This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Grant Application.
OMB Control Number, if applicable: 2506–0112.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use:
Information is to be used in the rating, ranking, and selection of proposals submitted to HUD by state and local governments, public housing authorities, and nonprofit organizations for awarded funds under the Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance programs.


Members of affected public: Eligible applicants interested in applying for the Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance funds.

Estimation of the total numbers of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response:
Number of Respondents: 12,770.
Frequency of Response: 1.
Hours of response: 202,251 hours.
Total combined burden hours: 202,251 hours.

Status of the proposed information collection: Reinstatement of previously approved collection number will expire March 31, 2009.


Dated: September 15, 2006.
Pamela H. Patenaude,
Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.
[FR Doc. 06–7948 Filed 9–20–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
[Docket No. FR–4665–N–33]
Conference Call Meeting of the Manufactured Housing Consensus Committee

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of upcoming meeting via conference call.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of the upcoming meeting of the Manufactured Housing Consensus Committee (the Committee) to be held via telephone conference. The meeting is open to the general public, which may participate by following the instructions below.

DATES: The conference call meeting will be held on Wednesday, October 4, 2006, from 11 a.m. to 2 p.m. (EDT).

ADDRESSES: Information concerning the conference call can be obtained from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Office of Manufactured Housing Programs. Interested parties can link onto HUD’s Web site for Manufactured Housing for instructions concerning how to participate, and for contact information for the conference call, in the section marked “Business” “Manufactured Housing Consensus Committee Information.” The link can be found at: http://www.hud.gov/offices/hsg/sfh/mhs/mhshome.cfm. Alternately, interested parties may contact Elsie Draughn of the Office of Manufactured Housing at (202) 708–6423 (this is not a toll-free number) for conference call information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William W. Matchneer III, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary, Office of Regulatory Affairs and Manufactured Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708–6409 (this is not a toll-free number). Persons who have difficulty hearing or speaking may access this number via TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at (800) 877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice of this meeting is provided in accordance with Sections 10(a) and (b) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. 2) and 41 CFR 102.3–1.150. The Manufactured Housing Consensus Committee was established under Section 604(a)(3) of the National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 5403(a)(3). The Committee is charged with providing recommendations to the Secretary to adopt, revise, and interpret manufactured home construction and safety standards and procedural and enforcement regulations, and with developing and recommending proposed model installation standards to the Secretary.

The purpose of the conference call meeting is to permit the Committee, at its request, to review, and to take action on further recommendations to the Secretary regarding proposed changes to Title 24, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 3280 (Manufactured Home Construction and Safety Standards (the Standards)). It is necessary to have this meeting on this date.

Tentative Agenda
A. Roll Call.
B. Welcome and Opening remarks.
C. Full Committee meeting to take actions on proposed changes to 24 CFR part 3280 (the Standards).
D. Adjournment.

Dated: September 15, 2006.
Brian D. Montgomery,
Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner.
[FR Doc. 06–7948 Filed 9–20–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Initiation of a 5-Year Review of Curtis’ Pearlymussel and Indiana Bat

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of review.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service, we), announces a 5-year review of Curtis’ pearlymussel (Epioblasma florentina curtisi), and Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis) under the Endangered Species Act, as amended (Act). We request any new information on these species that may have a bearing on their classification as endangered. Based on the results of this review, we will make a finding on whether these species are properly classified under the Act.

DATES: Information must be received no later than December 20, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Submit information to the appropriate U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Ecological Services Field Supervisor at the following:
1. Curtis’ pearlymussel: 101 Park DeVille Drive, Suite A, Columbia, Missouri 65209–0057, or e-mail to: fw3curtispearlymussel5year@fws.gov.
2. Indiana bat: 620 S. Walker Street, Bloomington, Indiana 47403–2121, or e-mail to: fw3indianabat5year@fws.gov.

