

Emmett Township, Calhoun County, Michigan," on the first page of your written comments.

Copies of the FEIS will be available for review at: (1) Willard Library, 7 West Van Buren, Battle Creek, Michigan 49017, Telephone (269) 968-8166; (2) Helen Warner Branch Library, 36 Minges Creek Place, Battle Creek, Michigan 49015, Telephone (269) 968-8166, extension 600; and (3) Marshall District Library, 124 West Green Street, Marshall, Michigan 49068, Telephone (269) 781-7821.

If you would like to obtain a copy of the FEIS, please write or call Herb Nelson, Regional Environmental Scientist, Midwest Region, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Bishop Henry Whipple Federal Building, One Federal Drive, Room 550, Ft. Snelling, Minnesota 55111, telephone (612) 725-4510, fax (612) 713-4401. The FEIS is also available on line at <http://www.HuronFEIS.com>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Herb Nelson, (612) 725-4510.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Tribe has asked the BIA to take 79 acres of land into trust on behalf of the Tribe, on which the Tribe proposes to build a casino. The property is located along the south side of Interstate 94 (I-94) in Emmett Township, Calhoun County, Michigan, at the Eleven Mile Road exit. The gaming facility would be managed by Gaming Enterprises Michigan (GEM) on behalf of the Tribe, pursuant to the terms of the gaming management agreement between the Tribe and GEM. The NIGC is responsible for the review and approval of the gaming management contract.

The project design includes an approximately 136,000 square foot casino, designed to accommodate 2000 slot machines and 50 gaming tables. The site is also proposed to include parking for approximately 3600 cars for patrons and gaming facility employees, plus 20 visitor spaces for busses and other over-sized vehicles. The parking area would cover approximately 32 acres.

Alternatives to the proposed project that are considered and evaluated in detail in the FEIS are as follows: (1) Trust acquisition and casino construction and operation (the proposed action); (2) trust acquisition and construction and operation of a casino smaller than the casino described in the proposed action; (3) trust acquisition and construction and operation of a casino with reduced hours of operation; (4) trust acquisition and construction and operation of a casino at an alternate location from that in the proposed action; and (5) no

action. Alternatives considered and properly eliminated from detailed study in the FEIS include non-casino alternatives and the construction and operation of a larger casino than that described in the proposed action.

Environmental issues addressed in the FEIS include land and water resources, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, socioeconomic conditions, resource use patterns, traffic and transportation networks, sound and noise, hazardous materials, public health and safety, public services, environmental justice, aesthetic resources and lighting, indirect and induced growth impacts, cumulative impacts and mitigation.

The BIA held a public scoping meeting July 28, 2004, in Battle Creek, Michigan, to identify issues and alternatives to be considered in the EIS. The BIA held a public hearing on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement August 24, 2005, in Battle Creek, Michigan.

Public Comment Availability

Comments, including names and addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the BIA address shown in the **ADDRESSES** section, during business hours, 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by the law. We will not, however, consider anonymous comments. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

Authority

This notice is published in accordance with section 1503.1 of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500 through 1508) implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), and the Department of the Interior Manual (516 DM 1-6), and is in the exercise of authority delegated to the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs by 209 DM 8.

Dated: March 6, 2006.

Michael D. Olsen,
*Acting Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—
Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 06-5817 Filed 6-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-W7-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Central Valley Project Improvement Act, Water Management Plans

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: The following Water Management Plans are available for review:

- City of Vacaville.
- Santa Ynez River Conservation District.
- Sacramento County Water Agency.
- Grasslands Water District Refuge.
- El Dorado Irrigation District.
- City of Redding.

To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992 (CVPIA) and the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982, the Bureau of Reclamation has developed and published the Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Criteria). Note: For the purpose of this announcement, Water Management Plans (Plans) are considered the same as Water Conservation Plans. The above districts have developed Plans, which Reclamation has evaluated and preliminarily determined to meet the requirements of these Criteria. Reclamation is publishing this notice in order to allow the public to review the Plans and comment on the preliminary determinations. Public comment on Reclamation's preliminary (*i.e.*, draft) determination is invited at this time.

DATES: All public comments must be received by July 31, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Please mail comments to Bryce White, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way MP-410, Sacramento, California 95825, or contact at 916-978-5208 (TDD 978-5608), or e-mail bwhite@mp.usbr.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information, please contact Mr. White at the e-mail address or telephone number above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We are inviting the public to comment on our preliminary (*i.e.*, draft) determination of Plan adequacy. Section 3405(e) of the CVPIA (Title 34 Pub. L. 102-575) requires the Secretary of the Interior to

establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices (BMPs) that shall * * * develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project contractors, including those plans required by section 210 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982." Also, according to section 3405(e)(1), these Criteria must be developed "* * * with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices." These Criteria state that all parties (Contractors) that contract with Reclamation for water supplies (municipal and industrial contracts over 2,000 acre-feet and agricultural contracts over 2,000 irrigable acres) must prepare Plans that contain the following information:

1. Description of the District.
2. Inventory of Water Resources.
3. BMPs for Agricultural Contractors.
4. BMPs for Urban Contractors.
5. BMP Plan Implementation.
6. BMP Exemption Justification.

Reclamation will evaluate Plans based on these Criteria. A copy of these Plans will be available for review at Reclamation's Mid-Pacific (MP) Regional Office located in Sacramento, California, and the local area office.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that Reclamation withhold their home address from public disclosure, and we will honor such request to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which Reclamation would elect to withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. We will make all submissions from organizations, businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses available for public disclosure in their entirety. If you wish to review a copy of these Plans, please contact Mr. White to find the office nearest you.

Dated: June 5, 2006.

Donna E. Tegelman,

Regional Resources Manager, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. E6-10262 Filed 6-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-566]

In the Matter of Certain Chemical Mechanical Planarization Slurries and Precursors to Same Notice of a Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation with Respect to the Only Respondent, and Issuance of Consent Order

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") in the above-captioned investigation terminating the investigation as to the only respondent. The investigation was terminated as to the only respondent based on a consent order.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clint Gerdine, Esq., telephone 202-708-2310, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on April 28, 2006, based on a complaint filed by Cabot Microelectronics Corporation of Aurora, Illinois. A supplement to the complaint was filed on April 13, 2006. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain chemical mechanical planarization slurries and precursors to same by reason of infringement of claims 20, 22, 38, and 48 of U.S. Patent

No. 5,958,288; claims 11, 18-19, and 25 of the U.S. Patent No. 5,980,773; and claims 8, 12, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,068,787. The complaint named the respondent as Cheil Industries Inc. of Korea. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

On May 19, 2006, the only respondent filed a motion for termination of the investigation on the basis of a consent order. The Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion on May 31, 2006.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on June 7, 2006, granting the motion for termination. No party petitioned for review of the ID pursuant to 19 CFR 210.43(a), and the Commission found no basis for ordering a review on its own initiative pursuant to 19 CFR 210.44.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.21(c) and 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Issued: June 23, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-10218 Filed 6-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-561]

In the Matter of Certain Combination Motor and Transmission Systems and Devices Used Therein, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Granting a Motion to Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation in the above-captioned investigation to substitute respondent Toyota Motor Manufacturing North America, Inc. with Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing North America, Inc. and Toyota Motor Manufacturing Kentucky, Inc.