

Producer	Weighted-Average Margin (Percentage)
Ivaco	2.75

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b), the Department will disclose calculations performed within 5 days of publication of this notice. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results. See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii). Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed no later than five days after submission of case briefs. See 19 CFR 351.309(d). Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities. Further, the parties submitting written comments should provide the Department with an additional copy of the public version of any such comments on diskette. An interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of these preliminary results. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication, or the first working day thereafter. The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results.

Assessment

Upon completion of this administrative review, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department will calculate an assessment rate on all appropriate entries. We will calculate importer-specific duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total volume of the examined sales for that importer. Where the assessment rate is above *de minimis*, pursuant to 19 CFR 356.8(a), the Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP on or after 41 days following the publication of the final results of review.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) ("Assessment Policy Notice"). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by companies included in these final

results of reviews for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise it sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediary involved in the transaction. See *Assessment Policy Notice* for a full discussion of this clarification.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of steel wire rod from Canada entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for Ivaco will be the rates established in the final results of this review, except if a rate is less than 0.5 percent, and therefore *de minimis*, the cash deposit will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value ("LTFV") investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 8.11 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the LTFV investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entities during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These preliminary results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 31, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-18664 Filed 11-3-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-848

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Amended Final Results and Amended Order Pursuant to Final Court Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 3, 2006, the Court of International Trade ("CIT") affirmed the Department's remand determination and entered judgment in *Hontex Enterprises Inc., d/b/a Louisiana Packing Co. v. United States*, Ct. No. 02-00223, Slip Op. 06-42 (Ct. Int'l Trade April 3, 2006) ("*Hontex Judgment*"), which challenged certain aspects of the Department of Commerce's ("the Department") *Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Administrative Antidumping Duty and New Shipper Reviews, and Final Rescission of New Shipper Review*, 65 FR 20948 (April 19, 2000) ("*Final Results*") and accompanying *Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China From Edward C. Yang to Joseph A. Spetrini* ("*Decision Memo*"), dated April 19, 2000. As explained below, in accordance with the order contained in the CIT's April 3, 2006, *Hontex Judgment*, the Department is amending the *Final Results* to treat Huaiyin Foreign Trade Corporation (5) ("HFTC5") and Ningbo Nanlian Frozen Foods Company, Ltd. ("Ningbo Nanlian") as unaffiliated, non-collapsed entities.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 6, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scot T. Fullerton or Christopher D. Riker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 4003, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1386 or (202) 482-3441, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 19, 2000, the Department completed its *Final Results*, in which it

collapsed Ningbo Nanlian and HFTC5 in the 1997–1998 administrative review. See *Final Results*, and accompanying Decision Memo at Comment 20. On February 13, 2003, and on May 21, 2004, the CIT issued orders remanding the case to the Department and ordering the Department to further explain why its findings warranted the collapsing of HFTC5 and Ningbo Nanlian. See *Hontex Enterprises, Inc., d/b/a/ Louisiana Packing Co. v. United States*, 248 F. Supp. 2d 1323 (CIT 2003), and *Hontex Enterprises Inc. d/b/a Louisiana Packing Company v. United States of America*, 342 F. Supp. 2d 1225 (CIT 2004). The Department submitted its remand redeterminations on August 12, 2003, and October 18, 2004 (“*Remand Results II*”), respectively.

On August 31, 2005, the CIT issued its ruling on the Department’s *Remand Results II*, again remanding the case to the Department. See *Hontex Enterprises, Inc., d/b/a/ Louisiana Packing Co., v. United States*, Slip Op. 05–116, Court No. 00–00223 (Ct. Int’l Trade August 31, 2005). Specifically, the CIT remanded the case for the Department to: (1) (a) find that Mr. Edward Lee, the owner of Louisiana Packing Co. (Louisiana Packing), an importer of crawfish tail meat from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) and one of the joint venture owners of Ningbo Nanlian Frozen Foods Company, Ltd. (Ningbo Nanlian), did not control another respondent, Huaiyin Foreign Trade Corporation (5) (HFTC5), within the meaning of 19 U.S.C. § 1677(33)(F) and (G), and (b) find that HFTC5 and Ningbo Nanlian were not affiliated, and (c) find that HFTC5 and Ningbo Nanlian should not be collapsed and given a single antidumping margin, and (d) find that Ningbo Nanlian is entitled to a separate company-specific antidumping margin and calculate that margin using the verified information on the record; or (2) (a) reopen the record in order to gather additional evidence of Mr. Lee’s control relationship with HFTC5 during the period of review, (b) place such additional information on the record, and (c) conduct an analysis that takes into account any such new evidence, including the temporal aspect of any such new evidence. See *CPA Remand II*.

The Department submitted the *Final Results of Remand* to the CIT on December 9, 2005. In its *Final Results of Remand*, in accordance with the CIT’s August 31, 2005, order, the Department found (1) that Mr. Lee did not control HFTC5 within the meaning of 19 U.S.C. § 1677(33)(F) and (G), (2) that HFTC5 and Ningbo Nanlian were not affiliated, (3) that HFTC5 and Ningbo Nanlian should not be collapsed and given a

single antidumping margin, and (4) that Ningbo Nanlian is entitled to a separate company-specific antidumping margin.

On April 3, 2006, the CIT sustained the final remand determination made by the Department. See *Hontex Judgment*. The Department filed its appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (“CAFC”) on May 31, 2006. The CAFC granted the Department’s motion to dismiss the appeal and dismissed the case on September 21, 2006.

Amendment to the Final Determination

Because there is now a final and conclusive court decision, effective as of the publication date of this notice, we are amending the *97/98 Final Results* and revising the weighted-average dumping margins for both companies, for purposes of the 97/98 period of review:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
Ningbo Nanlian Frozen Foods Company, Ltd.	2.16
Huaiyin Foreign Trade Corporation (5)	201.63

We have calculated Ningbo Nanlian’s company-specific antidumping margin as 2.16 percent. See the Memorandum to the File from Maureen A. Flannery, “Analysis for the Draft Results of Determination Pursuant to Court Remand for Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People’s Republic of China: Ningbo Nanlian Frozen Foods Co., Ltd.,” dated November 22, 2005. There have been no changes to this analysis for these amended final results. Additionally, we are determining HFTC5’s margin based on its own performance in the administrative review. Therefore, HFTC5’s antidumping duty margin will remain 201.63 percent. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection within 15 days of publication of the final results of this review.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: October 30, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–18686 Filed 11–3–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A–588–837

Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan: Preliminary Results of Reconsideration of Sunset Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 13, 2006, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the notice of initiation of the reconsideration of the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on large newspaper printing presses and components thereof, whether assembled or unassembled (LNPP), from Japan. On the basis of the notice of intent to participate, as well as adequate substantive responses and rebuttal comments filed on behalf of the domestic and respondent interested parties, the Department is conducting a full sunset review of the antidumping duty order, following the requirements of section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”) and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(2)(i). As a result of this reconsideration of the sunset review, the Department preliminarily finds that revocation of the order on LNPP from Japan after the original sunset review period of 1996–2001 would have likely led to the continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled “Preliminary Results of Review.”

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 6, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Goldberger, Kate Johnson, or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: 202–482–4136, 202–482–4929, or 202–482–0182, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 25, 2002, the Department revoked the antidumping duty order on LNPP from Japan under a five-year sunset review pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act, because the only domestic interested party in the sunset review, Goss Graphics Corporation (now known as Goss International Corporation (“Goss")), withdrew its participation, and, thus, its interest in the review. See *Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components*