

TABLE—REGISTRATION REVIEW DOCKETS OPENING—Continued

Registration Review Case Name and Number	Pesticide Docket ID Number	Chemical Review Manager Name, Phone Number, E-mail Address
Hexythiazox (7404)	EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0114	Molly Clayton, (703) 603-0522 clayton.molly@epa.gov
Lactofen (7210)	EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0287	Amaris Johnson, (703)305-9542, johnson.amaris@epa.gov

EPA is also announcing that it will not be opening a docket for sulfosate because this pesticide is not included in any products actively registered under section 3 of FIFRA. Sulfosate federally registered products have been canceled under the "Cancellation of Pesticides for Non-payment of Year 2004 Maintenance Fees" (October 27, 2004; 69 FR 62666; FRL-7683-7). The Agency will take separate actions to propose revocation of any affected tolerances that are not supported for import purposes only.

#### B. Docket Content

1. *Review dockets.* The registration review dockets contain information that the Agency may consider in the course of the registration review. The Agency may include information from its files including, but not limited to, the following information:

- An overview of the registration review case status.
- A list of current product registrations and registrants.
- FR Notices regarding any pending registration actions.
- FR Notices regarding current or pending tolerances.
- Risk assessments.
- Bibliographies concerning current registrations.
- Summaries of incident data.
- Any other pertinent data or information.

Each docket contains a document summarizing what the Agency currently knows about the pesticide case that includes a preliminary work plan for anticipated assessment and data needs. Additional documents provide more detailed information. During this public comment period, the Agency is asking that interested persons identify any additional information they believe the Agency should consider during the registration reviews of these pesticides. The Agency identifies in each docket the areas where public comment is specifically requested, though comment in any area is welcome.

2. *Other related information.* More information on these cases, including the active ingredients for each case, may be located in the registration review

schedule on the Agency's website at [http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration\\_review/schedule.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration_review/schedule.htm). Information on the Agency's registration review program and its implementing regulation may be seen at [http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration\\_review/](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration_review/).

3. *Information submission requirements.* Anyone may submit data or information in response to this document. To be considered during a pesticide's registration review, the submitted data or information must meet the following requirements:

To ensure that EPA will consider data or information submitted, interested persons must submit the data or information during the comment period. The Agency may, at its discretion, consider data or information submitted at a later date.

The data or information submitted must be presented in legible and useable form. For example, an English translation must accompany any material that is not in English and a written transcript must accompany any information submitted as an audiographic or videographic record. Written material may be submitted in paper or electronic form.

Submitters must clearly identify the source of any submitted data or information.

Submitters may request the Agency to reconsider data or information that the Agency rejected in a previous review. However, submitters must explain why they believe the Agency should reconsider the data or information in the pesticide's registration review.

As provided in 40 CFR part 155, subpart C, § 155.58, the registration review docket for each pesticide case will remain publicly accessible through the duration of the registration review process; that is, until all actions required in the final decision on the registration review case have been completed.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: January 31, 2007.

**James B. Gulliford,**

*Assistant Administrator, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.*

[FR Doc. 07-486 Filed 1-31-07; 12:19 pm]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-S**

#### FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

##### Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center Web site at <http://www.ffiec.gov/nic/>.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than March 2, 2007.

**A. Federal Reserve Bank of New York** (Anne McEwen, Financial Specialist) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045-0001:

1. *Bancorp of New Jersey, Inc.*, to become a bank holding company by acquiring voting shares of Bank of New Jersey, both of Fort Lee, New Jersey.

**B. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City** (Donna J. Ward, Assistant Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198-0001:

1. *First Bancorp of Durango, Inc.* Inverness, Illinois; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Grants State Bank, Grants, New Mexico.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 30, 2007.

**Robert deV. Frierson,**

*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. E7-1736 Filed 2-1-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[ME-2007-N01; Docket 2007-0005,  
Sequence 1]

### Change in Fee Structure for FIPS 201 Product/Service Evaluations

**AGENCY:** Office of Governmentwide Policy, General Services Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 201 Evaluation Program, the General Services Administration (GSA) publishes this notice of intent that it will no longer fund product/service evaluations as of April 3, 2007. Overall impact on the FIPS 201 Evaluation Program is minimal with respect to operations. Product/service evaluations will continue to be performed and approved through the current fully operational laboratory and future qualified laboratories; however, fees for evaluations will be borne on a cost-reimbursable basis by the Supplier. Instruction on how to obtain product/service evaluations and an estimated fee structure will be posted at: (<http://fips201ep.cio.gov/index.php>).

**DATES:** The GSA FIPS 201 Evaluation Program currently provides funding for evaluation of products and services through its fully operational laboratory. Suppliers whose applications for evaluation are received by the laboratory after April 3, 2007 will be required to bear the cost of their evaluation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. April Giles, FIPS 201 Evaluation

Program, GSA, 1800 F Street, NW, Stop 2013, Washington, DC, 20405, telephone: 202-501-1123, e-mail: [april.giles@gsa.gov](mailto:april.giles@gsa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Homeland Security Presidential Directive-12 (HSPD-12), "Policy for a Common Identification Standard for Federal Employees and Contractors" requires agencies to use only information technology products and services that meet this standard. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has designated the GSA as the Executive Agent for government-wide acquisitions for the implementation of HSPD-12. HSPD-12 establishes the requirement for a mandatory Government-wide standard for secure and reliable forms of identification issued by the Federal Government to its employees and contractors. OMB has directed Federal agencies to purchase only products and services that are compliant with the Federal policy, standards and numerous supporting technical specifications, including:

- Federal Information Processing Standard 201, Personal Identity Verification of Federal Employees and Contractors;
- National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publications (SP) 800-73, Interfaces for Personal Identity Verification, 800-78 Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Sizes for Personal Identity Verification, and 800-79, Guidelines for the Certification and Accreditation of PIV Card Issuing Organizations; and
- Special Publication 800-76, Biometric Data Specification for Personal Identity Verification (Pending).

To ensure standard HSPD-12 compliant products and services are available, NIST has issued requirements in FIPS 201 and supporting documentation (<http://csrc.nist.gov/piv-program/fips201-support-docs.html>).

As mandated by OMB through M05-24, GSA has been designated as the "executive agent for Government-wide acquisitions of information technology" under section 5112(e) of the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996 (40 U.S.C. § 11302(e)) for the products and services required by the HSPD-12. GSA will ensure all approved Suppliers provide products and services that meet all applicable federal standards and requirements through its fully operational laboratory under the FIPS 201 Evaluation Program, web site found at (<http://fips201ep.cio.gov/index.php>).

The GSA FIPS 201 Evaluation Program is currently set up to evaluate

products and services against the requirements outlined in FIPS 201 and its supporting documents. Twenty-two product/service categories were created using FIPS 201 and supporting documentation as the foundation. Each category has developed approval and test procedures which outline the evaluation criteria, approval mechanisms and test process employed by the laboratory during their evaluation of a Supplier's product or service against the requirements for that category. Initially the cost of evaluating each product was funded by GSA.

Once evaluated and approved by GSA, products and services are placed on the FIPS 201 Approved List. Agencies can then procure these products and services from Suppliers for their HSPD-12 implementations having full assurance that they meet all the requirements of FIPS 201 and all supporting documentation.

Dated: January 24, 2007.

**Mary Mitchell,**

*Deputy Associate Administrator, Office of Technology Strategy.*

[FR Doc. E7-1693 Filed 2-1-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-S

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[Document Identifier: CMS-367]

#### Emergency Clearance: Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

**AGENCY:** Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services, HHS.

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of proposed collections for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to