

likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore this rule is categorically excluded under figure 2–1, paragraph 32(e) of the Instruction from further environmental documentation. Paragraph 32(e) excludes the promulgation of operating regulations or procedures for drawbridges from the environmental documentation requirements of NEPA. Since this proposed regulation would alter the normal operating conditions of the drawbridge, it falls within this exclusion. A “Categorical Exclusion Determination” is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

Regulations

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR Part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 017.1; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102–587, 106 Stat. 5039.

§ 117.393 [Amended]

2. In § 117.393, remove paragraph (b) and redesignate paragraphs (c) through (d) as paragraphs (b) through (c) respectively.

Dated: June 12, 2006.

R.F. Duncan,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. E6–10043 Filed 6–23–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD05–06–002]

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Chincoteague Channel, Chincoteague, VA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments, and notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: On March 31, 2006, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 19150). That document contains a detailed history of the Coast Guard’s previous regulatory efforts regarding the SR 175 Bridge. The Coast Guard is reopening the period for public comment concerning the drawbridge operation regulations that govern the SR 175 Bridge, mile 3.5, across Chincoteague Channel at Chincoteague, Virginia, because an Accomack County official communicated to the Coast Guard those residents of Chincoteague have additional comments concerning the operating regulations of the drawbridge.

DATES: Comments must be received by on or before July 21, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket [CGD05–06–002]. To make sure they do not enter the docket more than once, please submit them by only one of the following means:

(1) By mail to Commander (dpb), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, VA 23704.

(2) By hand delivery to Commander (dpb), Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, VA 23704, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (757) 398–6629.

(3) By fax to the Bridge Administration office at (757) 398–6334.

Commander (dpb) maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at the address listed above between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions on this notice, contact Gary S. Heyer, Commander (dpb) Fifth Coast Guard District, by telephone at (757) 398–6629, or by e-mail at gary.s.heyer@uscg.mil. For questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, also contact Mr. Gary S. Heyer.

Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting your comments to Commander (dpb), Fifth Coast Guard District as specified in **ADDRESSES**. We will consider comments received during this additional comment period and may change the rule in response to the comments.

Public Meeting and Procedure

The Coast Guard will also hold a public meeting to provide a forum for citizens to provide oral comments relating to the drawbridge operation regulations for the SR 175 Bridge, mile 3.5, across Chincoteague Channel at Chincoteague, Virginia. The meeting will be open to the public and it will be held from 7:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. on July 18, 2006, at the Chincoteague Community Center, 6155 Community Drive, Chincoteague, VA 23336. The meeting may close early if all business is finished. Written material and advance notice requests to make oral comments should reach the Coast Guard on or before July 17, 2006.

Members of the public are invited to make comments and those who wish to provide oral comment will be recognized by the meeting moderator. Each person will be limited to no more than 5 minutes of oral comments. The moderator will first call off names of individuals who have notified the meeting moderator in advance that they

are planning to comment. After that, individuals who arrived prior to the start of the meeting on July 18, 2006, and received numbers in the lobby will be called. After all of these individuals have been called, the moderator will then ask for members of the audience who have not provided advance notification or who did not arrive prior to the meeting to come forward, sign-in with the recorder, and then be able to approach the podium to deliver comments.

Send written material and requests to make oral comments to Commander (dpb), Fifth Coast Guard District, Federal Building, 1st Floor, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, VA 23704-5004 or via fax at (757) 398-6334 or by e-mail at gary.s.heyer@uscg.mil.

The agenda of the public meeting will include the following:

- (1) Introduction of panel members.
- (2) Overview of meeting format.
- (3) Background on the proposed rulemaking.
- (4) Statements from citizens.

Statements may be delivered in written form at the public meeting and made part of the docket or delivered orally not to exceed 5 minutes.

Questions

We need assistance from the public in ensuring the Coast Guard fully understands the impact of potential rulemaking on all users of the bridge, both road and waterway usage. We believe that the impacts can be better understood by articulating answers to questions such as those posed below. In responding to the questions listed below, please explain your reasons for each answer as specifically as possible so that we can weigh the impacts and consequences of future actions that we may take. The following are the types of pertinent questions that may be used:

(1) If the SR 175 Bridge opened every two hours, would this have a positive or a negative impact on your business interests? And, what would be the approximate monetary value of this impact per day?

(2) If the SR 175 Bridge opened every two hours, would this increase or decrease the time you spend per day as a motor vehicle commuter? And, approximately how much would your commuting time increase or decrease?

(3) If the SR 175 Bridge opened every two hours and this opening schedule had a negative impact on your business interests, what actions could you take, if any, to minimize the negative impact?

(4) If the SR 175 Bridge continued to open once per hour, would this have a negative or positive financial impact on your life or business? And, what would

be the approximate amount of the negative or positive financial impact per day?

(5) Is there a traffic management plan in place that directs how to manage a vehicle back-up at the SR 175 Bridge? And if there is a plan, what procedures are in place to increase public safety and reduce traffic delays at the Bridge? Any additional information provided on these topics is welcome.

Information on Services for Individuals With Disabilities

For information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities or to request special assistance at the meeting, contact Mr. Gary S. Heyer as listed in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** as soon as possible.

Dated: June 13, 2006.

Larry L. Hereth,

*Rear Admiral, U. S. Coast Guard,
Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. E6-10048 Filed 6-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

36 CFR Chapter 1

Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee for Dog Management at Golden Gate National Recreation Area

ACTION: Notice of fourth meeting.

Notice is hereby given, in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770, 5 U.S.C. App 1, section 10), of the fourth meeting of the Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee for Dog Management at Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

DATES: The Committee will meet on Monday, July 31, 2006 in the Golden Gate Room, Building A, Fort Mason Center in San Francisco. The meeting will begin at 3:30 p.m. This, and any subsequent meetings, will be held to assist the National Park Service in potentially developing a special regulation for dogwalking at Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

The proposed agenda for this meeting of the Committee may contain the following items; however, the Committee may modify its agenda during the course of its work. The Committee will provide for a public comment period during the meeting.

1. Agenda review.
2. Approval of May 15 meeting summary.
3. Updates and announcements.

4. Report from first Technical Subcommittee meeting.

5. Discussion of Interests Assessment Template.

6. Public comment.

7. Adjourn.

To request a sign language interpreter for a meeting, please call the park TDD line (415) 556-2766, at least a week in advance of the meeting. Please note that Federal regulations prohibit pets in public buildings, with the exception of service animals; please leave pets at home.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Go to the NPS Planning, Environment and Public Comment (PEPC) Web site, <http://www.parkplanning.nps.gov/goga> and select Negotiated Rulemaking for Dog Management at GGNRA or call the Dog Management Information Line at 415-561-4728.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meetings are open to the public. The Committee was established pursuant to the Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1990 (5 U.S.C. 561-570). The purpose of the Committee is to consider developing a special regulation for dogwalking at Golden Gate National Recreation Area. Interested persons may provide brief oral/written comments to the Committee during the Public Comment period of the meeting or file written comments with the GGNRA Superintendent.

Dated: June 20, 2006.

Loran Fraser,

Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. E6-10013 Filed 6-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-FN-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R07-OAR-2006-0286; FRL-8188-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Missouri for maintaining the ozone standard in Kansas City.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by July 26, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2006-0286 by one of the following methods: