

and Lehigh Dairies up in the northeast. Most anyone would be a perfect partner for an easy and quick \$17,000,000.

After the deal was complete and DFA and good ole Bob took over Southern Belle, good ole Bob almost immediately began laying the groundwork to give the SEGMPA two wonderful options:

- 1.) Become a DFA producer or
- 2.) Go fly a kite.

It was also apparent soon after Bob took over that he needed someone to be his yes man because Martin Shearer just did not fit the bill. The yes man suddenly appeared \* \* \* why, it's Mike Chandler right out of the sales department. Mike is the kind of guy that gives all salespeople a bad name. People say he would climb a tree to tell a lie.

However, he was lacking when it came to speech because he couldn't say shit with a mouthful. Now this is where DOJ gets a well deserved Pat On The Back. Much to the surprise of DFA and good ole Bob, DOJ filed a lawsuit asking DFA to divest itself of its ownership in Southern Belle. Good ole Bob had to put the brakes on his plan. After all, it wouldn't look good if he sent Martin Shearer home and kicked the producers right between the legs, at least not right now. DFA and good ole Bob put up a good fight and finally finagled a judge into giving them a Summary Judgment. Good ole Bob must have known he was going to get it, as he sent Martin Shearer home before the Summary Judgment was made public and he put his yes man in place. When the Summary Judgment in favor of DFA and good ole Bob was made public, celebrations broke out to honor the victory over DOJ. After all, who is the DOJ that would question DFA and the perfect partner, good ole Bob.

Here is another well-deserved Pat-On The Back for DOJ. You didn't quit. DOJ filed an appeal. The judge who was tricked by DFA and good ole Bob had his decision overturned. This really made DFA and good ole Bob mad. But what could they do? \* \* \* Give up and agree to sell it and quickly find someone to move it to that would finish the job for them. Why after going to great and expensive lengths to keep Prairie Farms from owning Southern Belle do they quickly sell it to them without even giving one group a chance to make a proposal? I know opinions are like assholes; every body has one. Here's my opinion—Whatever Prairie Farms might have given will be returned to them in some way, probably in credits toward raw milk purchases, making the price tag this time around \$00. plus keep lying Mike Chandler in charge to oversee DFA's best interests of seeing SEGMPA die a slow but sure death. At last, mission accomplished for DFA.

Please do whatever it takes to see Southern Belle end up in the hands of someone who has (zero) connection to DFA. Thanks for listening.

A very concerned citizen

P.S. Something else you may need to take a look at. Remember the children and families and taxpayers you were trying to protect when you made the new Dean spin off those plants.

1.) The one in northern Alabama that needed to give Dean competition; you may not know but it's gone. Dean has North

Alabama schools all to themselves now. Poor children.

2.) The one in Virginia that was supposed to give Dean competition in parts of Virginia; you may not know but it's gone. Poor children.

3.) The one in Indiana that was supposed to give Dean competition; you may not know it but it's gone. Poor children.

You might ought to watch the rest that were spun off because some of them may soon disappear as well.

Thanks again for listening.

[FR Doc. 07-709 Filed 2-21-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 958(i), the Attorney General shall, prior to issuing a registration under this Section to a bulk manufacturer of a controlled substance in schedule I or II and prior to issuing a regulation under 21 U.S.C. § 952(a)(2)(B) authorizing the importation of such a substance, provide manufacturers holding registrations for the bulk manufacture of the substance an opportunity for a hearing.

Therefore, in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34(a), this is notice that on November 27, 2005, Cambrex Charles City, Inc., 1205 11th Street, Charles City, Iowa 50616, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of Phenylacetone (8501), a basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The company plans to import Phenylacetone for use as a precursor in the manufacture of amphetamines only.

Any bulk manufacturer who is presently, or is applying to be, registered with DEA to manufacture such basic class of controlled substance may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration and may, at the same time, file a written request for a hearing on such application pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43 and in such form as prescribed by 21 CFR 1316.47.

Any such written comments or objections being sent via regular mail should be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, *Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/ODL*; or any being sent via express mail should be sent to DEA Headquarters, *Attention:*

DEA Federal Register Representative/ODL, 2401 Jefferson-Davis Highway, Alexandria, Virginia 22301; and must be filed no later than March 26, 2007.

This procedure is to be conducted simultaneously with and independent of the procedures described in 21 CFR § 1301.34(b), (c), (d), (e) and (f). As noted in a previous notice published in the **Federal Register** on September 23, 1975, (40 FR 43745-46), all applicants for registration to import a basic class of any controlled substance listed in schedule I or II are, and will continue to be required to demonstrate to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, that the requirements for such registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 958(a), 21 U.S.C. 823(a), and 21 CFR 1301.34(b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) are satisfied.

Dated: February 14, 2007.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-2992 Filed 2-21-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated November 21, 2006 and published in the **Federal Register** on December 1, 2006, (71 FR 69591), JFC Technologies LLC., 100 West Main Street, P.O. Box 669, Bound Brook, New Jersey 08805, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of Meperidine intermediate-B (9233), a basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The company plans to import the basic class of controlled substance for production of controlled substances for distribution to its customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. § 823(a) and § 952(a) and determined that the registration of JFC Technologies LLC to import the basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated JFC Technologies LLC to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical

security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 952(a) and § 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the above named company is granted registration as an importer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.

Dated: February 14, 2007.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-2991 Filed 2-21-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

February 15, 2007.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained from RegInfo.gov at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number)/e-mail: [king.darrin@dol.gov](mailto:king.darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202-395-7316/Fax: 202-395-6974 (these are not toll-free numbers), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Bureau of Labor Statistics.  
*Type of Review:* Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

*Title:* Multiple Worksite Report and the Report of Federal Employment and Wages.

*OMB Number:* 1220-0134.  
*Form Numbers:* BLS-3020 and BLS-3021.

*Type of Response:* Reporting.  
*Frequency:* Quarterly.  
*Affected Public:* Business or other for profits; Not-for-profits institutions; and Federal Government.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 128,411.

*Annual Responses:* 513,644.  
*Total Annual Burden Hours:* 190,048.  
*Average Burden Time per Response:* 22 minutes.

*Total Annualized capital/startup costs:* \$0.

*Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$0.

*Description:* States use the Multiple Worksite Report to collect employment and wages data by worksite from employers covered by State Unemployment Insurance which are engaged in multiple operations within a State. These data are used for sampling, benchmarking, and economic analysis.

**Darrin A. King,**

*Acting Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. E7-2894 Filed 2-21-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-24-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

February 15, 2007.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of each ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained from RegInfo.gov at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting

Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number) / e-mail: [king.darrin@dol.gov](mailto:king.darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202-395-7316 / Fax: 202-395-6974 (these are not toll-free numbers), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Employee Benefits Security Administration.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of currently approved collection.

*Title:* Employee Benefit Plan Claims Procedure Under ERISA.

*OMB Number:* 1210-0053.

*Type of Response:* Third party disclosure.

*Affected Public:* Private Sector: Business or other for-profits and Not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 5,900,000.

*Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 320,999,996.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 529,000.

*Estimated Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs:* \$0.

*Estimated Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$423,051,994.

*Description:* Section 503 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and the Department's regulations at 29 CFR 2560.503-1 require employee benefit plans to establish procedures for resolving benefit claims under the plan, including