

Firebreaks will be in place around the entire Alpha Plus ATPA prior to the implementation of the Navy action.

The Navy will support the Air Force's invasive exotic species monitoring and control program within the ATPAs, buffers, and public off-limit areas.

The Navy will assist the Air Force in monitoring and control of the feral hog populations within the ATPA, buffers, and public off-limit areas.

The Navy will coordinate with the Air Force to ensure that annual reports summarizing efforts to monitor the effects to listed species and their habitats are submitted by October 1st of each year.

Upon locating a dead, injured, or sick individual of a federally listed species, notification must be made to the nearest USFWS Law Enforcement Office.

Socioeconomics: The Navy will provide EOD personnel to APAFR in an effort to minimize adverse impacts associated with reduced range access. No other mitigative actions are proposed.

Cultural Resources: To minimize adverse impacts to potential cultural resources, the Navy will, according to the Memorandum of Agreement, ensure that the following measure will be carried out in consultation with the SHPO: if the Navy encounters unanticipated historic properties or effects, reasonable efforts will be made to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects pursuant to 36 CFR 800.13(b).

Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Waste Management: To minimize the potential for detonation of HE ordnance on the OB/OD TTF site northeast of the Alpha impact area, but within the greater Alpha Plus ATPA, the Navy has been working with the FDEP and Air Force on the removal of the OB/OD landfill unit. The removal action will be completed prior to the first exercise. No other adverse impacts are expected, therefore, there are no recommended mitigative actions to reduce or eliminate environmental impacts from the proposed action.

Military Activities: The following mitigative actions will be taken to reduce potential impacts to military activities that are currently conducted on the range:

Each Navy HE training event will be conducted within a block of no more than 10 days.

All known unexploded ordnance (UXO) will be disposed of within seven days of the 10-day HE block of range time, with roads being cleared first.

Navy training exercises will be coordinated with other on-ground training missions, such as missions that

are part of the Avon Park Air Ground Training Complex.

Comments Received on the Final EIS: The Navy received a single letter regarding the Final EIS during the 30-day No Action Period. The letter, from the USEPA, concluded that EPA's initial concerns regarding the Draft EIS had been adequately addressed in the Final EIS but continued to emphasize the need to ensure functional replacement for the wetlands' value lost from this action.

As previously discussed in the Water Resources subsection of the Consequences section, the Navy has chosen several target locations within the Alpha Plus ATPA for initial target placement. A wetland delineation was performed for the area encompassed by these locations. The USACOE concluded that no jurisdictional wetlands existed within these areas, therefore no permit is required under the Clean Water Action Section 404 permitting process. If in the future the Navy feels it needs to move target locations within the ATPA, it will ensure that the process for addressing impacts to wetlands is followed.

Navy also received a comment letter from the Florida State Clearinghouse after the 30-day No-Action Period ended, forwarding comments from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP).

FDEP repeated two comments made during their earlier review of the Draft EIS. They requested an Environmental Monitoring Plan (EMP) to formally establish baseline water quality conditions, parameters, and annual reporting requirements. FDEP also reiterated prior concern about the former open burn/open detonation (OB/OD) site within the Alpha Plus area. A formal EMP is not necessary to assure compliance with applicable statutes.

Modeling and analysis done in support of the EIS indicated a small possibility of munitions constituents of concern making their way to the surficial aquifer but it is not anticipated to impact groundwater resources used for potable purposes. The Navy's assessment is based on a number of factors. While the modeling contains the assumption that no UXO cleanup would be done during a 10-year period of maximum use, the Navy has committed to completing UXO clearance after every exercise. Additionally, there is an intermediate aquifer that isolates the Floridan aquifer from the surficial aquifer. The Navy will also work closely with the Air Force to implement DoD Instruction (DODI) 4715.14. This instruction requires military ranges to assess whether a release of munitions

constituents of concern has occurred off range and the risk to human health and the environment. When finished, the Air Force is required to release the results to the public.

The Navy, as stated earlier in this ROD, has committed to funding the removal of the OB/OD landfill units located in the Alpha Plus ATPA and is working with FDEP to ensure full compliance. Removal of this unit, which is the only RCRA permitted unit within the Alpha Plus ATPA, will be complete in 2006. Response actions regarding impacts to any of the environmental restoration program sites in APAFR resulting from Navy training activities, including an inadvertent impact of ordnance, would be coordinated with the EPA, the FDEP, and other relevant stakeholders.

