

Accession Number: 20060920–0167.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, October 10, 2006.

Docket Numbers: ER06–1498–000.
Applicants: American Transmission Company LLC.

Description: American Transmission Company LLC submits an executed Distribution-Transmission Interconnection Agreement with New London Utilities dated as of 8/31/06.

Filed Date: 09/19/2006.

Accession Number: 20060920–0108.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, October 10, 2006.

Docket Numbers: ER06–1501–000.
Applicants: ISO New England Inc.; New England Power Pool Participants Committee.

Description: ISO New England Inc. and New England Power Pool submit several proposed changes to the ISO New England Information Policy.

Filed Date: 09/18/2006

Accession Number: 20060920–0168.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, October 10, 2006.

Docket Numbers: ER06–1506–000.
Applicants: Entergy Services Inc.
Description: Entergy Services, Inc. on behalf of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. submits an executed Service Agreement providing for cost-based, short-term power sales to North Arkansas Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Filed Date: 09/20/2006.

Accession Number: 20060922–0053.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, October 11, 2006.

Docket Numbers: ER06–1507–000.
Applicants: Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc.

Description: Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. submits an Agreement for Electric Service implementing Industrial Load Rate Schedule 2 with Midwest Energy Cooperative and Jasper County REMC.

Filed Date: 09/20/2006.

Accession Number: 20060922–0052.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, October 11, 2006.

Docket Numbers: ER06–1508–000.
Applicants: Southern California Edison Company.

Description: Southern California Edison Co. submits a Service Agreement for Wholesale Distribution Service with the City of Industry, CA.

Filed Date: 09/20/2006.

Accession Number: 20060922–0051.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, October 11, 2006.

Docket Numbers: ER06–1509–000.
Applicants: Minergy Neenah, LLC.
Description: Minergy Neenah, LLC submits a Notice of Cancellation of

FERC Rate Schedule 1, effective 9/19/06.

Filed Date: 09/19/2006.

Accession Number: 20060922–0050.
Comment Date: 5:00 pm Eastern Time on Tuesday, October 10, 2006.

Docket Numbers: ER06–1510–000.
Applicants: PJM Interconnection, LLC.

Description: PJM Interconnection, LLC submits an Open Access Transmission Tariff and Interconnection Service Agreement and Construction Service Agreement with South Point Biomass Generation, LLC and Ohio Power Company.

Filed Date: 09/20/2006.

Accession Number: 20060922–0049.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, October 11, 2006.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. It is not necessary to separately intervene again in a subdocket related to a compliance filing if you have previously intervened in the same docket. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. In reference to filings initiating a new proceeding, interventions or protests submitted on or before the comment deadline need not be served on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at <http://www.ferc.gov>. To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St. NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above proceedings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in

Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov. or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6–16012 Filed 9–28–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 11810–004]

City of Augusta; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment

September 22, 2006.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Energy Projects' staff reviewed an application for an Original Major License for the Augusta Canal Project located on the Augusta Canal, adjacent to the Savannah River, Richmond County, Augusta, GA.

The Commission issued a draft multi-project Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Augusta Canal Project, Sibley Mill Project (P–5044) and Enterprise Mill Project (P–2935) on May 20, 2005. No substantive comments were filed regarding the Sibley Mill and Enterprise Mill projects, and, subsequently, licenses were issued for these projects.

On February 3, 2006, and February 7, 2006, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce), and the U.S. Department of the Interior (Interior), respectively, filed signed copies of a draft Settlement which outlines a proposal for project operation, flows, and fishways for the Augusta Canal Project. By letter filed December 15, 2005, the City stated that the state agencies (the Georgia Department of Natural Resources and South Carolina Department of Natural Resources) agree with the terms of the draft Settlement. The draft Settlement, if finalized, would require changes to Commerce's and Interior's section 18 prescriptions. The City is currently in the process of finalizing the Settlement. Should a final, signed Settlement be filed with the Commission, the details of that Settlement would be addressed

in any order issued for this licensing proceeding.

This final EA addresses the issues pertinent to the Augusta Canal Project. Commission staff analyzed the potential environmental effects of licensing the Augusta Canal Project and concluded that issuing a license, with appropriate environmental measures, would not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

A copy of the final EA is available for review in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. You may register online at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/subscription.asp> to be notified via e-mail of new filings and issuances related to this or any other pending projects. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at 1-866-208-3676, or for TTY, (202) 502-8659.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-16000 Filed 9-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[FERC Docket Nos. CP06-61-000; CP01-23-003]

North Baja Pipeline, LLC; Notice of Availability/Completion of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Report and Draft Land Use Plan Amendment for the Proposed North Baja Pipeline Expansion Project

September 22, 2006.

