

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁷

Nancy M. Morris,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-11325 Filed 7-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-54125; File No. SR-NYSE-2005-93]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change to Rule 431 ("Margin Requirements") and Rule 726 ("Delivery of Options Disclosure Document and Prospectus") To Expand the Products Eligible for Customer Portfolio Margining and Cross-Margining Pilot Program

July 11, 2006.

I. Introduction

On December 29, 2005, the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act" or "Exchange Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4² thereunder, a proposed rule change seeking to amend NYSE Rules 431 and 726 to expand the scope of products that are eligible for treatment as part of the Commission's approved portfolio margin pilot program (the "Pilot").³ The NYSE seeks to expand the list of eligible products in the Pilot to include security futures contracts⁴ and listed single stock options. The proposed rule change was published in the **Federal Register** on Monday, January 23, 2006.⁵ The

Commission received three comment letters in response to the **Federal Register** notice.⁶

The comment letters and the Exchange's responses to the comments⁷ are summarized below. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

a. Summary of Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change consists of amendments to NYSE Rule 431 to include listed security futures and listed single stock options as eligible products for customer portfolio margining under the Pilot.⁸ The proposed rule change also includes amendments to NYSE Rule 726 to conform the required customer disclosure to the changes made in the proposed rule change, including the expansion of eligible products.

Section 7(a)⁹ of the Exchange Act¹⁰ empowers the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve Board") to prescribe rules and regulations regarding credit that broker-dealers can extend to their customers on securities transactions. Pursuant to this authority, the Federal Reserve Board adopted Regulation T.¹¹ The Federal Reserve Board, in the 1998 amendments, removed from the scope of Regulation T transactions governed by a portfolio margin rule approved by the Commission.¹² The Commodity Futures

Modernization Act of 2000 ("CFMA") authorized the trading of futures on individual stocks and narrow-based stock indexes, *i.e.*, securities futures products.¹³ Under the CFMA, the Federal Reserve Board has authority to either issue margin rules for securities futures or delegate joint authority to the Commission and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") to issue such rules. The Federal Reserve Board delegated authority to the Commission and CFTC, and in 2002 the Commission and the CFTC jointly issued margin requirements for securities futures products.¹⁴ The jointly issued rules exempted from their scope transactions in securities futures products subject to SRO portfolio margin rules.¹⁵

NYSE Rule 431 prescribes specific margin requirements for customers based on the type of securities products held in their accounts. In April 1996, the Exchange established the Rule 431 Committee (the "Committee") to assess the adequacy of Rule 431 on an ongoing basis, review margin requirements and make recommendations for change. The Exchange's Board of Directors has approved a number of proposed amendments resulting from the Committee's recommendations since the Committee was established.¹⁶ The NYSE noted in its rule proposal that the Committee endorsed the proposed rule change discussed below.

b. Portfolio Margining

Portfolio margining is a methodology for calculating a customer's margin requirement by "shocking" a portfolio of financial instruments at different equidistant points along a range representing a potential percentage increase and decrease in the value of the instrument or underlying instrument in the case of a derivative product. For example, the calculation points could be spread equidistantly along a range bounded on one end by a 15% increase in market value of the instrument and at the other end by a 15% decrease in market value. Gains and losses for each instrument in the portfolio are netted at

²⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Exchange Act Release No. 52031 (July 14, 2005), 70 FR 42130 (July 21, 2005) (SR-NYSE-2002-19). On July 14, 2005, the Commission approved on a Pilot Basis expiring July 31, 2007, amendments to Exchange Rule 431 to permit the use of a prescribed risk-based margin requirement ("portfolio margin") for certain specified products as an alternative to the strategy based margin requirements currently required in section (a) through (f) of the Rule. Amendments to Rule 726 were also approved to require disclosure to, and written acknowledgment from, customers in connection with the use of portfolio margin. See also NYSE Information Memo 05-56, dated August 18, 2005 for additional information.

⁴ For purposes of the proposed rule change, term "security futures" utilizes the definition at section 3(a)(55) of the Exchange Act, excluding narrow-based security indexes.

⁵ See Exchange Act Release No. 53126 (Jan. 13, 2006), 71 FR 3586 (Jan. 23, 2006).

