

Item	Form No.	Estimated annual responses	Fee amount	Estimated annual filing costs
Issue Fee (design patent, no publication fee, small entity) .....	PTOL-85B .....	8,500	400.00	3,400,000.00
Issue Fee (plant patent, no publication fee) .....	PTOL-85B .....	120	1,100.00	132,000.00
Issue Fee (plant patent, no publication fee, small entity) .....	PTOL-85B .....	80	550.00	44,000.00
Issue Fee (plant patent, with publication fee) .....	PTOL-85B .....	480	1,400.00	672,000.00
Issue Fee (plant patent, with publication fee, small entity) .....	PTOL-85B .....	320	850.00	272,000.00
Totals .....	.....	224,926	.....	273,013,030.00

Customers may incur postage costs when submitting the information in this collection to the USPTO by mail. The USPTO estimates that the average first-class postage cost for a mailed submission will be 52 cents and that up to 186,326 submissions will be mailed to the USPTO per year. The total estimated postage cost for this collection is \$96,890 per year.

When submitting the electronic version of the Issue Fee Transmittal, the applicant is strongly urged to retain a copy of the acknowledgment receipt as evidence that the form was received by the USPTO on the date noted. The USPTO estimates that it will take 5 seconds (0.001 hours) to print and retain a copy of the acknowledgment receipt and that 38,600 submissions per year will submit the issue fee electronically, for a total of approximately 39 hours per year for printing this receipt. Using the paraprofessional rate of \$90 per hour, the USPTO estimates that the recordkeeping cost associated with this requirement will be \$3,510 per year.

The total non-hour respondent cost burden for this collection in the form of filing fees, postage costs, and recordkeeping costs is \$273,113,430 per year.

#### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, e.g., the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: January 16, 2007.

**Susan K. Brown,**

*Records Officer, USPTO Office of the Chief Information Officer, Architecture, Engineering and Technical Services, Data Architecture and Services Division.*

[FR Doc. E7-908 Filed 1-22-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-16-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

##### Department of the Air Force

##### Establishment and Operation of an Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance, and Strike Capability, Andersen Air Force Base, Guam

**AGENCY:** Department of the Air Force.

**ACTION:** Record of Decision (ROD).

**SUMMARY:** On January 12, 2007, the United States Air Force signed the ROD for the Establishment and Operation of an Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance, and Strike Capability, Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. The decision was based on matters discussed in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), inputs from the public and regulatory agencies, and other relevant factors. The Final EIS was made available on November 24, 2006 in the **Federal Register** (Volume 71, Number 226, Page 67864) with a wait period ending December 26, 2006. The Air Force was the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) lead agency with the Department of the Navy acting as a Cooperating Agency under NEPA. The ROD documents only the decision of the Air Force with respect to the proposed Air Force actions analyzed in the Final EIS.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Jonathan Wald, 36 Civil Engineer Squadron, 671-366-2549.

**Bao-Anh Trinh,**

*DAF, Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. E7-893 Filed 1-22-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-05-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

##### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before March 26, 2007.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the