The environmental staffs of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission), the California State Lands Commission (CSLC), and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) (Agency Staffs) have prepared the draft environmental impact statement/environmental impact report and draft land use plan amendment (draft EIS/EIR/plan amendment) to address North Baja Pipeline, LLC's (North Baja) proposed expansion of its natural gas pipeline system.

The draft EIS/EIR/plan amendment was prepared as required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the California Environmental Quality Act, and the Federal Land

Management and Policy Act. The purpose of this document is to inform the public and the permitting agencies about the potential adverse and beneficial environmental impacts of the proposed North Baja Pipeline Expansion Project (Project or proposed Project) and its alternatives, and recommend mitigation measures that would reduce the significant adverse impacts to the maximum extent possible, and, where feasible, to a less than significant level. The Agency Staffs have concluded that if the Project is constructed and operated in accordance with applicable laws and regulations, North Baja's proposed mitigation, and the Agency Staffs' additional mitigation recommendations, it would be an environmentally acceptable action.

The FERC is the lead Federal agency and will use the document to consider the environmental impacts that could result if it issues North Baja a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity and a Presidential Permit amendment under sections 7 and 3, respectively, of the Natural Gas Act. The CSLC is the lead State agency and will use the document to consider North Baja's application to amend its existing right-of-way lease across the State's Sovereign and School Lands in conjunction with the environmental impacts that could result from any part of the Project in California.

The BLM is participating as a cooperating agency in the preparation of this document because the Project would cross Federal land under the jurisdiction of the Palm Springs-South Coast, El Centro, and Yuma Field Offices. The Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) is also a cooperating agency in the preparation of this document because lands administered by the BOR would be crossed by the Project. Under section 185(f) of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, the BLM has the authority to issue Right-of-Way Grants for all affected Federal lands. The draft EIS/EIR/plan amendment will be used by the BLM to consider whether to amend North Baja's existing Right-of-Way Grant and issue Temporary Use Permits for the installation of approximately 67.4 miles of pipeline and ancillary facilities across Federal lands managed by the BLM, the BOR, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). The draft EIS/EIR/plan amendment will also be used by the BLM to consider amending the California Desert Conservation Area Plan (as amended), which would be necessary for pipeline construction outside of designated utility corridors, as well as amending the Yuma District Resource Management Plan, which would be necessary for pipeline

construction across the Milpitas Wash Special Management Area.

The BLM proposes to adopt the draft EIS/EIR/plan amendment per Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 1506.3 to meet its responsibilities under NEPA and its planning regulations per Title 43 CFR part 1610. The BLM will present separate Records of Decision for the Right-of-Way Grant and the plan amendments for the North Baja Pipeline Expansion Project after the issuance of the final environmental impact statement/environmental impact report and proposed land use plan amendment (final EIS/EIR/proposed plan amendment). The concurrence or non-concurrence of the BOR and the FWS would be considered in the BLM's decision.

The existing North Baja system is currently certificated by the FERC to transport 512,500 dekatherms per day (Dthd) of natural gas in a southbound direction. Once completed, the expanded system would be capable of transporting up to 2,932,000 Dthd (2,753 million standard cubic feet per day) of natural gas from planned liquefied natural gas (LNG) storage and vaporization terminals located on the Baja California coast in Mexico in a northbound direction for delivery to customers in California and Arizona. In addition to the new volumes from the LNG terminals, North Baja would continue to offer southbound gas transportation service for several existing shippers.

The draft EIS/EIR/plan amendment addresses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the following facilities proposed by North Baja:

- 79.8 miles of pipeline loop ¹ (B-Line) adjacent to North Baja's existing pipeline (A-Line) consisting of 11.7 miles of 42-inch-diameter pipeline extending from the existing Ehrenberg Compressor Station at milepost (MP) 0.0 in La Paz County, Arizona to the existing Rannells Trap at MP 11.7 in Riverside County, California, and 68.1 miles of 48-inch-diameter pipeline extending from Rannells Trap to an interconnection at the U.S.-Mexico border at MP 79.8 in Imperial County, California;
- Less than 0.1 mile (about 20 feet) of 36-inch-diameter pipeline (SoCal Gas Company [SoCal Gas] Interconnect) to connect the B-Line to the existing SoCal Gas system within the proposed Blythe Meter Station site at MP 0.5 in Riverside County;

¹ A loop is a segment of pipeline that is usually installed adjacent to an existing pipeline and connected to it at both ends. The loop allows more gas to be moved through the system.