

barrier that can prevent failure of the secondary container if the primary receptacle breaks during shipment. The secondary container must be securely sealed, and it may serve as the outer shipping container if it has sufficient strength to withstand ordinary postal processing. The secondary container must be marked with the international biohazard symbol shown in Exhibit 10.17.6c2, except when the secondary container also serves as the outer shipping container. In that case, the biohazard symbol must appear either on the inner packaging or on the primary container receptacle. A shipping paper and a content marking on the outer shipping container are not required.

[Insert new 10.17.10 as follows:]

#### 10.17.10 Packaging Exempt Human or Animal Specimens

Exempt human or animal specimens as defined in 10.17.2d are not subject to regulation as hazardous materials but when presented for mailing must be triple-packaged in leakproof (for liquids) or siftproof (for solids) primary receptacles. Sufficient cushioning and absorbent materials must surround each primary receptacle containing liquid. Secondary containers for liquids must be leakproof. Secondary containers for solids must be siftproof. The primary and secondary packaging must be enclosed in a rigid outer shipping container. A single primary receptacle must not contain more than 500 ml of a liquid specimen or 500 grams of a solid specimen. Two or more primary receptacles whose combined volume does not exceed 500 ml (for liquids) or 500 grams (for solids) may be enclosed in a single secondary container. The secondary container cannot serve as the outer shipping container. The secondary container must be marked with the international biohazard symbol shown in Exhibit 10.17.6c2. The secondary container must be securely and snugly enclosed in a fiberboard box or container of equivalent strength that serves as the outer shipping container. A shipping paper is not required. The outer shipping container must be marked on the address side with the words "Exempt human specimen" or "Exempt animal specimen," as appropriate. In addition, at least one surface of the outer packaging must have a minimum dimension of 3.9 inches x 3.9 inches (100 mm x 100 mm). Exempt human and animal specimens are mailable as First-Class Mail, Priority

Mail, Express Mail, or Package Services mail.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Neva R. Watson,**

*Attorney, Legislative.*

[FR Doc. E6-18062 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R08-OAR-2006-0564, FRL-8236-8]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Utah; Revisions to the Utah Administrative Code; Direct Final Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Utah on February 7, 2006. These changes to the Utah Administrative Code revise some minor technical requirements of Utah's continuous emission monitoring rules and correct several grammatical errors. The intended effect of this action is to make federally enforceable those provisions that EPA is approving. This action is being taken under section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on January 2, 2007 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by December 1, 2006. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R08-OAR-2006-0564, by one of the following methods:

- *www.regulations.gov.* Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- *E-mail:* long.richard@epa.gov and kimes.jeffrey@epa.gov.
- *Fax:* (303) 312-6064 (please alert the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** if you are faxing comments).
- *Mail:* Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, Mailcode 8P-AR, 999 18th Street, Suite 200, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466.
- *Hand Delivery:* Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency

(EPA), Region 8, Mailcode 8P-AR, 999 18th Street, Suite 200, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466. Such deliveries are only accepted Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to 4:55 p.m., excluding federal holidays. Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

**Instructions:** Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R08-OAR-2006-0564. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at *www.regulations.gov* including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through *www.regulations.gov* or e-mail. The *www.regulations.gov* Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA, without going through *www.regulations.gov*, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm>. For additional instructions on submitting comments, go to Section I: General Information portion in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

**Docket:** All documents in the docket are listed in the *www.regulations.gov index*. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in *www.regulations.gov* or in hard copy at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency

(EPA), Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 200, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to view the hard copy of the docket. You may view the hard copy of the docket Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., excluding federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeffrey Kimes, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P–AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 200, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466, (303) 312–6445, *kimes.jeffrey@epa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Contents**

- I. General Information
- II. Background
- III. EPA's Review of the State of Utah's February 7, 2006 Submittal
- IV. Final Action
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**Definitions**

For the purpose of this document, we are giving meaning to certain words or initials as follows:

- (i) The words or initials Act or CAA mean or refer to the Clean Air Act, unless the context indicates otherwise.
- (ii) The words EPA, we, us or our mean or refer to the United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- (iii) The initials SIP mean or refer to State Implementation Plan.
- (iv) The words State or Utah mean the State of Utah, unless the context indicates otherwise.

