

Corporate Place, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37419, made application by letter to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of Nabilone (7379), a basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The company plans to import the listed controlled substance for distribution to its customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and 952(a) and determined that the registration of Kenco VPI to import the basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Kenco VPI to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the above named company is granted registration as an importer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.

Dated: October 25, 2006.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-18430 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By notice dated July 20, 2006, and published in the **Federal Register** on July 28, 2006, (71 FR 42878), Tocris Cookson, Inc., 16144 Westwoods Business Park, Ellisville, Missouri 63021-4500, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370), a basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule I.

The company plans to import small quantities of the listed controlled substance for sale to research facilities.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and 952(a) and determined that the registration of

Tocris Cookson, Inc. to import the basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Tocris Cookson, Inc. to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the above named company is granted registration as an importer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.

Dated: October 25, 2006.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-18428 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,966]

#### ABB, Inc., Lewisburg, WV; Notice of Revised Determination of Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on Reconsideration

By letter dated October 10, 2006, a representative of the Maintenance Workers Local Union, No. 1182, Laborers International Union of North America (Union), requested administrative reconsideration regarding Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The certification for Trade Adjustment Assistance was signed on September 28, 2006. The Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on October 16, 2006 (71 FR 60762).

The determination stated that a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are not 50 years of age or older.

The Union asserts that a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older and provided a list of workers and their birthdates as support documentation.

A careful review of the Union's submissions and previously submitted documents reveal that at least five percent of the workforce at the subject

from is at least fifty years of age. The workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that the requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, have been met for workers at the subject firm.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of ABB, Inc., Lewisburg, West Virginia, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after August 28, 2005 through September 28, 2008, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 25th day of October 2006.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-18357 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-60,167]

#### Andrew Corporation AFMA; Andrew Facility Massachusetts Division Including On-Site Leased Workers of Andover Personnel, John Galt Services, MMD Temps, Footbridge Engineering, Sperion, TEK Systems and National Engineering Service Corp, Amesbury, MA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on October 6, 2006, applicable to workers of Andrew Corporation AFMA, Andrew Facility Massachusetts Division, including on-site leased workers of Andover Personnel, John Galt Services, MMD Temps, Footbridge Engineering, Amesbury, Massachusetts. The notice

will be published soon in the **Federal Register**.

At the request of the State agency and the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that leased workers of Tek Systems and National Engineering Service Corp. were employed on-site at the Amesbury, Massachusetts location of Andrew Corporation FMA, Andrew Facility Massachusetts Division.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include leased workers of Tek Systems and National Engineering Service Corp. working on-site at Andrew Corporation AFMA, Andrew Facility Massachusetts Division, Amesbury, Massachusetts.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers employed at Andrew Corporation AFMA, Andrew Facility Massachusetts Division, who were adversely affected by a shift in production to Mexico and China.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-60,167 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Andrew Corporation, AFMA, Andrew Facility Massachusetts Division, including on-site leased workers of Andover Personnel, John Galt Services, MMD Temps, Footbridge Engineering, Spherion, Tek Systems and National Engineering Service Corp. who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 26, 2005, through October 6, 2008, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of October 2006.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-18359 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

### **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-60,150]

#### **Celestica Corporation, Including On-Site Workers of Securitas Security, Including On-Site Leased Workers of Adecco Westminster, Colorado; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and

Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on October 13, 2006, applicable to workers of Celestica, Westminster, Colorado. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on October 25, 2006 (71 FR 62489).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in warehousing and distribution operations.

New information shows that workers of Securitas Security were employed on-site at the Westminster, Colorado location of Celestica.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers of Securitas Security working on-site at Celestica, Westminster, Colorado.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers employed at Celestica, Westminster, Colorado who were adversely affected by a shift in production to Mexico, Canada and Israel.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-60,150 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Celestica Corporation, including on-site workers of Securitas Security and on-site leased workers of Adecco, Westminster, Colorado, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 25, 2005, through October 13, 2008, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of October 2006.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-18358 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

### **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-59,500]

#### **Connecticut General Life Insurance Company (CGLIC), Cigna Healthcare Service Operations, Philadelphia, PA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated August 17, 2006 a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to workers of Connecticut General Life Insurance Company (CGLIC), Cigna Healthcare Service Operations, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania was signed on July 24, 2006 and published in the **Federal Register** on August 14, 2006 (71 FR 46519).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition filed on behalf of workers at Connecticut General Life Insurance Company (CGLIC), Cigna Healthcare Service Operations, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania engaged in computer support for CIGNA's Disability Management IT (support, basic Application development support, coding and systems testing, and customer help desk support) was denied because the petitioning workers did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Act.

The petitioner contends that the Department erred in its interpretation of work performed at the subject facility as providing a service and further conveys that workers of the subject firm created various software for sale or lease to customers. The petitioner included the name of a customer who purchased/leased Disability Management software from the subject firm, thus concluding that workers of the subject firm were supporting this customer.