⁶ See letter from Gerard J. Quinn, Vice President and Associate General Counsel, Securities Industry Association, to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Commission, dated February 13, 2006 ("SIA Letter"); letter from Barbara Wierzynski, Executive Vice President and General Counsel, Futures Industry Association, to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Commission, dated February 13, 2006 ("FIA Letter"); and letter from Severino Renna, Director, Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, dated February 13, 2006 ("Citigroup Letter").

⁷ See letter from Mary Yeager, Assistant Secretary, NYSE, to Michael A. Macchiaroli, Associate Director, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, dated June 2, 2006 ("NYSE Response").

⁸ The list of eligible products under the Pilot currently includes listed broad-based securities index options, warrants, futures, futures options and related exchange-traded funds. The NYSE also has filed an additional rule change to, among other things, further expand the list of eligible products for the Pilot to include equities and unlisted derivatives. See Exchange Act Release No. 53577 (March 30, 2006), 71 FR 17536 (April 6, 2006) (SR-NYSE-2006-13); see also Exchange Act Release No. 53576 (March 30, 2006), 71 FR 17519 (April 6, 2006) (SR-CBOE-2006-14). The comment period for these proposed rule filings ended on May 11, 2006.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78g.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*

¹¹ 12 CFR 220.1 *et seq.*

¹² See Federal Reserve System, "Securities Credit Transactions; Borrowing by Brokers and Dealers"; Regulations G, T, U and X; Docket Nos. R-0905, R-0923 and R-0944, 63 FR 2806 (January 16, 1998).

¹³ Public Law 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

¹⁴ Exchange Act Release 46292 (August 1, 2002), 67 FR 53146 (August 14, 2002).

¹⁵ 17 CFR 242.400(c)(2).

¹⁶ The Committee is composed of several member organizations, including Goldman, Sachs & Co., Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner and Smith, Inc., Bear Stearns Corp. and Credit Suisse First Boston Corp. and several self-regulatory organizations, including: the NYSE, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC"), the American Stock Exchange, the Chicago Board of Trade, the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, and the National Association of Securities Dealers.

each calculation point along the range to derive a potential portfolio-wide gain or loss for the point. The margin requirement is the amount of the greatest portfolio-wide loss among the calculation points.

Under the Exchange's proposed rule, the range of products eligible for portfolio margining would be expanded from securities and futures based on broad-based U.S. securities indexes (e.g., the S&P 500 or S&P 100) to include security futures products and listed single stock options. The gain or loss on each position in the portfolio is calculated at each of 10 equidistant points ("valuation points") set at and between the upper and lower market range points. Under the current rule, the range for non-high capitalization indexes is between a market increase of 10% and a decrease of 10%. The range for high capitalization indexes is between a market increase of 6% and a decrease of 8%.¹⁷ The range for portfolios of securities futures products and single stock options under the proposed rule change would be a market increase of 15% and a decrease of 15% (i.e., the valuation points would be $\pm 3\%$, 6%, 9%, 12%, and 15%).¹⁸ As with the current Pilot, a theoretical options pricing model would be used to derive position values at each valuation point for the purpose of determining the gain or loss.¹⁹

The amount of margin (initial and maintenance) required with respect to a given portfolio would be the larger of: (1) The greatest loss amount among the valuation point calculations; or (2) the sum of \$.375 for each option and future in the portfolio multiplied by the contract's or instrument's multiplier. The second computation establishes a minimum margin requirement to ensure that a certain level of margin is required from the customer in the event the greatest loss among the valuation points is *de minimis*.

Finally, under the proposed rule change, member organizations would

need to notify and receive approval from the Exchange prior to establishing a portfolio margin program for eligible customers.

c. Margin Deficiency

The proposed amendments would require a member organization to deduct from its net capital the amount of any portfolio margin maintenance call not met by the close of business of trade date plus one day (T+1). This condition would be different from the current requirement of T+3 and would apply to margin calls related to portfolios of all eligible products. NYSE member organizations would not be permitted to deduct any portfolio margin maintenance call amount from net capital in lieu of collecting the required margin from the customer.

