2 licensing basis to adopt a selective implementation of the alternative source term radiological analysis methodology. The amendments also revised Technical Specification 3.9.4, “Containment Penetrations.”

Date of issuance: December 22, 2006.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: 236, 218

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–9 and NPF–17: Amendments revised the licenses and the technical specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: August 24, 2006 (71 FR 50105)

The supplements dated May 4 and August 31, 2006, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff’s original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission’s related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 19, 2006.


Brief description of amendment: The proposed amendments would relocate the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standard being used to test the total particulate concentration of the stored fuel oil to the Technical Specification (TS) Bases. This proposed change is described in TS Task Force (TSTF) Standard TS Change Traveler TSTF–374, Rev. 0, “Revision to TS 5.5.13 and Associated TS Bases for Diesel Fuel Oil.” In addition, the licensee has proposed to use a “water and sediment test” instead of the “clear and bright” test provided in TSTF–374.

Date of issuance: December 11, 2006.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: 249, 229.

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–4 and NPF–7: Amendments change the licenses and the technical specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: August 15, 2006 (71 FR 46941)

The Commission’s related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 11, 2006.

Date of issuance: December 19, 2006.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days.

Amendment Nos.: 179/165.

Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–11 and NPF–18: The amendments revised the Technical Specifications and License.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: April 12, 2005 (70 FR 19115)

The August 16, August 24, September 13, and October 12, 2006 supplements contained clarifying information and did not change the NRC staff’s initial proposed finding of no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission’s related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 19, 2006.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.


Brief description of amendment: The proposed amendments would relocate the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standard being used to test the total particulate concentration of the stored fuel oil to the Technical Specification (TS) Bases. This proposed change is described in TS Task Force (TSTF) Standard TS Change Traveler TSTF–374, Rev. 0, “Revision to TS 5.5.13 and Associated TS Bases for Diesel Fuel Oil.” In addition, the licensee has proposed to use a “water and sediment test” instead of the “clear and bright” test provided in TSTF–374.

Date of issuance: December 11, 2006.

Effective date: As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

Amendment Nos.: 249, 229.

Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–4 and NPF–7: Amendments change the licenses and the technical specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: August 15, 2006 (71 FR 46941)

The Commission’s related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated December 11, 2006.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of January 2007.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John W. Lubinski,

Deputy Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E7–321 Filed 1–12–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SEcurities and Exchange Commission


Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Options Fee Changes


Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on January 4, 2007, the American Stock Exchange LLC (“Amex” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the Amex.

The Amex has designated this proposal as one establishing or changing a member due, fee, or other charge imposed by a self-regulatory organization pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act 3 and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) thereunder, which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission.

The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Amex proposes to modify its Options Fee Schedule. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Amex, on the Amex’s Web site at http://www.amex.com, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Amex included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposal. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and
Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to amend its Options Fee schedule to eliminate the licensing fee of $0.10 a contract which is currently charged on options on the iShares Russell 1000 Index Fund (symbol: IWB), the iShares Russell 1000 Growth Index Fund (symbol: IWF), the iShares Russell 1000 Value Index Fund (symbol: IWD), the iShares Russell 2000 Index Fund (symbol: IWM), the iShares Russell 2000 Growth Index Fund (symbol: IWO), the iShares Russell 2000 Value Index Fund (symbol: IWN), and the iShares Russell 3000 Index Fund (symbol: IWD) (collectively, the “ETF Options”)

The Exchange is proposing to eliminate the licensing fee applicable to the ETF Options as of January 3, 2007 due to the termination of existing licensing agreements on December 31, 2006. The Exchange asserts that the proposal is equitable as required by Section 6(b)(4) of the Act.5

2. Statutory Basis

The proposed fee change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act6 regarding the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among exchange members and other persons using exchange facilities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act and paragraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–4


SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Definition of Complex Trade as Applied to Trades Through the Options Intermarket Linkage


Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on December 28, 2006, the American Stock Exchange LLC (“Amex” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change, as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared substantially by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to revise Amex Rule 940(b)(3) to amend the definition of “Complex Trade.” The text of the proposed rule change appears below, with additions italicized and deletions in [brackets]:

Options Intermarket Linkage

Rule 940 (a) No Change
(b) Definitions—The following terms shall have the meaning specified in this Rule solely for the purpose of this Section 4:

(1)–(2) No Change
(3) “Complex Trade” means the execution of an order in an option series in conjunction with the execution of one or more related order(s) in different options series in the same underlying security occurring at or near the same