

(2) Any formula grant amounts reserved for an applicant that chooses not to submit an application shall be reallocated to any remaining eligible applicants on a pro rata basis.

(3) No amounts shall be reallocated under paragraph (d) of this section in any fiscal year to any applicant whose grant amount was reduced under subpart O of this part.

§§ 570.430 and 570.432 [Removed]

10. Remove §§ 570.430 and 570.432.

11. In § 570.901, revise paragraphs (d) and (e) to read as follows:

§ 570.901 Review for compliance with the primary and national objectives and other program requirements.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) For entitlement grants and non-entitlement CDBG grants in Hawaii, the submission requirements of 24 CFR part 91 and the displacement policy requirements at § 570.606;

(e) For HUD-administered Small Cities grants in New York, the citizen participation requirements at § 570.431, the amendment requirements at § 570.427, and the displacement policy requirements of § 570.606;

\* \* \* \* \*

12. In § 570.902:

- a. Revise the heading of paragraph (a);
b. Revise the introductory paragraph of paragraph (a)(1); and
c. Revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 570.902 Review to determine if CDBG-funded activities are being carried out in a timely manner.

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) Entitlement recipients and Non-entitlement CDBG Grantees in Hawaii. (1) Before the funding of the next annual grant and absent contrary evidence satisfactory to HUD, HUD will consider an entitlement recipient or a non-entitlement CDBG grantee in Hawaii to be failing to carry out its CDBG activities in a timely manner if:

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) HUD-administered Small Cities program in New York. The Department will, absent substantial evidence to the contrary, deem a HUD-administered Small Cities recipient in New York to be carrying out its CDBG-funded activities in a timely manner if the schedule for carrying out its activities, as contained in the approved application (including any subsequent amendment(s), is being substantially met.

13. Revise § 570.911(b) to read as follows:

§ 570.911 Reduction, withdrawal, or adjustment of a grant or other appropriate action.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Entitlement grants and Non-entitlement CDBG Grantees in Hawaii. Consistent with the procedures described in § 570.900(b), the Secretary may make a reduction in the CDBG grant amount either for the succeeding program year or, if the grant had been conditioned, up to the amount that had been conditioned. The amount of the reduction shall be based on the severity of the deficiency and may be for the entire grant amount.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: November 13, 2006.

Nelson R. Bregón,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

[FR Doc. E6-22502 Filed 12-29-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2005-CA-0011, FRL-8259-8]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Imperial County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern the permitting of air pollution sources. We are proposing to approve local rules under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by February 2, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2005-CA-0011, by one of the following methods

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions.
• E-mail: R9airpermits@epa.gov.
• Mail or deliver: Gerardo Rios (Air-3), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov,

including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. www.regulations.gov is an "anonymous access" system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Docket: The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at www.regulations.gov and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Manny Aquitania, Permits Office (AIR-3), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 972-3977, aquitania.manny@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the approval of local ICAPCD Rules 201, 203, 205, 206, and 208. In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this direct final rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is

planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: November 30, 2006.

**Wayne Nastri,**

*Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. E6-22422 Filed 12-29-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2005-TN-0009, EPA-R04-OAR-2006-0471, EPA-R04-OAR-2006-0532, 200614(b); FRL-8265-7]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Tennessee: Approval of Revisions To the Knox County Portion of the Tennessee State Implementation Plan

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Tennessee State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the State of Tennessee, through the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, on December 21, 1999, March 15, 2000, and January 12, 2001. The revisions pertain to the Knox County portion of the Tennessee SIP and include changes to the Knox County Air Quality Regulations Section 13.0—“Definitions” and Section 22.0—“Regulation of Fugitive Dust and Materials.” These revisions are part of Knox County’s strategy to attain and maintain the national ambient air quality standards, and are considered by the TDEC to be at least as stringent as the State’s requirements. This action is being taken pursuant to section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the Tennessee SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before February 2, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Nos. EPA-R04-OAR-2005-TN-0009, EPA-R04-OAR-2006-0471, and EPA-R04-OAR-2006-0532, by one of the following methods:

1. *www.regulations.gov*: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
2. *E-mail*: [louis.egide@epa.gov](mailto:louis.egide@epa.gov).
3. *Fax*: (404) 562-9019.
4. *Mail*: “EPA-R04-OAR-2004-TN-0004,” “EPA-R04-OAR-2005-TN-0009,” or “EPA-R04-OAR-2006-0532,” Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

5. *Hand Delivery or Courier*: Dr. Egide Louis, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office’s normal hours of operation. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding Federal holidays.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register** for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Egide Louis, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The telephone number is (404) 562-9240. Dr. Louis can also be reached via electronic mail at [louis.egide@epa.gov](mailto:louis.egide@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 20, 2006.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

[FR Doc. E6-22481 Filed 12-29-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2004-TN-0004, EPA-R04-OAR-2005-TN-0009, EPA-R04-OAR-2006-0532, 200607/17(b); FRL-8265-5]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Tennessee: Approval of Revisions to the Knox County Portion of the Tennessee State Implementation Plan

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve several revisions to the Tennessee State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the State of Tennessee, through the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, on March 16, 2000, July 23, 2002, December 10, 2004, and January 31, 2006. The revisions pertain to the Knox County portion of the Tennessee SIP and include changes to Knox County Air Quality Regulations Section 16.0—Open Burning, Section 25.0—Permits, and Section 46.0—Regulation of Volatile Organic Compounds. EPA is not taking any action at this time on Section 13.0—Definitions (part of the December 10, 2004, submittal) and Section 16.4.D., which was part of the January 31, 2006, submittal but subsequently withdrawn by Knox County. The SIP revisions described above are part of Knox County’s strategy to attain and maintain the national ambient air quality standards. This action is being taken pursuant to the Clean Air Act. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving revisions to the Tennessee SIP for these Tennessee SIP submittals as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these as a noncontroversial submittals, and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before February 2, 2007.