

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Office of the Secretary****Submission for OMB Review:  
Comment Request**

October 31, 2006.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained from RegInfo.gov at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not toll-free number) / e-mail: [king.darrin@dol.gov](mailto:king.darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202–395–7316 / Fax: 202–395–6974 (these are not toll-free numbers), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
  - Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
  - Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives.

*Type of Review:* Reinstatement with Change of a Previously Approved Collection.

*Title:* Workforce Investment Board Survey.

*OMB Number:* 1290–0004.

*Type of Response:* Reporting.

*Affected Public:* State, Local, and Tribal Government.

*Number of Respondents:* 500.

*Number of Annual Responses:* 500  
*Estimated Time per Response:* Varies from 10 minutes for DOL respondents to 2 hours for DOJ respondents.

*Total Burden Hours:* 156.  
*Total Annualized capital/startup costs:* \$0.

*Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$0.

*Description:* The U.S. Department of Labor (DOL), and the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) and the Center for Faith-Based and Community Initiatives (CFBCI) specifically, needs accurate, timely information on how services and systems under Workforce Investment Act (WIA) are unfolding and on the challenges and successes states and local areas encounter. Only in this way can it properly discharge its obligations to issue policy clarifications, regulations and technical assistance. This need is particularly acute given that the workforce investment system has been evolving rapidly in the several years since WIA was enacted. It is expected that WIA will continue to change rapidly.

Much of the information available to DOL on key operational issues is impressionistic or anecdotal in nature, based on hearsay or unsystematic observations, and not accurate as to the incidence or scope nationally. When accurate nationwide information is available, as from long-term in-depth evaluation studies, it is often not timely. Thus DOL has a need for accurate and timely information that can be found only with systematic studies.

Regarding the survey for Workforce Investment Boards (WIBs) in particular, the White House Office of Faith-Based and Community Initiatives (WHOFBCI) and OMB have directed DOL to conduct this survey. The reason is that ETA and CFBCI currently do not have a mechanism by which to obtain information regarding the grants, contracts, and cooperative agreements being awarded by local and state WIBs using WIA formula funds. It is critical that DOL obtain this information in order to better understand how much money is being spent and the types of organizations that receive the funds. This WIB survey will bridge the gap in DOL's knowledge of WIB grants, contracts, and cooperative agreements that utilize WIA youth funds.

The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) is in a similar situation. Like the DOL, much of the information available to DOJ on key operational issues is impressionistic or anecdotal in nature, based on hearsay or unsystematic observations, and not accurate as to the incidence or scope nationally. When

accurate nationwide information is available, as from long-term in-depth evaluation studies, it is often not timely. Thus DOJ has a need for accurate and timely information that can be found only with systematic studies. Absent this survey, the DOJ does not have a mechanism by which to obtain information regarding certain grants, contracts, and cooperative agreements being awarded by state administering agencies using DOJ funds, particularly the Bureau of Justice Assistance's Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners program (RSAT). It is critical that DOJ obtain this information in order to better understand how much money is being spent and the types of organizations that receive the funds. Again, like DOL, DOJ is especially interested to obtain information on those grants being awarded to faith-based and community-based non-profit organizations.

This DOL survey is designed to fill a critical gap in its information needs regarding how the workforce system is unfolding and will inform development of legislation, regulations and technical assistance. The WHOFBCI used the information gathered by DOL in the December 2005 survey to determine the degree to which faith-based and community-based non-profit organizations received WIA Youth formula funds at the state and local levels. DOL will use the information gathered in the upcoming surveys to determine whether there have been any changes in the way DOL funds have been awarded, especially regarding their issuance to faith-based and community-based non-profit organizations.

**Darrin A. King,**

*Acting Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. E6–18616 Filed 11–3–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–23–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employment and Training  
Administration****Notice of Determinations Regarding  
Eligibility To Apply for Worker  
Adjustment Assistance and Alternative  
Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA–W) number issued during the

period of October 16 through October 20, 2006.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made for secondarily affected workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) Either—

(A) The workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) A loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' Separation or threat of separation.

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

1. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

2. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

3. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (i.e., conditions within the industry are adverse).

#### **Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-60,224; *Misty Mountain Threadworks, Inc., Banner Elk, NC: September 28, 2005.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-60,217; *Z-Star Industries, Inc., Formerly Known as Z-Star, Inc., Watertown, NY: October 5, 2005.*  
TA-W-60,080; *Hewlett Packard Development Co., L.P., Nonstop*

*Enterprise Division, HP Safeguard Software, Austin, TX: September 11, 2005.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) of the Trade Act have been met.

