

allow land disposal of a specific restricted waste at a specific site. The EPA Regional Offices will review the petitions and determine if they successfully demonstrate "no migration." The applicant must demonstrate that hazardous wastes can be managed safely in a particular land disposal unit, so that "no migration" of any hazardous constituents occurs from the unit for as long as the waste remains hazardous. If EPA grants the variance, the waste is no longer prohibited from land disposal in that particular unit. If the owner/operator fails to make this demonstration, or chooses not to petition for the variance, best demonstrated available technology (BDAT) requirements of 40 CFR 268.40 must be met before the hazardous wastes are placed in a land disposal unit.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3,168 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

**Respondents/Affected Entities:** Business.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 1.

**Frequency of Response:** One-time.

**Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:** 3,168.

**Estimated Total Annual Cost:** \$211,275, which includes \$121 annualized capital or O&M costs and \$211,154 annual labor costs.

**Changes in the Estimates:** There is no change in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens.

Dated: December 7, 2006.

**Richard T. Westlund,**

*Acting Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. E6-21294 Filed 12-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Petition IV-2005-1; FRL-8255-8]

### Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for Tennessee Valley Authority—Paradise Fossil Plant; Drakesboro (Muhlenberg County), KY

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final order on petition to object to a state operating permit.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Clean Air Act Section 505(b)(2) and 40 CFR 70.8(d), the EPA Administrator signed an Order, dated October 20, 2006, denying a petition to object to a state operating permit proposed by the Kentucky Division for Air Quality (KDAQ) for Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) Paradise Fossil Plant (TVA Paradise) located in Drakesboro, Muhlenberg County, Kentucky. This Order constitutes final action on the petition submitted by Preston Forsyth, the Center for Biological Diversity, Kentucky Heartwood, and Hillary Lambert (Petitioners). Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act (the Act) any person may seek judicial review of the Order in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of this notice under section 307 of the Act.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the final Order, the petition, and all pertinent information relating thereto are on file at the following location: EPA Region 4, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The final Order is also available electronically at the following address: [http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/tvaparadise\\_decision2005.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/tvaparadise_decision2005.pdf)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Art Hofmeister, Air Permits Section, EPA Region 4, at (404) 562-9115 or [hofmeister.art@epa.gov](mailto:hofmeister.art@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Act affords EPA a 45-day period to review and, as appropriate, to object to operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities under title V of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7661-7661f. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act and 40 CFR 70.8(d) authorize any person to petition the EPA Administrator to object to a title V operating permit within 60 days after the expiration of EPA's 45-day review period if EPA has not objected on its own initiative. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that

were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period.

Petitioners submitted a petition on April 21, 2005, requesting that EPA object to a state title V operating permit proposed by KDAQ for TVA Paradise. This action followed an objection (to the proposed permit) issued by EPA on February 18, 2005. On August 18, 2006, at the source's request, KDAQ subsequently withdrew the proposed permit. On October 20, 2006, the Administrator issued an Order denying the petition on the basis of it being moot since a permit (about which EPA could further object) no longer existed. The Order further explains EPA's rationale for denying the petition.

Dated: December 5, 2006.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**

*Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

[FR Doc. E6-21289 Filed 12-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Petition IV-2003-6; FRL-8255-7]

### Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for Georgia-Pacific Brunswick Operations; Brunswick (Glynn County), GA

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final order on petition to object to a state operating permit.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Clean Air Act Section 505(b)(2) and 40 CFR 70.8(d), the EPA Administrator signed an Order, dated September 21, 2006, denying a petition to object to a state operating permit issued by the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) to Georgia-Pacific Brunswick Operations (Georgia-Pacific) located in Brunswick, Glynn County, Georgia. This Order constitutes final action on the petition submitted by Ms. Deborah Ann Strong (Petitioner). Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act (the Act) any person may seek judicial review of the Order in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of this notice under section 307 of the Act.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the final Order, the petition, and all pertinent information relating thereto are on file

at the following location: EPA Region 4, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The final Order is also available electronically at the following address: [http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/georgiapacific\\_decision2003.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/georgiapacific_decision2003.pdf).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Art Hofmeister, Air Permits Section, EPA Region 4, at (404) 562-9115 or [hofmeister.art@epa.gov](mailto:hofmeister.art@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Act affords EPA a 45-day period to review and, as appropriate, to object to operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities under title V of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7661-7661f. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act and 40 CFR 70.8(d) authorize any person to petition the EPA Administrator to object to a title V operating permit within 60 days after the expiration of EPA's 45-day review period if EPA has not objected on its own initiative. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period.

