

are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Semi-Annual Progress Report for Grantees of the Legal Assistance for Victims Grant Program.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: 1122-0007. U.S. Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* The affected public includes the approximately 200 grantees of the Legal Assistance for Victims Grant Program (LAV Program) whose eligibility is determined by statute. In 1998, Congress appropriated funding to provide civil legal assistance to domestic violence victims through a set-aside under the Grants to Combat Violence Against Women, Public Law 105-277. In the Violence Against Women Act of 2000 and again in 2005, Congress statutorily authorized the LAV Program. 42 U.S.C. 3796gg-6. The LAV Program is intended to increase the availability of legal assistance necessary to provide effective aid to victims of domestic violence, stalking, or sexual assault who are seeking relief in legal matters arising as a consequence of that abuse or violence. The LAV Program awards grants to law school legal clinics, legal aid or legal services programs, domestic violence victims' shelters, bar associations, sexual assault programs, private nonprofit entities, and Indian tribal governments. These grants are for providing direct legal services to victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking in matters arising from the abuse or violence and for providing enhanced training for lawyers representing these victims. The goal of the Program is to develop innovative, collaborative projects that provide quality representation to victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that it will take the approximately 200 respondents (LAV Program grantees) approximately

one hour to complete a semi-annual progress report. The semi-annual progress report is divided into sections that pertain to the different types of activities that grantees may engage in and the different types of grantees that receive funds. An LAV Program grantee will only be required to complete the sections of the form that pertain to its own specific activities.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The total annual hour burden to complete the data collection forms is 400 hours, that is 200 grantees completing a form twice a year with an estimated completion time for the form being one hour.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: February 21, 2007.

Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E7-3183 Filed 2-23-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FX-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Open Mobile Alliance

Notice is hereby given that, on January 18, 2007, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), the Open Mobile Alliance ("OMA") filed written notification simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, 2-800 Mobiles Inc., New York, NY; Ad Vitam, Olivet, FRANCE; Advanced Strategies Corp., Garden City, NY; Along Mobile Technologies, Inc., Xi'an City, Shaanxi Province, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Alox Co., Ltd., Kangnam-gu, Seoul REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Anyka (Guangzhou) Software Technology Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, Guangdong, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; ATIO Corporation, Coombe Place, Rivonia, SOUTH AFRICA; CA Inc., Islandia, NY; Calton Hill,

Edinburgh, UNITED KINGDOM; Cell Guide, Rehovot, ISRAEL; Ceno Technologies, Ltd., Shanghai, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; China Telecommunications Corporation, Beijing, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Cyberlink Corporation, Hsin-Tien City, Taipei Hsien, TAIWAN; Datang Mobile Communication Equipment Co. Ltd., Beijing, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; DGIST, Deoksan-Dong, Daegu, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Digital Connect PTE Ltd., Singapore, SINGAPORE; DKI Technology Inc., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Elcoteq SE, Salo, FINLAND; Estacado Systems, LLC, Dallas, TX; Frost & Sullivan China, Beijing, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; GaeaSoft Corporation, Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Gemalto N.V., Amsterdam, NETHERLANDS; GMV Soluciones Globales Internet, S.A., Madrid, SPAIN; Hanmaro Co. Ltd., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; IfEN GmbH, Poing, GERMANY; Marvell International Ltd., Hamilton, BERMUDA; Miyowa, Marseille, FRANCE; Mobicetop Co., Ltd. Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Monotype Imaging Inc., Woburn, MA; MOSSEC—Mobile Security Software, Madrid, SPAIN; Motive, Inc., Austin, TX; Movell Software, Santa Clara, CA; MStar Semiconductor, Inc., Hsinchu Hsien, TAIWAN; NineOne Co., Ltd., Kyongsan, Kyong-Buk, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; NOW Wireless Ltd., Croydon, UNITED KINGDOM; NXP Semiconductors, Eindhoven, NETHERLANDS; Perlego Systems, Inc., Gig Harbor, WA; Pointsec Wireless Solutions, Stockholm, SWEDEN; Protect Software GmbH, Dortmund, GERMANY; Purple Labs S.A., Le Bourget Du Lac, FRANCE; SIRF Technologies, San Jose, CA; Smith Micro Software, Inc., Aliso Viejo, CA; Sonus Networks, Inc., Chelmsford, MA; Square Enix, Inc., El Segundo, CA; Synkia Sp. z.o.o., Krolewska, NORWAY; TechnoCom Corporation, Carlsbad, CA; Telefonica S.A., Madrid, SPAIN; TeleworX Group, Inc., McLean, VA; Trademobile Limited, Wakatipu, New Zealand; Trango Systems, Grenoble, FRANCE; U-blox AG, Thalwil, SWITZERLAND; Unichal Inc., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA Virtual Logix, Monigny-le-Bretonneux, FRANCE; Visa International Services Association, Foster City, CA; Vodaphone IT Hizmetleri A.S., Istanbul, Turkey; W2bi, Inc., Union, NJ; Webmessenger Inc., Tujung, Ca; WINIT, Daejeon, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; and WISEWIRES Inc., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Axalto S.A., Meudon Cedex, FRANCE; BDR Customer Management Ltd., Wooburn Green, Buckinghamshire, UNITED KINGDOM; Cognizant Technology Solutions Ltd., London, UNITED KINGDOM; Gemplus S.A., Cedex, La Ciotat, FRANCE; and JRD Communication Inc., Shanghai, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

Also, Vantrix Corporation has changed its name to VoiceAge Networks, Montreal, Quebec, CANADA.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and OMA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 18, 1998, OMA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 31, 1998 (63 FR 72333).

The last notification was filed with the Department on July 13, 2006. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 9, 2006 (71 FR 45580).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 07-837 Filed 2-23-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—VSI Alliance

Notice is hereby given that, on January 12, 2007, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), VSI Alliance has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Synplicity, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; and Altera Corp., San Jose, CA have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Toshiba Corp., Kawasaki, JAPAN; FZI—Forschungszentrum Informatik and der University, Karlsruhe, GERMANY; LTRIM Technologies, Inc., Laval,

Quebec, CANADA; and Hewlett-Packard Company, Palo Alto, CA have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and VSI Alliance intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 29, 1996, VSI Alliance filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 4, 1997 (62 FR 9812).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 23, 2006. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 22, 2006 (71 FR 67643).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 07-836 Filed 2-23-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

February 20, 2007.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of each ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained from RegInfo.gov at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not toll-free number) / e-mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202-395-7316 / Fax: 202-395-6974 (these are not toll-free numbers), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Mine Safety and Health Administration.

Type of Review: Extension without change of currently approved collection.

Title: Ventilation Plans, Tests and Examinations in Underground Coal Mines.

OMB Number: 1219-0088.

Type of Response: Recordkeeping and Reporting.

Affected Public: Private Sector: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 612.

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 1,848,393.

Average Response Time: varies by task and size of mine.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 1,824,456.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$160,203.

Description: Section 303 of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 requires that all coal mines shall be ventilated by mechanical ventilation equipment installed and operated in a manner approved by an authorized representative of the Secretary and such equipment shall be examined daily and a record shall be kept of such examination.

Underground coal mines usually present harsh and hostile working environments. The ventilation system is the most vital life support system in underground mining and a properly operating ventilation system is essential for maintaining a safe and healthful working environment. Lack of adequate ventilation in underground mines has resulted in fatalities from asphyxiation and explosions.

An underground mine is a maze of tunnels that must be adequately