*None.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (downstream producer for a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA based on increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada) of the Trade Act have been met.

*None.*

#### **Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance**

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-60,051; *Cambridge Lee Industries, LLC, Plant #4, Leesport, PA: August 29, 2005.*

TA-W-60,051A; *Cambridge Lee Industries, LLC, Plant #1, Reading, PA: August 29, 2005.*

TA-W-60,090; *Klaussner Furniture Industries, Inc., KFI Candor Facilities, Candor, NC: September 14, 2005.*

TA-W-60,122; *Ethan Allen Operations, Inc., Distribution Center, Old Fort, NC: September 18, 2005.*

TA-W-60,231; *Molly West Handbound Books, Emeryville, CA: October 10, 2005.*

TA-W-57,308; *Sonic Manufacturing Technologies, Fremont, CA: May 26, 2004.*

TA-W-60,004; *Hughes Manufacturing, A Division of Magna Powertrain, Farmington Hills, MI: September 1, 2005.*

TA-W-60,061; *Genesis Engineering and Technologies, Inc., Interlochen, MI: September 11, 2005.*

TA-W-60,067; *Paola Yarns, Inc., Statesville, NC: August 15, 2005.*

TA-W-60,125; *Xcel Mold and Machine, Inc., North Canton, OH: September 16, 2005.*

TA-W-60,139; *Pechiney Plastic Packaging, Inc., A Subsidiary of Alcan Packaging, San Leandro, CA: September 18, 2005.*

TA-W-60,124; *Associated Spring, Barnes Group Inc., Troy, MI: September 19, 2005.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-60,064; *Delphi Corporation, Automotive Holdings Group, Columbus, OH: September 11, 2005.*

TA-W-60,110; *Dura Automotive Systems, Inc., #5 Industrial Drive, Hannibal, MO: September 18, 2005.*

TA-W-60,111; *Dura Automotive Systems, Inc., Hannibal, MO: September 19, 2005.*

TA-W-60,157; *Visteon Systems, LLC, Climate Control Division, Connersville, IN: September 22, 2005.*

TA-W-60,170; *AET Films, Inc., Covington Plant, Covington, VA: September 29, 2005.*

TA-W-60,212; *Standex Electronics, Douglas, AZ: October 4, 2005.*

TA-W-60,043; *P.S.W., Inc., Chino, CA: August 31, 2005.*

TA-W-60,144; *Ethan Allen Operations, Inc., Atoka, OK: September 8, 2005.*

TA-W-60,149; *Bloch Washington, Formerly Known As Mirella, Inc., Seattle, WA: September 21, 2005.*

TA-W-60,194; *Innovex, Inc., Litchfield, MN: October 3, 2005.*

TA-W-60,200; *Fiskars Brands, Inc., Spencer Division, Spencer, WI: October 4, 2005.*

TA-W-60,221; *Whittier Wood Products, Eugene, OR: December 7, 2006.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

TA-W-60,216; *Component Concepts, Inc., Stridemark, LLC, Thomasville, NC: October 6, 2005.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (downstream producer for a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA based on increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

None.

#### Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

The Department has determined that criterion (1) of Section 246 has not been

met. Workers at the firm are 50 years of age or older.

TA-W-60,224; *Misty Mountain Threadworks, Inc., Banner Elk, NC: TA-W-60,217; Z-Star Industries, Inc., Formerly Known as Z-Star, Inc., Watertown, NY.*

The Department has determined that criterion (2) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm possess skills that are easily transferable.

TA-W-60,080; *Hewlett Packard Development Co., L.P., Nonstop Enterprise Division, HP Safeguard Software, Austin, TX.*

The Department has determined that criterion (3) of Section 246 has not been met. Competition conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse. None.

#### Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

Since the workers of the firm are denied eligibility to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.A.) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A.) (employment decline) have not been met.

