerial photographs of the inlet to determine potential channel shall lag deposit sites and historic preferred channel alignment. The jet probe survey will provide preliminary qualitative information of the sediment contained in the feeder channels and the ebb tide delta of Rich inlet. Areas suspected of containing the best quality and quantity of sand resources within the preferred channel realignment corridor will be targeted for vibrocore investigation.

A magnetometer survey was performed on September 3, 2006 on the wreck site of the Wild Dayrell. The Wild Dayrell is a side-wheel steamer which ran aground near in the Rich Inlet complex on February 3, 1864. The location of the Wild Dayrell and its debris field will play a major role in options associated with the location of the new inlet channel. In addition, a cultural resource study of the final borrow area and channel design will be performed using a magnetometer survey controlled by differential global positioning. Cartographic and historical research will be conducted to collect available historical data.

Natural resource studies and investigations which may be conducted in support of the plan formulation might include: (1) Identification and biological characterization of estuarine habitat types (salt march, shellfish, submerged aquatic vegetation) in a defined project area using aerial mapping and/or groundtruth investigations; (2) pre-project monitoring of threatened and endangered species and their associated habitats as determined through coordination with project stakeholders; and (3) development and/or implementation of project monitoring and mitigation plans based on the project impact assessment.

3. *Issues.* There are several potential environmental issues that will be addressed in the EIS. Additional issues may be identified during the scoping process. Issues initially identified as potentially significant include:

a. Potential impacts to marine biological resources (benthic organisms, passageway for fish and other marine life) and Essential Fish Habitat, particularly within Green Channel.

b. Potential impacts to threatened and endangered marine mammals, birds, fish, and plants.

c. Potential impacts to water quality.

d. Potential increase in erosion rates to adjacent Lea-Hutaff.

e. Potential impacts to Navigation, commercial and recreational.

f. Potential impacts to the long-term-management of Rich Inlet.

g. Potential impacts to private and public property.

h. Cumulative impacts of Inlet and Inlet channel relocations throughout North Carolina.

i. Cumulative impacts for using inlets as sand source in nourishment projects.

j. Potential impacts on public health and safety.

k. Potential impacts to recreational and commercial fishing.

l. The compatibility of the material for nourishment.

m. Potential impacts to cultural resources, particularly the Wild Dayrell shipwreck.

4. *Alternatives.* Several alternatives are being considered for the proposed project. These alternatives will be further formulated and developed during the scoping process, and an appropriate range of alternatives, including the no federal action alternative, will be considered in the EIS.

5. *Scoping Process.* A public scoping meeting (see **DATES**) will be held to receive public comment and assess public concerns regarding the appropriate scope and preparation of the Draft EIS. Participation in the public meeting by federal, state, and local agencies and other interested organizations and persons is encouraged.

The COE will also be consulting with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under the Endangered Species Act and the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act; with the National Marine Fisheries Service under the Magnuson-Stevens Act and Endangered Species Act; and with the North Carolina State Historic Preservation Office under the National Historic Preservation Act. Additionally, the EIS will assess the potential water quality impacts pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, and will be coordinated with the North Carolina Division of Coastal Management (DCM) to determine the project's consistency with the Coastal Zone Management Act. The COE will closely work with DCM through the EIS to ensure the process complies with all State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements. It is the COE and DCM's intentions to consolidate both NEPA and SEPA processes to eliminate duplications.

6. *Availability of the Draft EIS.* The Draft EIS is expected to be published and circulated sometime in 2008, and a public hearing will be held after the publication of the Draft EIS.

Dated: February 12, 2007.

**John E. Pulliam, Jr.,**

*Colonel, U.S. Army District Commander.*

[FR Doc. 07-848 Filed 2-23-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-GN-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### Intent To Prepare Supplement III to the Final Environmental Impact Statement, New Orleans to Venice, LA, Hurricane Protection Project: Incorporation of Non-Federal Levees From Oakville to St. Jude, Plaquemines Parish, LA

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Army of Corps of Engineers, New Orleans District, is initiating this study under the authority of Public Law 109-234, Title II, Chapter 3, Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies, page 38 (120 STAT.454-455), hereinafter "4th Supplemental", provides: "For an additional amount for 'Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies', as authorized by section 5 of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n), for necessary expenses relating to the consequences of Hurricane Katrina and other hurricanes, \$3,145,024,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, that the Secretary of the Army is directed to use the funds appropriated under this heading to modify, at full Federal expense, authorized projects in southeast Louisiana to provide hurricane and storm damage reduction and flood damage reduction in the greater New Orleans and surrounding areas; \* \* \* \$215,000,000 shall be used to replace or modify certain non-Federal levees in Plaquemines Parish to incorporate the levees into the existing New Orleans to Venice hurricane protection project; \* \* \*."

The Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies Section of Title II, Chapter 3 of the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference, page 115, states: "Funds totaling \$3,145,024,000 are recommended to continue repairs to flood and storm damage reduction projects. These projects are to be funded at full Federal expense. \* \* \* Additionally, the Conferees include: \* \* \* \$215,000,000 for incorporation of non-Federal levees on the west bank of the Mississippi River in Plaquemines Parish in order to provide improved storm surge protection and to protect evacuations routes; \* \* \*"