See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for electronic file formats and other information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
1. Curtis’ pearlymussel: Mr. Andy Roberts, Columbia Ecological Services Field Office (see ADDRESSES); telephone (573) 234–2132, extension 110; facsimile (573) 234–234–2181.
2. Indiana bat: Ms. Lori Pruitt, Bloomington Ecological Services Field Office (see ADDRESSES); telephone (812) 334–4261, extension 211; facsimile (812) 334–4273.
Individuals who are hearing impaired or speech impaired may call the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8337 for TTY assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We announce a 5-year review of Curtis’ pearlymussel and Indiana bat under section 4(c)(2)(A) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). We request any new information and appropriate data since their original listing or most recent status review which documents the need to delist or reclassify these species.

A 5-year review is a periodic process conducted to ensure that the classification of a listed species is appropriate. It is based on the best scientific and commercial data available at the time of the review. Based on the results of these 5-year reviews, we will make a finding of whether these species are properly classified under section 4(c)(2)(B) of the Act.

Under the Act, the Service maintains the list of endangered and threatened wildlife and plant species (List) at 50 CFR 17.11 and 17.12. Amendments to the List through final rules are published in the Federal Register. The list of wildlife and plants is available on our Internet site at http://www.fws.gov/endangered/wildlife/html#species. Section 4(c)(2)(A) of the Act requires that we conduct a review of listed species at least once every 5 years. Section 4(c)(2)(B) requires that we determine (1) Whether a species no longer meets the definition of threatened or endangered and should be removed from the List (delisted); (2) whether a species more properly meets the definition of threatened and should be reclassified from endangered to threatened; or (3) whether a species more properly meets the definition of endangered and should be reclassified from threatened to endangered. Using the best scientific and commercial data available, a species will be considered for delisting if the data substantiate that the species is neither endangered nor threatened for one or more of the following reasons: (1) The species is considered extinct; (2) the species is considered to be recovered; and/or (3) the original data available when the species was listed, or the interpretation of such data, were in error. Any change in Federal classification requires a separate rulemaking process.

Definitions

The following definitions (according to the Act) are provided to assist those persons who contemplate submitting new information regarding the status of the species identified in the table below:

“Species” includes any species or subspecies of fish or wildlife or plant, and any distinct population segment of any species or subspecies of a vertebrate, that is capable of interbreeding when mature.

“Endangered species” means any species that is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

“Threatened species” means any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

The regulations in 50 CFR 424.21 require that we publish a notice in the Federal Register announcing those species currently under active review. This announcement of our active review of Curtis’ pearlymussel and Indiana bat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Listed entity</th>
<th>When listed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curtis’ pearlymussel</td>
<td><em>Epioblasma florentina curtisi</em></td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>U.S.A. (AR, MO)</td>
<td>June 14, 1976,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(41 FR 24062)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public Solicitation of New Information

To ensure that the 5-year reviews are complete and based on the best scientific and commercial data available, we are soliciting new information from the public, concerned governmental agencies, tribes, the scientific community, industry, environmental entities, and any other interested parties concerning the status of Curtis’ pearlymussel and Indiana bat.

A 5-year review considers the best scientific and commercial data and all new information that has become available since the listing determination or most recent status review. Categories of requested information include (A) species biology, including but not limited to population trends, distribution, abundance, demographies, and genetics; (B) habitat conditions, including but not limited to amount, distribution, and suitability; (C) conservation measures that have been implemented that benefit the species; (D) threat status and trends; and (E) other new information, data, or corrections, including but not limited to taxonomic or nomenclature changes, identification of erroneous information contained in the List, and improved analytical methods.

Electronic Access and Filing

You must submit electronic information (see ADDRESSES) in MS Word, Rich Text format, or Plain Text format, and include “Curtis’ Pearlymussel 5-Year Review Comments” or “Indiana Bat 5-Year Review Comments” in the title line. Information submitted to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Ecological Services Field Supervisor (see ADDRESSES) must be received within sufficient time to allow adequate time to conduct these 5-year reviews (see DATES). If you do not respond to this request for information but subsequently possess information on the status of these species, we will accept new information regarding any federally listed species at any time.

Our practice is to make information, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Respondents may request that we withhold their identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name or address, you must state this request prominently at the beginning of the information that you are submitting. We will not, however, consider information submitted anonymously. To the extent consistent with applicable law, we will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety. Information received in response to this notice will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours (see ADDRESSES).

Authority: This document is published under the authority of the Endangered...
Supplementary Information: The Refuges are comprised of several islands located in the lower Columbia River, in Wahkiakum County, Washington, and Clatsop and Columbia Counties, Oregon. The Julia Butler Hansen Refuge was established in 1971 to protect and manage habitat for the endangered Columbia white-tailed deer. The Refuge encompasses more than 6,000 acres of fields, forested tidal swamps, brushy woodlots, marshes, sloughs, and islands along the lower Columbia River, and supports approximately 300 Columbia white-tailed deer. The Lewis and Clark Refuge was established in 1972. The approved Refuge boundary includes 33.500 acres of islands, bars, mud flats, and tidal marshes. The Refuge contains the largest marsh in western Oregon, which provides habitat for wintering and migratory waterfowl, rearing and migratory salmon, and bald eagles.

We furnish this notice in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) as amended, and its implementing regulations; the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 668dd–668ee) (Act); and Service policies.

The Act requires all lands within the National Wildlife Refuge System to be managed in accordance with an approved CCP. A CCP is a 15-year plan for managing a refuge. Refuge goals and objectives are identified in a CCP, as well as strategies for achieving the purposes for which a refuge was established. During the CCP planning process, we will consider many elements, including wildlife and habitat management and public use opportunities. Public input during the planning process is essential. The CCP for the Julia Butler Hansen and Lewis and Clark Refuges will describe desired conditions for the Refuges, along with how we will implement management strategies over a 15-year time period to achieve those conditions. We will prepare an EIS in accordance with NEPA and its implementing regulations. Until the CCP is completed, the Refuges’ management will continue to be guided by their official purposes; Federal legislation regarding management of National Wildlife Refuges; and other legal, regulatory, and policy guidance.

Preliminary Issues, Concerns, and Opportunities

We have identified the following preliminary issues, concerns, and opportunties for the Julia Butler Hansen Refuge, and may address these issues in the CCP. We may identify additional issues during the public comment period. We will consider public comments during development of the goals, management strategies, and alternatives for the draft CCP/EIS.

Wildlife and Habitat Management: What actions should we take to sustain and restore priority species and habitats? Coyotes prey upon Columbian white-tailed deer fawns. Are the methods for coyote removal appropriate to maintain recovery of this endangered deer? How will the Refuge enhance native fish populations? How will the Refuge respond to concerns about West Nile virus and avian influenza viruses?

Wilderness Study: Should specific areas of the Refuge, if appropriate and eligible, be designated as wilderness?

Management of Public Access and Use (including Commercial Guiding): What types of recreational opportunities should be provided? Are existing public use opportunities adequate and appropriate?

Education and Outreach: Should existing programs be expanded, to better engage and educate the public about Refuge wildlife and habitat management activities?

We have identified the following preliminary issues, concerns, and opportunities for the Lewis and Clark Refuge, and may address these issues in the CCP. We may identify additional issues during the public comment period. We will consider public comments during development of the goals, management strategies, and alternatives for the draft CCP/EIS.

Dredged materials management: What actions should be taken to improve and maximize wildlife benefits in dredge-spoil areas of the Refuge?

Colonial Nesting Bird Management: How should the Refuge manage and increase colonial nesting bird populations while limiting their impacts on anadromous fish?

Oregon Department of State Lands Management Agreement: Should the Refuge consider developing an agreement with the State to modify management of State lands within the Refuge boundary?

Commercial Guiding: Is commercial guiding for recreational activities compatible with the purposes of the Refuge?

Camping on Refuge Lands: Is camping appropriate and compatible with the Refuge’s purposes and is there demand for this activity?

Wilderness Study: Should specific areas of the Refuge, if appropriate and eligible, be designated as Wilderness?

We will evaluate a range of alternatives, and their potential effects on the environment and local...