

park resources is paramount. Stronger educational and outreach programs provide enjoyment and introduce park conservation values. The basic character of park activities and the rustic architecture of facilities are retained so that the parks remain strikingly different from surrounding areas. Park administrative facilities are redesigned and may be relocated outside the parks. Park facilities accommodate sustainable growth. Stock use continues with appropriate management and monitoring.

Alternative A: Emphasize Natural Ecosystems and Biodiversity; Reduce Use and Development: The parks are natural resource preserves; they are primarily valued because they contain publicly owned resources that will be conserved for the future. Levels of use are lower than at present, and visitor experiences are more directly connected to natural resources and provide more solitude. The parks contrast strongly with surrounding lands which are continuing to develop. Park managers aggressively cooperate with the managers of surrounding lands to enhance range-wide biodiversity.

Alternative C: Preserve Traditional Character and Retain the Feel of Yesteryear; Guide Growth: The parks present a traditional character and the feeling of yesteryear, where experiences are more reminiscent of how visitors used the parks in the past. This is conveyed through rustic architecture and lower impact recreational activities (such as sightseeing and hiking) that were popular from the 1920s to the 1960s, providing an experience that is strikingly different from that in an urban setting. Redesigned developed areas accommodate limited growth; overnight stays are encouraged. Negative impacts on natural resources are controlled, so as to maintain or improve resource conditions.

Alternative D: Preserve Basic Character and Adapt to Changing User Groups; Guide Growth: The parks preserve some of their traditional character and rustic architecture, but diverse new user groups and uses are encouraged. Day use is more common. Facilities are expanded to meet users' needs, while frequent interpretive programs are offered to educate, entertain, and instill a sense of park conservation values. Negative impacts on natural resources are controlled or mitigated, so as to maintain or improve resource conditions.

Addresses and Further Information: Copies of the Final EIS will be available for public review in the office of the Superintendent and at local area public libraries, and may also be requested (by

those not presently on the mailing list) by contacting the park by letter at: Final EIS/GMP, Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks, 47050 Generals Highway, Three Rivers, CA 93271-9651; by telephone at (559) 565-3101; or by e-mail at seki_superintendent@nps.gov. Please note that names and addresses of all respondents will become part of the public record. Our practice is to make all comments, including names, home addresses, home phone numbers, and e-mail addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their names and/or home addresses, etc., but if you wish us to consider withholding this information you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. In addition, you must present a rationale for withholding this information. This rationale must demonstrate that disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. Unsupported assertions will not meet this burden. In the absence of exceptional, documentable circumstances, this information will be released. We will always make submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives of or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Decision: The National Park Service will execute a Record of Decision not sooner than 30 days following publication by the Environmental Protection Agency of its notice of filing of the Final EIS in the **Federal Register**. As a delegated EIS the official responsible for the final approval of the General Management Plan and Comprehensive River Management Plan is the Regional Director; subsequently the official responsible for implementing the new plans would be the Superintendent, Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks.

Dated: October 6, 2006.

George J. Turnbull,

Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.
[FR Doc. 06-9279 Filed 11-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-X2-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Quarterly Status Report of Water Service, Repayment, and Other Water-Related Contract Negotiations

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of contractual actions that have been proposed to the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and are new, modified, discontinued, or completed since the last publication of this notice on August 2, 2006. This notice is one of a variety of means used to inform the public about proposed contractual actions for capital recovery and management of project resources and facilities consistent with section 9(f) of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939. Additional announcements of individual contract actions may be published in the **Federal Register** and in newspapers of general circulation in the areas determined by Reclamation to be affected by the proposed action.

ADDRESSES: The identity of the approving officer and other information pertaining to a specific contract proposal may be obtained by calling or writing the appropriate regional office at the address and telephone number given for each region in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandra L. Simons, Manager, Contract Services Office, Bureau of Reclamation, PO Box 25007, Denver, Colorado 80225-0007; telephone 303-445-2902.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Consistent with section 9(f) of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 and the rules and regulations published in 52 FR 11954, April 13, 1987 (43 CFR 426.22), Reclamation will publish notice of proposed or amendatory contract actions for any contract for the delivery of project water for authorized uses in newspapers of general circulation in the affected area at least 60 days prior to contract execution. Announcements may be in the form of news releases, legal notices, official letters, memorandums, or other forms of written material. Meetings, workshops, and/or hearings may also be used, as appropriate, to provide local publicity. The public participation procedures do not apply to proposed contracts for the sale of surplus or interim irrigation water for a term of 1 year or less. Either of the contracting parties may invite the public to observe contract proceedings. All public participation procedures will be coordinated with those involved in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act. Pursuant to the "Final Revised Public Participation Procedures" for water resource-related contract negotiations, published in 47 FR 7763, February 22, 1982, a tabulation is provided of all proposed contractual actions in each of the five Reclamation

regions. When contract negotiations are completed, and prior to execution, each proposed contract form must be approved by the Secretary of the Interior, or pursuant to delegated or redelegated authority, the Commissioner of Reclamation or one of the regional directors. In some instances, congressional review and approval of a report, water rate, or other terms and conditions of the contract may be involved.