**I. General Information**

*A. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?*

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through *www.regulations.gov* or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for Preparing Your Comments.* When submitting comments, remember to:

- a. Identify the rulemaking by docket number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).
- b. Follow directions—The agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.
- c. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
- d. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.
- e. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
- f. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.
- g. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
- h. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

**II. Background**

On February 7, 2006, the Governor of Utah submitted a SIP revision that contains amendments to Rule R307–170 of the Utah Administrative Code. The amendments update a key provision of the State's continuous emissions monitoring rule to be consistent with 40 CFR part 75, Appendix A, Section 6.2 on which part of the State's rule is based. In addition, the revision corrects several inconsequential grammatical errors. The Utah Air Quality Board adopted these amendments on January 4, 2006 and they became effective on January 5, 2006.

**III. EPA's Review of the State of Utah's February 7, 2006 Submittal**

*A. Revisions to the Utah Administrative Code Adopted January 4, 2006 and Effective January 5, 2006*

- 1. Changes to R307–170–7 (1). Performance Specification Audits
  - a. The state is adding language consistent with 40 CFR part 75, Appendix A, Section 6.2, Acid Rain program provisions. This will exempt sources with monitors subject to the Acid Rain rules from the requirement for quarterly monitor audits. Under 40 CFR part 75, Appendix A, Section 6.2, acid rain related monitors require only annual audits. Without the addition of this exemption the acid rain monitors would be unnecessarily subject to the same quarterly audits required under 40 CFR part 60, Appendix B (Standards of Performance for New Stationary

Sources) monitoring standards. EPA is approving the revision to R307–170–7(1).

2. Typographical and Grammatical Corrections to R307–170–4. Definitions

a. The state is making typographical and grammatical corrections to several definitions. EPA is approving the paragraphs which are the definitions of the following terms: Continuous Emission Monitoring System; Description Report; Excess Emission Report; Monitor; State Electronic Data Report; and Summary Report.

3. Typographical and Grammatical Corrections to Assorted Sections

a. The state is making numerous typographical and grammatical corrections to several sections. EPA is approving these inconsequential corrections in the following sections: R307–170–5 (7); R307–170–7 (6); R307–170–7(6)(a) and (b); and in R307–170–9 sections (5)(a) and (b), (6)(b), (7)(b), and (9)(a).

**IV. Final Action**

EPA is approving the following changes to the Utah Administrative Code that were submitted by the Governor on February 7, 2006 and effective on January 5, 2006: R307–170–7(1); R307–170–4; R307–170–5 (7); R307–170–7 (6); R307–170–7(6)(a) and (b); and in R307–170–9 sections (5)(a) and (b), (6)(b), (7)(b), and (9)(a).

Section 110(l) of the Clean Air Act states that a SIP revision cannot be approved if the revision would interfere with any applicable requirement concerning attainment and reasonable further progress towards attainment of any National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) or any other applicable requirements of the Act. The Utah SIP revisions that are the subject of this document do not interfere with attainment or maintenance of any NAAQS or any other applicable requirement of the Act. The Governor's February 7, 2006 submittal merely makes changes to the operational audits of Acid Rain monitors and inconsequential typographical and grammatical changes. Therefore, section 110(l) requirements are satisfied.

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments; we are approving one minor change and typographical and grammatical corrections to Utah's air quality rules. However, in the "Proposed Rules" section of today's **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that

will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision if adverse comments are filed. This rule will be effective January 1, 2007 without further notice unless the Agency receives adverse comments by December 1, 2006. If the EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

#### V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States,

on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 2, 2007. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it

extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: September 27, 2006.

**Carol Rushin,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 8.*

■ 40 CFR part 52 is amended to read as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart TT—Utah

■ 2. Section 52.2320 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(64) to read as follows:

#### § 52.2320 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(64) Revisions to State Implementation Plan were submitted by the State of Utah on February 7, 2006. The revisions are to the Utah Administrative Code to revise the continuous emission monitoring requirements for performance audits of acid rain monitors and to correct several typographical and grammatical errors.

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Utah Administrative Code sections: R307-170-7(1); R307-170-4; R307-170-5 (7); R307-170-7 (6); R307-170-7(6)(a) and (b); and in R307-170-9 sections (5)(a) and (b), (6)(b), (7)(b), and (9)(a); effective January 5, 2006.

[FR Doc. E6-18377 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**