Ms. Strong submitted a petition on May 15, 2003, requesting that EPA object to a state title V operating permit issued by EPD to Georgia-Pacific. The Petitioner maintains that the Georgia-Pacific permit is inconsistent with the Act because: (1) It fails to ensure the source's compliance with Georgia's nuisance rule; (2) it allows the source to emit illegal levels of formaldehyde emissions; and (3) the public notice and comment procedures associated with its issuance were flawed.

On September 21, 2006, the Administrator issued an Order denying this petition. The Order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the Petitioner has failed to demonstrate that the Georgia-Pacific permit is not in compliance with the requirements of the Act on the grounds raised.

Dated: December 5, 2006.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**

*Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

[FR Doc. E6-21290 Filed 12-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Petition IV-2003-8; FRL-8255-6]

### Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for Continental Carbon Company; Phenix City (Russell County), AL

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final order on petition to object to a state operating permit.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Clean Air Act Section 505(b)(2) and 40 CFR 70.8(d), the EPA Administrator signed an Order, dated September 21, 2006, denying a petition to object to a state operating permit issued by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) to Continental Carbon Company located in Phenix City, Russell County, Alabama. This Order constitutes final action on the petition submitted by Action Marine, Inc.; John Tharpe; the City of Columbus, Georgia; Owen and Mabel Ditchfield; Donald and Carolyn Lang; Alma Chapman; and Lois Baggett (Petitioners). Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act (the Act) any person may seek judicial review of the Order in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of this notice under section 307 of the Act.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the final Order, the petition, and all pertinent information relating thereto are on file at the following location: EPA Region 4, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The final Order is also available electronically at the following address: [http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/continentalcarbon\\_decision2003.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/continentalcarbon_decision2003.pdf).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathleen Forney, Air Permits Section, EPA Region 4, at (404) 562-9130 or [forney.kathleen@epa.gov](mailto:forney.kathleen@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Act affords EPA a 45-day period to review and, as appropriate, to object to operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities under title V of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7661-7661f. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act and 40 CFR 70.8(d) authorize any person to petition the EPA Administrator to object to a title V operating permit within 60 days after the expiration of EPA's 45-day review period if EPA has not objected on its own initiative. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity

during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period.

Petitioners submitted a petition on December 24, 2003, requesting that EPA object to a state title V operating permit issued by ADEM to Continental Carbon. Petitioners maintain that the Continental Carbon permit is inconsistent with the Act because: (1) The public participation procedures associated with its issuance failed to utilize certain local newspapers; and (2) materials related to changes made to the draft permit following public comment were not made readily available to the public.

On September 21, 2006, the Administrator issued an Order denying this petition. The Order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the Petitioners failed to demonstrate that the Continental Carbon permit is not in compliance with the requirements of the Act on the grounds raised.

Dated: December 5, 2006.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**

*Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

[FR Doc. E6-21291 Filed 12-13-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Petition IV-2001-6; FRL-8255-5]

### Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for King Finishing; Dover (Screven County), GA

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final order denying petition to object to a state operating permit in response to remand.

**SUMMARY:** On September 21, 2006, the Administrator issued an Order Granting Remanded Issue on Petition to Object (Order) regarding a state operating permit issued to King Finishing located in Dover, Screven County, Georgia, pursuant to title V of the Clean Air Act (the Act), 42 U.S.C. 7661-7661f. On October 9, 2001, the Georgia Center for Law in the Public Interest filed a petition, on behalf of the Sierra Club (Petitioner), seeking EPA's objection to the title V operating permit issued to King Finishing by the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD). The Administrator denied the