

proprietary by the commentator and meeting exemptions stated in the Freedom of Information Act, are available for public inspection during normal business hours in the Public Room (Room 500) of the Bureau of Land Management.

Kent Hoffman,

Deputy State Director, Lands and Minerals.

[FR Doc. E6-8796 Filed 6-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-DK-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[MT-020-1020-PK]

Notice of Public Meeting, Eastern Montana Resource Advisory Council Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Eastern Montana Resource Advisory Council will meet as indicated below.

DATES: A meeting will be held July 19, 2006, at the Bureau of Land Management Montana State Office, 5501 Southgate Drive, Billings, Montana, 59101, beginning at 7 a.m. The public comment period will begin at 11:30 a.m.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 15-member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in eastern Montana. All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the Council. Each formal Council meeting will also have time allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation, or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the BLM as provided below. The Council will hear updates on the Miles City Resource Management Plan and the coal bed natural gas SEIS, Yellowstone River island ownership, and tour the Pompeys Pillar National Monument interpretive center.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Apple, Resource Advisory Council

Coordinator, Montana State Office, 5001 Southgate Drive, Billings, Montana, 59101, telephone 406-896-5258 or Sandra S. Brooks, Field Manager, Billings Field Office, telephone 406-896-5013.

Dated: June 1, 2006.

Sandra S. Brooks,

Billings Field Manager.

[FR Doc. E6-8824 Filed 6-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-SS-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[NM-920-1310-06; NMNM 108883]

Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease NMNM 108883

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of 30 U.S.C. 188(d) and (e), and 43 CFR 3108.2-3(a) and (b)(1), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) received a petition for reinstatement of oil and gas lease NMNM 108883 from the lessee, Coulthurst Management & Investment, Inc., for lands in Sandoval County, New Mexico. The petition was filed on time and was accompanied by all the rentals due since the date the lease terminated under the law.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bernadine T. Martinez, BLM, New Mexico State Office, at (505) 438-7530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: No lease has been issued that affects the lands. The lessee agrees to new lease terms for rentals and royalties of \$10.00 per acre or fraction thereof, per year, and 16 $\frac{2}{3}$ percent, respectively. The lessee paid the required \$500.00 administrative fee for the reinstatement of the lease and \$166.00 cost for publishing this Notice in the **Federal Register**. The lessee met all the requirements for reinstatement of the lease as set out in Sections 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188). We are proposing to reinstate lease NMNM 108883, effective the date of termination, September 1, 2005, under the original terms and conditions of the lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above.

Dated: June 2, 2006.

Bernadine T. Martinez,

Land Law Examiner.

[FR Doc. E6-8795 Filed 6-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-FB-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decrees Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Under 42 U.S.C. §§ 9622(d)(2), 9622(g)(12) and 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on May 26, 2006, two proposed Consent Decrees in *United States v. Industrial Excess Landfill, Inc.*, Civil Action Number 5:89-CV-1988 (consolidated with *State of Ohio v. Industrial Excess Landfill, Inc.*, Civil Action Number 5:91-CV-2559), were lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio.

The first Consent Decree resolves claims against PPG Industries, Inc. ("PPG"), brought by the United States on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") under section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, for response costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States in responding to the release and threatened release of hazardous substances at the Industrial Excess Landfill Superfund Site ("Site") in Uniontown, Ohio. Under its Consent Decree, PPG will pay the United States \$72,500 in reimbursement of response costs.

The second Consent Decree resolves claims against Morgan Adhesives Co. ("Morgan"), brought by the United States on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency under section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607, for response costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States in responding to the release and threatened release of hazardous substance at the Site, as well as CERCLA and other claims related to the Site brought against Morgan by the State of Ohio. Under its Consent Decree, Morgan will pay the United States \$334,016 in reimbursement of response costs and will pay the State of Ohio \$15,984 in reimbursement of response costs.

Both Consent Decrees are *de minimis* settlements pursuant to Section 122(g)(1)(A) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1)(A). Under the respective Consent Decree, the United States covenants not to sue PPG, and the United States and the State of Ohio covenant not to sue Morgan, regarding the Site, subject to reservations of rights should information be discovered which indicates that a settling defendant no longer qualifies as a *de minimis* party, as well as reservations commonly included in CERCLA settlements of all

rights with respect to certain other claims.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Industrial Excess Landfill, Inc.*, DOJ Ref. # 90-11-3-247/2.

Each Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of Ohio, 801 West Superior Avenue, Suite 400, Cleveland, Ohio 44113, and the Region Blvd., Chicago, Illinois 60604. During the public comment period, each Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>.

A copy of each Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood, tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov, Fax No. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree library, please specify whether requesting the PPG Consent Decree, the Morgan Consent Decree, or both, and please enclose a check payable to the U.S. Treasury in the amount of \$5.50 for the PPG Consent Decree, \$6.25 for the Morgan Consent Decree, or \$11.75 for both Consent Decrees (for reproduction costs of 25 cents per page).

William D. Brighton,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 06-5191 Filed 6-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Jerome Purze, et al.*, Case No. 04 C 7697, was lodged with the United States District Court for the northern District of Illinois on May 31, 2006. This proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the United States against the Defendants pursuant to Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. 1311(a),

to obtain injunctive relief from and impose civil penalties against the Defendants for filling wetlands without a permit.

The proposed Consent Decree requires the defendants to pay a civil penalty, donate funds to a wetland restoration fund, and restore the impacted wetland. The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Please address comments to Kurt Lindland, Assistant United States Attorney, United States Attorney's Office, 5th Floor, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604 and refer to *United States v. Jerome Purze, et al.* Case No. 04 C 7697, including the USAO #2004V01553.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois. In addition, the proposed Consent Decree may be viewed on the World Wide Web at <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>.

Kurt N. Lindland,

Assistant United States Attorney

[FR Doc. 06-5190 Filed 6-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,052]

Array-Hartland, Hartland, WI; Notice of Termination of Certification

On April 19, 2006, the Department issued a Notice of Intent to Terminate the Certification of Eligibility For Workers of Array-Hartland, Hartland, Wisconsin, to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance issued in accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (26 U.S.C. 2813). The notice of the intent to terminate the certification was published in the **Federal Register** on May 5, 2006 (71 FR 26563-26564).

The Department's notice requested that any persons showing a substantial interest in the termination of the certification to submit comments by May 15, 2006.

No comments were received. Accordingly, this certification is hereby terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 18th day of May, 2006.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-8770 Filed 6-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,948]

Carolina Mills, Inc., Plant #3, Newton, NC; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated April 19, 2006, a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on March 27, 2006 and published in the **Federal Register** on April 17, 2006 (71 FR 19755).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Carolina Mills, Inc., Plant #3, Newton, North Carolina engaged in production of woven textile fabrics was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met, nor was there a shift in production from that firm to a foreign country. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's customers. The survey revealed no imports of woven textile fabrics during the relevant period. The subject firm did not import woven textile fabrics nor did it shift production to a foreign country during the relevant period.

The petitioner states that the affected workers lost their jobs as a result of the negative impact of increased imports of gloves on U.S. glove manufacturing. The