

for compliance with the corresponding requirements of paragraphs (f) and (g) of this AD, as applicable.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(j)(1) The Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) Before using any AMOC approved in accordance with § 39.19 on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify the appropriate principal inspector in the FAA Flight Standards Certificate Holding District Office.

#### Related Information

(k) French airworthiness directives F-2005-067 and F-2005-068, both dated April 27, 2005, also address the subject of this AD.

#### Material Incorporated by Reference

(l) You must use the applicable Airbus service bulletin identified in Table 1 of this AD to perform the actions that are required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of these documents in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Contact Airbus, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France, for a copy of this service information. You may review copies at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street SW., Room PL-401, Nassif Building, Washington, DC; on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at the NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to [http://www.archives.gov/federal\\_register/code\\_of\\_federal\\_regulations/ibr\\_locations.html](http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html).

TABLE 1.—MATERIAL INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Airbus Service Bulletin	Revision level	Date
A330-27-3100 ..	01	May 23, 2005.
A330-27-3105 ..	02	Oct. 10, 2005.
A340-27-4106 ..	01	May 23, 2005.
A340-27-4110 ..	02	Oct. 10, 2005.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 6, 2006.

**Ali Bahrami,**

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 06-6180 Filed 7-14-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2006-23644; Directorate Identifier 2006-CE-03-AD; Amendment 39-14679; AD 2006-14-08]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Mitsubishi Heavy Industries MU-2B Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) for some Mitsubishi Heavy Industries (MHI) MU-2B series airplanes. This AD requires you to verify that the current flight idle blade angles are set at 12 degrees. If not already set at that angle, set the flight idle blade angles to 12 degrees. This AD results from a recent safety evaluation that used a data-driven approach to analyze the design, operation, and maintenance of the MU-2B series airplanes in order to determine their safety and define what steps, if any, are necessary for their safe operation. Part of that evaluation was the identification of unsafe conditions that exist or could develop on the affected type design airplanes. We are issuing this AD to prevent incorrect flight idle blade angle settings. This unsafe condition, if not corrected, could lead to an asymmetric thrust situation in certain flight conditions, which could result in airplane controllability problems.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective on August 21, 2006.

As of August 21, 2006, the Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulation.

**ADDRESSES:** To get the service information identified in this AD, contact Mitsubishi Heavy Industries America, Inc., 4951 Airport Parkway, Suite 800, Addison, Texas 75001; telephone: 972-934-5480; facsimile: 972-934-5488.

To view the AD docket, go to the Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-001 or on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. The docket number is FAA-2006-23644; Directorate Identifier 2006-CE-03-AD.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rao Edupuganti, Aerospace Engineer, Fort Worth ACO, ASW-150, Rotorcraft

Directorate, FAA, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, Texas 76137-4298; telephone: 817-222-5284; facsimile: 817-222-5960.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Discussion

On February 3, 2006, we issued a proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to some MHI MU-2B series airplanes. This proposal was published in the **Federal Register** as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on February 9, 2006 (71 FR 6685). The NPRM proposed to require you to check the flight idle blade angle setting and set to 12 degrees if not already.

##### Comments

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. The following presents the comment received on the proposal and FAA's response to the comment:

*Comment Issue: Need for Issuance of This AD After 25 Years Since the Issuance of the Service Bulletin*

Mitsubishi Heavy Industries America, Inc. questions the need for an AD 25 years after the service bulletin has been issued. In 1980, MHI (Mitsubishi Aircraft International, Inc. at the time of issuance) issued Service Bulletin No. SB016/61-001, dated March 18, 1980, to change the flight blade angles from 16 degrees to 12 degrees. The type certificate data sheet for the affected airplanes was also revised to incorporate this change, which included Note 3 to indicate a small group of airplanes that may not have incorporated Service Bulletin No. SB016/61-001. No Japanese AD was issued because no airplanes on the Japanese type certificate were affected by this change. The Japanese airplanes had already incorporated the intent of the service bulletin.

At the time the service bulletin was issued, the FAA evaluated the available information and found that there were no reports of problems or incidents of flight idle blade angle settings with airplanes of U.S. registry. Therefore, we did not issue an airworthiness directive at that time.

Based on information received from the safety evaluation done in 2005 for the MU-2B series airplanes, we identified flight idle blade angles set at 16 degrees instead of 12 degrees as a potential problem.

After analyzing this issue using our risk-based methodology and the information received from the safety evaluation, we identified that an unsafe

condition is likely to exist or develop on certain type design MU-2B series airplanes. Therefore, we determined that AD action was necessary to ensure that all affected airplanes had flight idle blade angles set to 12 degrees.

We are not changing the AD as a result of this comment.

**Conclusion**

We have carefully reviewed the available data and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. We have

determined that these minor corrections:

- Are consistent with the intent that was proposed in the NPRM for correcting the unsafe condition; and
- Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM.

The Administration is committed to updating the aviation community of expected costs associated with the MU-2B series airplane safety evaluation conducted in 2005. As a result of that commitment, the accumulating

expected costs of all ADs related to the MU-2B series airplane safety evaluation may be found in the Final Report section at the following Web site: [http://www.faa.gov/aircraft/air\\_cert/design\\_approvals/small\\_airplanes/cos/mu2\\_foia\\_reading\\_library/](http://www.faa.gov/aircraft/air_cert/design_approvals/small_airplanes/cos/mu2_foia_reading_library/).

**Costs of Compliance**

We estimate that this AD affects 148 airplanes in the U.S. registry.