Public participation in and receipt of comments on contract proposals will be facilitated by adherence to the following procedures:

1. Only persons authorized to act on behalf of the contracting entities may negotiate the terms and conditions of a specific contract proposal.

2. Advance notice of meetings or hearings will be furnished to those parties that have made a timely written request for such notice to the appropriate regional or project office of Reclamation.

3. Written correspondence regarding proposed contracts may be made available to the general public pursuant to the terms and procedures of the Freedom of Information Act, as amended.

4. Written comments on a proposed contract or contract action must be submitted to the appropriate regional officials at the locations and within the time limits set forth in the advance public notices.

5. All written comments received and testimony presented at any public hearings will be reviewed and summarized by the appropriate regional office for use by the contract approving authority.

6. Copies of specific proposed contracts may be obtained from the appropriate regional director or his designated public contact as they become available for review and comment.

7. In the event modifications are made in the form of a proposed contract, the appropriate regional director shall determine whether republication of the notice and/or extension of the comment period is necessary.

Factors considered in making such a determination shall include, but are not limited to (i) The significance of the modification, and (ii) the degree of public interest which has been expressed over the course of the negotiations. At a minimum, the regional director shall furnish revised contracts to all parties who requested the contract in response to the initial public notice.

The February 23, 2006, notice should be used as a reference point to identify

changes. The numbering system in this notice corresponds with the numbering system in the February 23, 2006, notice.

DEFINITIONS OF ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS DOCUMENT

| BCP; reclamation | Boulder Canyon Project; Bureau of Reclamation |
|------------------|---|
| CAP | Central Arizona Project. |
| CVP | Central Valley Project. |
| CVPIA | Central Valley Project Improvement Act. |
| CRSP | Colorado River Storage Project. |
| FR | Federal Register. |
| IDD | Irrigation and Drainage District. |
| ID | Irrigation District. |
| M&I | Municipal and Industrial. |
| NMISC | New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission. |
| O&M | Operation and Maintenance. |
| P-SMBP | Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program. |
| PPR | Present Perfected Right. |
| RRA | Reclamation Reform Act of 1982. |
| SOD | Safety of Dams. |
| SRPA | Small Reclamation Projects Act of 1956. |
| WD | Water District. |

Pacific Northwest Region: Bureau of Reclamation, 1150 North Curtis Road, Suite 100, Boise, Idaho 83706-1234, telephone 208-378-5344.

Modified contract action:

16. Two irrigation water user entities, Boise Project, Idaho: Long-term renewal and/or conversion of two irrigation water service contracts for supplemental irrigation use of up to 2,218 acre-feet of storage space in Lucky Peak Reservoir, a Corps of Engineers' project on the Boise River, Idaho. Sixteen water service contracts have been converted to repayment contracts for a total of 68,000 acre-feet of storage space.

Completed contract action:

19. Stanfield ID, Umatilla Project, Oregon: Contract for long-term boundary expansion to include lands outside of federally recognized district boundaries. Contract executed September 8, 2006.

Mid-Pacific Region: Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825-1898, telephone 916-978-5250.

New contract actions:

44. Elk Creek Community Services District, CVP, California: Interim renewal of water service contract for an initial period of 3 years, with subsequent interim renewal contracts of 2 years pursuant to section 3404(c) of the CVPIA.

45. Westlands WD, CVP, California: Interim renewal of water service

contract (Case No. CV-79-106-EDP) for an initial period of 3 years, with subsequent interim renewal contracts of 2 years pursuant to section 3404(c) of the CVPIA.

46. Westlands WD, CVP, California: Interim renewal of water service contract (No. 14-06-200-495A) for an initial period of 3 years, with subsequent interim renewal contracts of 2 years pursuant to section 3404(c) of the CVPIA.

Modified contract actions:

42. Cawelo WD and Lindsay-Strathmore ID, CVP, California: Long-term Warren Act contract for conveying nonproject water for a non-CVP contractor.

43. Elk Creek Community Services District, CVP, California: Renewal of long-term water service contract for up to 100 acre-feet for a period of 40 years.

Lower Colorado Region: Bureau of Reclamation, PO Box 61470 (Nevada Highway and Park Street), Boulder City, Nevada 89006-1470, telephone 702-293-8081.

New contract actions:

42. City of Needles, BCP, California: Amendment to contract No. 05-XX-30-W0445 to include PPR No. 44 for an annual diversion of 1,260 acre-feet or the annual consumptive use of 273 acre-feet, whichever is less.

