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amend 19 CFR 181.21(a) to clarify, consistent with existing law and CBP practice, that in order to claim the MPF exemption for unconditionally free goods from a NAFTA country, an importer of an originating good must place the appropriate special program indicator opposite the good on the entry form even though the importer is not claiming a NAFTA duty preference.

**Technical Corrections**

**Exemption From Providing Certificate of Origin**

Section 181.22(b) of title 19, CFR (19 CFR 181.22(b)), requires an importer who claims preferential tariff treatment on a good under 19 CFR 181.21 to provide, at the request of the port director, a copy of each Certificate of Origin pertaining to the good which is in the possession of the importer. Certain importations are exempted from this requirement under 19 CFR 181.22(d). One of these exemptions, set forth in section 181.22(d)(1)(iii) is for a commercial importation of a good whose value does not exceed $2,500 if a signed statement is attached to the invoice or other documents accompanying the shipment.

CBP has determined that 19 CFR 181.22(d)(1)(iii) should be amended to clarify that the $2,500 value refers to the total value of a shipment and not to the value of the individual goods in a shipment. Accordingly, CBP is proposing to amend 19 CFR 181.22(d)(1)(iii) to clarify that a Certificate of Origin is not required for a commercial importation consisting of originating goods, the total value of which does not exceed $2,500, if the required statement is attached.

**Other Technical Corrections**

CBP is also proposing to make several other technical corrections to the regulations. In CBP Dec. 05–32, an Interim Rule published in the *Federal Register* (70 FR 58009) on October 5, 2005, CBP redesignated 19 CFR 12.132 as 102.25. However, there is a reference to § 12.132 in § 181.21(a). Accordingly, CBP is proposing to make a minor conforming amendment to update this reference. In addition, because CBP Dec. 05–32 removed the declaration requirement referenced in §§ 12.130(c) and 12.132, CBP is proposing to remove the entries for these sections in the list of OMB control numbers in § 178.2. CBP is also proposing to amend an incorrect citation to 19 CFR 181.72(a)(2)(ii) in 19 CFR 181.74(a). The correct citation is to § 181.72(a)(3)(ii). In addition, CBP is proposing to amend the address in 19 CFR 181.74(e) for providing notification when the Canadian or Mexican customs administration intends to conduct a NAFTA verification visit in the U.S. in order to determine whether a good imported into the U.S. qualifies as an originating good. The correct address is: “Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, Office of Field Operations, Special Enforcement Division, 1300 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20229.” CBP is also proposing to amend the National Commodity Specialist Division (NCSD) address in 19 CFR 181.93(a) for the submission of advance ruling requests under the NAFTA. The correct NCSD address is: “National Commodity Specialist Division, Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, One Penn Plaza, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10119.” This address is also corrected in the list of public reading rooms in 19 CFR 103.1.

**Signing Authority**

The signing authority for this document falls under 19 CFR 0.1(a)(1).

**Paperwork Reduction Act**

Because those changes with possible paperwork implications proposed in this document are merely clarifications of existing requirements, there is no need to amend the paperwork burden for the number previously approved by OMB for part 181 of title 19, CFR. The clearance number for part 181 is 1051–0098.

**Regulatory Flexibility Act and Executive Order 12866**

Pursuant to the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (6 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), it is certified that the proposed amendments will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. CBP is proposing to merely clarify, consistent with existing law and CBP practice, that an importer is subject to the same recordkeeping requirements, Reporting and Freedom of information. The authority citation for part 181 continues to read as follows:


5. Amend § 178.2 by removing the entries for 12.130(c) and 12.132.

**PART 181—NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT**

5. The authority citation for part 181 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 19 U.S.C. 66, 1202 (General Note 3(i), Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States), 1624, 3314.

6. Revise § 181.21(a) to read as follows:

§ 181.21 Filing of claim for preferential tariff treatment upon importation.

(a) Declaration. In connection with a claim for preferential tariff treatment, or for the exemption from the merchandise processing fee, for a good under the NAFTA, the U.S. importer shall make a written declaration that the good
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; State Implementation Plan Revision for American Cyanamid Company, Havre de Grace, MD

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland. This revision pertains to the removal of an August 2, 1984 Secretarial Order (Order) from the Maryland SIP. The Order constituted a Plan for Compliance (PFC) and an alternative method of assessing compliance at an American Cyanamid Company (Company) facility located in Havre de Grace, Harford County, Maryland (the Facility). The Order allowed for certain volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions sources at the Facility to achieve compliance with emissions limits through averaging (or “bubbling”) of emissions over a 24-hour period. Removal of the Order from the SIP will remove the “bubbling” compliance option for these sources at the Facility. In lieu of “bubbling,” the sources must comply with the approved and more stringent Maryland SIP provisions for the control of VOC emissions, which do not allow averaging or “bubbling.” This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before September 22, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Number EPA–R03–OAR–2006–0607 by one of the following methods:


B. E-mail: morris.makeba@epa.gov.


D. Hand Delivery: At the previously-listed EPA Region III address. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket’s normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R03–OAR–2006–0607. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through http://www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The http://www.regulations.gov Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through http://www.regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the http://www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in http://www.regulations.gov or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the State submittal are available at the Maryland Department of the Environment, 1800 Washington Boulevard, Suite 705, Baltimore, Maryland 21230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Neil Bigioni, (215) 814–2781, or by e-mail at bigioni.neil@epa.gov.