d. Waiver of \$5.0 Million Equity Requirement

The proposed amendments would permit customers that are not broker-dealers or members of a national futures exchange to effect transactions solely in security futures and listed single stock options without maintaining \$5.0 million in equity as required under the Pilot for broad-based securities index products.²⁰

e. Risk Disclosure Statement and Acknowledgement

The Pilot requires a broker-dealer to provide a portfolio margin customer with a written risk disclosure statement at or prior to the initial opening of a portfolio margin account. This disclosure statement highlights the risks and describes the operation of a portfolio margin account. The disclosure statement also describes, among other things, eligibility requirements for opening a portfolio margin account, the instruments that are allowed in the account, and when deposits to meet margin and minimum equity requirements are due. Further, at or prior to the time a portfolio margin account is initially opened, the broker-dealer is required to obtain a signed acknowledgement concerning portfolio margining from the customer. Under the current Pilot, a separate acknowledgement is required for cross-margining.²¹

The proposed rule change amends the disclosure requirements under Rule 726 to incorporate the expanded list of eligible products in the Pilot and other

changes contained in the proposed rule change.

III. Summary of Comments Received and NYSE Response

The Commission received a total of three comment letters to the proposed rule change.²² The comments, in general, were supportive. One commenter stated that "is strongly supportive of the NYSE's efforts to incorporate portfolio margining into Rule 431 and hopes the Commission will speedily approve amendments to Rule 431 to increase the scope of portfolio margining."²³ Each commenter, however, recommended changes to specific provisions of the proposed rule change.

Two of the commenters stated that the list of eligible products should be expanded under the Pilot to include a broader range of assets including all listed and OTC equity securities.²⁴ Three commenters stated that operational and legal issues make it difficult to have separate accounts for portfolio margining and cross margining as required under the Pilot.²⁵ One commenter suggested that the Pilot should allow for portfolio margining to be done through a single account, rather than requiring that cross-margining be done through a separate account.²⁶ The NYSE's subsequent rule filing responds to these comments through further proposed amendments.²⁷ Specifically, in that expanded filing, the Exchange proposed eliminating the cross margin account and expanding the types of eligible products that can be included in a portfolio margin account.²⁸ In its response to comments, the Exchange also encouraged the Commission to adopt this subsequent proposed rule filing.²⁹

One commenter stated that the multiplier of \$.375 should be changed to \$.25 per contract to be more consistent with Appendix A to Rule 15c3-1.³⁰ The Exchange noted that it is concerned about the amount of potential leverage that can be created at each broker-dealer and believes that the higher minimum requirement would serve as an added cushion in the event of a severe market movement. Even though positions in the

¹⁷ These are the same ranges applied to options market makers under Appendix A to Rule 15c3-1 (17 CFR 240.15c3-1a), which permits a broker-dealer when computing net capital to calculate securities haircuts on options and related positions using a portfolio margin methodology. See 17 CFR 240.15c3-1a(b)(1)(iv)(A); see also Letter from Michael Macchiaroli, Associate Director, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, to Richard Lewandowski, Vice President, Regulatory Division, The Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. (Jan. 13, 2000).

¹⁸ This range also is consistent with Rule 15c3-1a. See *supra* note 17.

¹⁹ The pricing model would need to meet the requirements in Rule 15c3-1a. Currently, the only model that qualifies under Rule 15c3-1a is the OCC's Theoretical Intermarket Margining System (TIMS).

²⁰ See proposed rule 431(g)(9)(A).

²¹ "Cross-margining" refers to the inclusion of futures that are not securities in a portfolio as is permitted under the current Pilot for portfolios of broad-based securities index products.

²² See *supra* note 6.

²³ See SIA Letter.

²⁴ See SIA Letter and Citigroup Letter.

²⁵ See SIA Letter; Citigroup Letter; and FIA Letter.

²⁶ See SIA Letter.

²⁷ See SR-NYSE-2006-13 (proposal to expand list of eligible products in the Pilot and eliminate the separate cross-margin account). See *supra* note 8.

²⁸ *Id.*

²⁹ *Id.*; see also NYSE Response.