We estimate the following costs to do the modification to change the flight idle blade angle:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane	Total cost on U.S. operators
6 work-hours × \$80 = \$480 .....	Not applicable .....	\$480	\$71,040

**Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in subtitle VII, part A, subpart III, section 44701, “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this AD.

**Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and

responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a summary of the costs to comply with this AD (and other information as included in the Regulatory Evaluation) and placed it in the AD Docket. You may get a copy of this summary by sending a request to us at the address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include “Docket No. FAA-2006-23644; Directorate Identifier 2006-CE-03-AD” in your request.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

**Adoption of the Amendment**

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

- 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:  
**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

- 2. FAA amends § 39.13 by adding a new AD to read as follows:  
**2006-14-08 Mitsubishi Heavy Industries:**  
Amendment 39-14679; Docket No. FAA-2006-23644; Directorate Identifier 2006-CE-03-AD.

**Effective Date**

- (a) This AD becomes effective on August 21, 2006.

**Affected ADs**

- (b) None.

**Applicability**

- (c) This AD affects the following airplane models and serial numbers that are certificated in any category:

Model	Serial No.
(1) MU-2B-26A and MU-2B-40 .....	321SA, 348SA, 350SA through 419SA, 421SA, 422SA, and 423SA.
(2) MU-2B-36A and MU-2B-60 .....	661SA, 697SA through 747SA, 749SA through 757SA, and 759SA through 773SA.

**Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD results from a recent safety evaluation that used a data-driven approach to analyze the design, operation, and maintenance of the MU-2B series airplanes in order to determine their safety and define what steps, if any, are necessary for their safe

operation. Part of that evaluation was the identification of unsafe conditions that exist or could develop on the affected type design airplanes. The actions specified in this AD are intended to prevent incorrect flight idle blade angle settings. This unsafe condition, if not corrected, could lead to an asymmetric

thrust situation in certain flight conditions, which could result in airplane controllability problems.

**Compliance**

- (e) To address this problem, you must do the following:

Actions	Compliance	Procedures
Verify that the current flight idle blade angles are set at 12 degrees. If not already set to 12 degrees, set the flight idle blade angles to 12 degrees.	Within the next 100 hours time-in-service after August 21, 2006 (the effective date of this AD).	Follow Mitsubishi Aircraft International, Inc. Service Bulletin No. SB016/61-001, dated March 18, 1980.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(f) The Manager, Forth Worth Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, ATTN: Rao Edupuganti, Aerospace Engineer, Fort Worth ACO, ASW-150, Rotorcraft Directorate, FAA, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, Texas 76137-4298; telephone: 817-222-5284; facsimile: 817-222-5960, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

#### Material Incorporated by Reference

(g) You must do the actions required by this AD following the instructions in Mitsubishi Aircraft International, Inc. Service Bulletin No. SB016/61-001, dated March 18, 1980. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service bulletin in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. To get a copy of this service information, contact Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., 4951 Airport Parkway, Suite 800, Addison, Texas 75001 telephone: 972-934-5480; facsimile: 972-934-5488. To review copies of this service information, go to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, go to: [http://www.archives.gov/federal\\_register/code\\_of\\_federal\\_regulations/ibr\\_locations.html](http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html) or call (202) 741-6030. To view the AD docket, go to the Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-001 or on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. The docket number is FAA-2006-23644; Directorate Identifier 2006-CE-03-AD.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 5, 2006.

**Kim Smith,**

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 06-6179 Filed 7-14-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2006-24432; Directorate Identifier 2005-NM-227-AD; Amendment 39-14678; AD 2006-14-07]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737-100, -200, and -200C Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is superseding an existing airworthiness directive (AD), which applies to certain Boeing Model 737 series airplanes. That AD currently requires inspection of the elevator tab inboard hinge support structure to detect fatigue cracking and corrective action if necessary. That AD also provides an optional terminating action. This new AD adds airplanes to the applicability and requires new repetitive inspections. For airplanes having elevators with laminated rear spars, this new AD requires repetitive inspections for interlaminar corrosion, delamination, or disbonding in the rear spar, repetitive inspections for cracking in the spar web, and repair including related investigative/corrective actions if necessary. For airplanes having elevators with solid rear spars, this new AD requires repetitive inspections for cracking in the spar web and repair including related investigative/corrective actions if necessary. This AD results from reports of cracks in the elevator rear spar web at the tab hinge bracket locations. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct cracking, corrosion, interlaminar corrosion, delamination, and disbonding in the elevator rear spar, which may reduce elevator stiffness and lead to in-flight vibration. In-flight vibration may lead to elevator and horizontal stabilizer damage and reduced controllability of the airplane.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective August 21, 2006.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference

of certain publications listed in the AD as of August 21, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov> or in person at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC.

Contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207, for service information identified in this AD.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nancy Marsh, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 917-6440; fax (425) 917-6590.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Examining the Docket

You may examine the airworthiness directive (AD) docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov> or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647-5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section.

##### Discussion

The FAA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that supersedes AD 76-11-05 R1, amendment 39-6234 (54 FR 25709, June 19, 1989). The existing AD applies to certain Boeing Model 737 series airplanes. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on April 13, 2006 (71 FR 19144). That NPRM proposed to continue to require inspection of the elevator tab inboard hinge support structure to detect fatigue cracking and corrective action if necessary. That NPRM also proposed to continue to provide an optional terminating action for the existing inspections. That NPRM proposed to add airplanes to the applicability and to require new repetitive inspections. For airplanes having elevators with laminated rear spars, that NPRM proposed to require repetitive inspections for interlaminar corrosion, delamination, or disbonding