43. Cibola Resources, LLC, BCP, Arizona: Assign contract No. 06-XX-30-W0449 to B&F Investment, LLC, transfer the 60-acre-foot entitlement to B&F under that contract, and enter into a new contract with B&F to change the type and place of use.

44. Rudy J. Leon and Helen V. Thomas, BCP, California: Enter into a contract for their entitlement of PPR No. 38 for 1.7086 acre-feet of water per year.

Completed contract actions:

11. Berneil Water Co., CAP, Arizona: Partial assignment of 200 acre-feet of water per year to the Cave Creek Water Company.

37. Cibola Valley IDD, BCP, Arizona: Assign 60 acre-feet per year of the district's entitlement to Arizona fourth-priority water to Cibola Resources, LLC, for agricultural purposes.

Upper Colorado Region: Bureau of Reclamation, 125 South State Street, Room 6107, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138-1102, telephone 801-524-3864.

New contraction:

1.(h) Michael and Nancy Courtney Schell, Aspinall Storage Unit, CRSP: The Schells have requested a 40-year water service contract for 1 acre-foot of water out of Blue Mesa Reservoir. An augmentation plan is not required for their direct withdrawal of water from the reservoir.

Modified contract:

32. Emery County Project, Utah: The Huntington Cleveland Irrigation Company has requested a contract for carriage of up to 14,074 acre-feet of nonproject water; utilizing Huntington North Reservoir as a regulating feature associated with their Salinity Control Project.

Great Plains Region: Bureau of Reclamation, PO Box 36900, Federal Building, 316 North 26th Street, Billings, Montana 59107-6900, telephone 406-247-7752.

New contract actions:

49. Colorado River Water Conservation District, Colorado-Big Thompson Project, Colorado: Long-term exchange, conveyance, and storage contract to implement the Exhibit B Agreement of the Settlement Agreement on Operating Procedures for Green Mountain Reservoir Concerning Operating Limitations and in Resolution of the Petition Filed August 7, 2003, in Case No. 49-CV-2782 (The United States v Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District, *et al.*, U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, Case No. 2782 and Consolidated Case Nos. 5016 and 5017).

50. Colorado River Water Conservation District, Colorado-Big Thompson Project, Colorado: Consideration of a request for a long-term contract for the use of excess capacity for storage and exchange in Green Mountain Reservoir in the Colorado-Big Thompson Project.

Modified contract actions:

9. Highland-Hanover ID, Hanover-Bluff Unit, P-SMBP, Wyoming: Negotiate long-term water service contract.

10. Upper Bluff ID, Hanover-Bluff Unit, P-SMBP, Wyoming: Negotiate long-term water service contract.

13. Savage ID, P-SMBP, Montana: The district is currently seeking title transfer. The contract is subject to renewal pending outcome of the title transfer process. A 5-year interim contract was executed May 7, 2003, to ensure a continuous water supply.

Completed contract actions:

8. City of Cheyenne, Kendrick Project, Wyoming: Negotiate a long-term contract for storage space for replacement water on a daily basis in Seminoe Reservoir. A temporary contract has been issued pending negotiation of the long-term contract. Long-term contract was executed October 1, 2006.

16. Glendo Unit, P-SMBP, Wyoming: Amendments to long-term water service contracts with Burbank Ditch, New Grattan Ditch Company, Torrington ID, Lucerne Canal and Power Company, and Wright and Murphy Ditch

Company. Contract amendments were executed June 28, 2006.

17. Glendo Unit, P-SMBP, Nebraska: Amendments to long-term water service contracts with Bridgeport, Enterprise, and Mitchell IDs, and Central Nebraska Public Power and ID. Contract amendments were executed June 28, 2006.

27. Hill County WD, Milk River Project, Montana: Drafting contracts for renewal of municipal water supply contract No. 14-06-600-8954 which expired August 1, 2006. The proposal includes splitting the contract between Hill County WD and North Havre County WD which both receive their full water supply under the current contract. Contract No. 069E670064 with Hill County WD was executed July 28, 2006; and contract No. 069E670065 with North Havre County WD was executed August 4, 2006.

Discontinued contract action:

21. Canadian River Municipal Water Authority, Lake Meredith Salinity Control Project, New Mexico and Texas: Negotiation of a contract for the transfer of control (care and O&M) of the project to the Authority in accordance with Pub. L. 102-575, Title VIII, Section 804(c).

Dated: September 27, 2006.

Roseann Gonzales,

Director, Office of Program and Policy Services.

[FR Doc. E6-19554 Filed 11-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-891 (Review)]

Foundry Coke From China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on foundry coke from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on foundry coke from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207,

subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 6, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jai Motwane (202-205-3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background. On November 6, 2006, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (71 FR 43518) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff report. A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on November 28, 2006, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions. As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

² The Commission has found the responses submitted by ABC Coke, Citizens Gas & Coke Utility, Erie Coke, Sloss Industries Corp., and Tonawanda Coke Corp. to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).