³⁰ See SIA Letter. 17 CFR 240.15c3-1a.

account are hedged, the Exchange noted that it is concerned about potential illiquidity in the market that could create sizeable gap risk in the event that both sides of a hedge cannot be closed out at the same time.³¹

One commenter also suggested that sophisticated member firms should be able to utilize proprietary models to estimate potential losses in determining portfolio margin requirements.³² In response to this comment, the Exchange stated that it would like to gain additional experience with the use of such risk models before it could permit its member organizations to utilize these models for margining purposes.³³

Finally, the Exchange stated that it will continue to work with the Commission staff and respective industry committees to address future enhancements to portfolio margining.³⁴

IV. Discussion and Commission Findings

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.³⁵ In particular, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act,³⁶ in that it is designed to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission notes that the proposed portfolio margin rule change is intended to promote greater reasonableness, accuracy and efficiency with respect to Exchange margin requirements and will better align margin requirements with the actual risk of hedged positions. Moreover, the Commission notes that approving the proposed rule change would be consistent with the Federal Reserve Board's 1998 amendments to Regulation T, which sought to advance the use of portfolio margining.

V. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,³⁷ that the proposed rule change (File No. SR-NYSE-2005-93), is approved on a pilot basis to expire on July 31, 2007.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.³⁸

Nancy M. Morris,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-11312 Filed 7-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-54126; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2006-31]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the NYSE Arca, Inc. Amending Rules To Mandate Listed Companies Become Eligible To Participate in a Direct Registration System

July 11, 2006.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ notice is hereby given that on June 19, 2006, NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared primarily by NYSE Arca. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested parties.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

NYSE Arca, through its wholly owned subsidiary NYSE Arca Equities, Inc. ("NYSE Arca Equities"), proposes to amend its rules to mandate that all listed companies become eligible to participate in a Direct Registration System ("DRS") administered by a clearing agency registered under section 17A of the Act.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, NYSE Arca included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The NYSE Arca has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.²

³⁸ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² The Commission has modified portions of the text of the summaries prepared by the NYSE Arca.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(1) Purpose

NYSE Arca, through its wholly-owned subsidiary NYSE Arca Equities, proposes to amend its rules to mandate that all listed companies become eligible to participate in DRS administered by a clearing agency registered under section 17A of the Act.

DRS is a system that allows an investor to establish either through the issuer's transfer agent or through the investor's broker-dealer a book-entry position in eligible securities on the books of the issuer and to electronically transfer her position between the transfer agent and the broker-dealer.³ DRS, therefore, allows an investor to have eligible securities registered in her name without having a certificate issued to her and to electronically transfer, thereby eliminating the risk and delays associated with the use of certificates, her securities to her broker-dealer in order to effect a transaction.

In 2004, the Commission issued a concept release, Securities Transaction Settlement, discussing whether self-regulatory organizations ("SROs") that list securities should adopt rules to require issuers to participate in DRS.⁴ Subsequently, representations of the New York Stock Exchange, the NASDAQ Stock Market, the American Stock Exchange, DTC, and the Securities Industry Association entered into discussions that resulted in the decision to propose common rules that would require listed companies to become eligible to participate in DRS but would not require listed companies to participate in DRS.⁵ There is an expectation that requiring listed

³ Currently, the only registered clearing agency operating a DRS is The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"). For a description of DRS and the DRS facilities administered by DTC, see Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 37931 (November 7, 1996), 61 FR 58600 (November 15, 1996), [File No. SR-DTC-96-15] (order granting approval to establish DRS) and 41862 (September 10, 1999), 64 FR 51162 (September 21, 1999), [File No. SR-DTC-99-16] (order approving implementation of the Profile Modification System).

⁴ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 49405 (March 11, 2004), 69 FR 12922 (March 18, 2004), [File No. S7-13-04].

⁵ The Commission has published notices for proposed rule changes filed by the New York Stock Exchange LLC, NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, and the American Stock Exchange LLC that would require certain listed companies securities become DRS eligible. Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 53912 (May 31, 2006), 71 FR 33030 (June 7, 2006) [File No. SR-NYSE-2006-29]; 53913 (May 31, 2006), 71 FR 33024 (June 7, 2006) [File No. SR-NASDAQ-2006-008]; and 53911 (May 31, 2006), 71 FR 33009 (June 7, 2006) [File No. SR-Amex-2006-40].

³¹ See NYSE Response.

³² See Citigroup Letter.

³³ See NYSE Response.

³⁴ See NYSE Response.

³⁵ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

³⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

³⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).