TA-W-60,126; *Michelin North America, BF Goodrich Tire Manufacturing, Opelika, AL.*

TA-W-60,147; *Superior Lumber, LLC, Glendale, OR.*

TA-W-60,169; *Cognex Corporation, Natick, MA.*

TA-W-60,187; *PCC Airfoils, LLC, Douglas, GA.*

TA-W-60,211; *American Dryer Corporation, Fall River, MA.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-60,029; *Standard Register Co. (The), Terre Haute Plant, Terre Haute, IN.*

TA-W-60,047; *RR Donnelley, Lancaster Premedia Center, Lancaster, PA.*

TA-W-60,160; *Multi-Fineline Electronix, Inc., Corporate Division, Anaheim, CA.*

TA-W-60,196; *TRW Automotive, Body Control Systems North America Division, Rushford, MN.*

TA-W-60,201; *Weyerhaeuser Company Raymond Lumber, Softwood Lumber Division, Raymond, WA.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-59,906; *PBM Graphics of the Triad, Greensboro, NC.*

TA-W-59,981; *Moeller Electric Corp., A Subsidiary of J.H. Controls, Inc., Lincoln Park, NJ.*

TA-W-59,996; *Federal Mogul Products, St. Louis, MO.*

TA-W-60,055; *Swift Textiles, dba Swift Galey, Midland, GA.*

TA-W-60,060; *Robinson Transformer, dba Transformer Manufacturers, Robinson, IL.*

TA-W-60,078; *Weyerhaeuser Company, Lebanon Lumber Division, Lebanon, OR.*

TA-W-60,134; *Alatech Healthcare, LLC, Slocomb, AL.*

TA-W-60,166; *Up North Industries, LLC, Petoskey, MI.*

TA-W-60,158; *Geneva Steel, LLC, Lindon, UT.*

The investigation revealed that the predominate cause of worker separations is unrelated to criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.C.) (shift in production to a foreign country under a free trade agreement or a beneficiary country under a preferential trade agreement, or there has been or is likely to be an increase in imports).

None.

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-59,923; *Dow Jones and Company, Inc., Chicopee, MA.*

TA-W-59,923A; *Dow Jones and Company, Inc., South Brunswick, NJ.*

TA-W-60,114; *Jackson County Board of Education, Jackson County Continuing Education, McKee, KY.*

TA-W-60,228; *Eudyna Devices USA, Inc., (EUDU), San Jose, CA.*

The investigation revealed that criteria of Section 222(b)(2) has not been met. The workers' firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier to or a downstream producer for a firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for TAA.

None.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of October 16 through October 20, 2006. Copies of These determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: October 27, 2006.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-18636 Filed 11-3-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-60,068]

**Burley Design, Inc. Eugene, OR; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on September 13, 2006 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Burley Design, Inc., Eugene, Oregon.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of October 2006.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-18638 Filed 11-3-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-60,292]

**Forest City Technologies, Inc., Wixom, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on October 25, 2006 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers of Forest City Technologies Inc., Wixom, Michigan.

One of the three petitioning workers was separated more than one year prior to the October 18, 2006 date of the petition. The petition has therefore been deemed invalid. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 27th day of October 2006.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-18641 Filed 11-3-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-60,271]

**Town of Hartland; Hartland, ME; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 20, 2006 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at the Town of Hartland waste treatment facility located in Hartland, Maine. The workers were engaged in waste treatment services.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 27th day of October, 2006

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-18640 Filed 11-3-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-59,965]

**Jones Apparel of Texas II, Ltd, Armour Facility, El Paso, TX; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and a Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on September 27, 2006, applicable to workers of Jones Apparel of Texas II, Ltd, El Paso, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on October 16, 2006 (71 FR 60762).

At the request of the State agency and a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that the Department did not identify the subject firm name in its entirety. The name of the subject firm

should read Jones Apparel of Texas II, Ltd, Armour Facility, El Paso, Texas.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to correctly identify the subject firm name to read Jones Apparel of Texas II, Ltd, Armour Facility, El Paso, Texas.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of the El Paso, Texas location of the subject firm who was adversely affected by a shift in production to China, Vietnam, Cambodia, Egypt and the Hashemite facilities in China.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-59,965 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Jones Apparel of Texas II, Ltd, Armour Facility, El Paso, Texas, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 21, 2005 through September 27, 2008, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

I further determine that all workers of Jones Apparel of Texas II, Ltd, Armour Facility, El Paso, Texas, are denied eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of October 2006.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-18637 Filed 11-3-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-60,262]

**Paramount Cards Inc.; A Subsidiary of Paramount Card Holding Corporation; Pawtucket, RI; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 19, 2006 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of Paramount Cards Inc., a subsidiary of Paramount Card Holding Corporation, Pawtucket, Rhode Island.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an active certification (TA-W-57,952) which expires on October 5, 2007. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.