Summary: In determining how best to expand APAFR's capabilities to allow the Navy to conduct all components of "air-to-ground ordnance delivery and training" of integrated and sustainment levels of the FRTP at the range, a critical element of which is delivery of HE ordnance, I considered impacts to the following areas: Airspace, noise, range safety, earth resources, water resources, air quality, land use and recreation, biological resources, socioeconomics, cultural resources, environmental justice, hazardous waste and materials, and military activities. I have also taken into consideration the Navy's consultation with the USFWS regarding endangered species, the SHPO regarding cultural resources, and the USACOE regarding wetlands. I have also considered the comments sent to the Navy by the regulatory community, state and local governments, and the public. After carefully weighing all of these factors, I have determined that alternative 6, use of the Alpha Plus range for HE air-to-ground ordnance delivery combined with the common element activities, will best meet the needs of the Navy while minimizing the environmental impacts associated with the re-introduction of HE ordnance to the APAFR.

Dated: June 21, 2006.

BJ Penn,

Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Installations and Environment).

[FR Doc. E6-10356 Filed 6-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before August 2, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Rachel Potter, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or faxed to (202) 395-6974.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: June 27, 2006.

Angela C. Arrington,
IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.

Office of Planning, Evaluation and Policy Development

Type of Review: Revision.

Title: Data Collection for the Evaluation of the Improving Literacy Through School Libraries Program.

Frequency: One-time.

Affected Public: State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 881.

Burden Hours: 808.

Abstract: This submission requests approval for an evaluation of the Improving Literacy through School Libraries Program (LSL). LSL, established under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB), is designed to improve the literacy skills and academic achievement of students by providing them with access to up-to-date school library materials, technologically advanced school library media centers, and professionally certified school library media specialists. The evaluation of this program is authorized by NCLB Title I, Part B, Subpart 4.

Requests for copies of the information collection submission for OMB review may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 3066. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Potomac Center, 9th Floor, Washington, DC 20202-4700. Requests may also be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202-245-6623. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. E6-10363 Filed 6-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Innovation and Improvement; Overview Information, Charter Schools Program (CSP)

Notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2006.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 84.282B and 84.282C.

Dates: Applications Available: July 3, 2006.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: August 17, 2006.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: September 8, 2006.

Eligible Applicants: *Planning and Initial Implementation (CFDA No. 84.282B):* Non-State educational agency (non-SEA) eligible applicants in States with a State statute specifically

authorizing the establishment of charter schools and in which the SEA elects not to participate in the CSP or does not have an application approved under the CSP program.

Note: *Eligible applicant* is defined in section 5210(3) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (ESEA). The following States currently have approved applications under the CSP: Alaska, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Wisconsin. In these States, non-SEA eligible applicants interested in participating in the CSP should contact the SEA for information related to the State's CSP subgrant competition.

Dissemination (CFDA No. 84.282C): Charter schools, as defined in section 5210(1) of the ESEA.

Note: A charter school may apply for funds to carry out dissemination activities, whether or not the charter school previously applied for or received funds under the CSP for planning or implementation, if the charter school has been in operation for at least three consecutive years and has demonstrated overall success, including—

- (1) Substantial progress in improving student academic achievement;
- (2) High levels of parent satisfaction; and
- (3) The management and leadership necessary to overcome initial start-up problems and establish a thriving, financially viable charter school.

Estimated Available Funds: \$4,000,000.

Estimated Range of Awards: \$130,000-\$175,000 per year.

Estimated Average Size of Awards: \$150,000 per year.

Estimated Number of Awards: 20-40.

Note: The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

Project Period: Up to 36 months under CFDA No. 84.282B. Up to 24 months under CFDA No. 84.282C.

Note: Planning and implementation grants awarded by the Secretary to non-SEA eligible applicants will be awarded for a period of up to 36 months, no more than 18 months of which may be used for planning and program design and no more than two years of which may be used for the initial implementation of a charter school. Dissemination grants are awarded for a period of up to two years.

Full Text of Announcement

I. Funding Opportunity Description

Purpose of Program: The purpose of the CSP is to increase national understanding of the charter school model and to expand the number of