

The Inspector General has not limited his authority to issue subpoenas or to affix the Departmental seal and certify copies of records, or to request information under 5 U.S.C. § 552a by this delegation or redelegation. Also, this delegation and redelegation of authority prohibits further delegation or redelegation.

Accordingly, the Inspector General delegates and redelegates as follows:

Section A. Authority Delegated and Redelegated

The HUD Inspector General delegates to the Deputy Inspector General, the Assistant Inspectors General, the Deputy Assistant Inspectors General, the Special Agents in Charge, the Regional Inspectors General for Audit and the Directors within the Office of Audit, the authority to require by subpoena the production of all information, documents, reports, answers, records, accounts, papers, and other data and documentary evidence necessary in the performance of the functions assigned by the Inspector General Act pursuant to Section 6(a)(4) of the Inspector General Act of 1978.

Additionally, the Inspector General redelegates to the Deputy Inspector General, the Assistant Inspectors General, the Deputy Assistant Inspectors General, the Special Agents in Charge, the Regional Inspectors General for Audit and the Directors within the Office of Audit, the authority under the delegation of authority published at 68 FR 41840 (July 15, 2003) to cause the seal of the Department of Housing and Urban Development to be affixed to such documents as may require its application and to certify that a copy of any book, record, paper, microfilm or other document is a true copy of that in the files of the Department.

Additionally, the Inspector General delegates to the Deputy Inspector General, the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations, the Deputy Assistant Inspectors General for Investigations, and the Special Agents in Charge, the authority to request information under 5 U.S.C. section 552a(b)(7).

Section B. No Further Delegation or Redelegation

The authority delegated and redelegated in Section A above may not be further delegated or redelegated.

Authority: Section 6(a)(4), Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.); Section 7(d), Department of HUD Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(d)); Delegation of Authority, April 15, 1987, at 52 FR 12259; 5 U.S.C. section 552a.

Dated: February 12, 2007.

Kenneth M. Donohue,

Inspector General.

[FR Doc. E7-2826 Filed 2-16-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

Information Security Oversight Office

Public Interest Declassification Board (PIDB); Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Section 1102 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 which extended and modified the Public Interest Declassification Board (PIDB) as established by the Public Interest Declassification Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-567, title VII, December 27, 2000, 114 Stat. 2856), announcement is made for the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Public Interest Declassification Board (PIDB).

Date of Meeting: Friday, December 15, 2006.

Time of Meeting: 9 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.

Place of Meeting: National Archives and Records Administration, 700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Rooms 500/501, Washington, DC 20408.

Purpose: To discuss declassification program issues.

This meeting will be open to the public. However, due to space limitations and access procedures, the name and telephone number of individuals planning to attend must be submitted to the Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO) no later than Monday, December 11, 2006. ISOO will provide additional instructions for gaining access to the location of the meeting.

For Further Information Contact: J. William Leonard, Director Information Security Oversight Office, National Archives Building, 700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20408, telephone number (202) 357-5250.

Dated: February 12, 2007.

J. William Leonard,

Director, Information Security Oversight Office.

[FR Doc. E7-2866 Filed 2-16-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-293]

Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards; Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-35 issued to Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee) for operation of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (Pilgrim), located in Plymouth County, Massachusetts.

The proposed amendment would revise Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.14.A to adopt the Technical Specification Task Force-484, Revision 0, "Use of Technical Specification 3.10.1 for Scram Time Testing Activities."

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), Section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

Criterion 1—The Proposed Change Does Not Involve a Significant Increase in the Probability or Consequences of an Accident Previously Evaluated

Technical Specifications currently allow for operation at greater than [200]°F while imposing MODE 4 requirements in addition to the secondary containment requirements required to be met. Extending the activities that can apply this allowance will not adversely impact the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.