

The Withdrawal

Accordingly, the notice of proposed rulemaking, Docket 2001–NM–110–AD, published in the **Federal Register** on August 17, 2001 (66 FR 43128), is withdrawn.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 26, 2006.

Ali Bahrami,

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E6–8710 Filed 6–5–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2005–22420; Directorate Identifier 2005–CE–47–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; B–N Group Ltd. BN–2, BN–2A, BN–2B, BN–2T, and BN–2T–4R Series (All Individual Models Included in Type Certificate Data Sheet (TCDS) A17EU, Revision 16, Dated December 9, 2002) Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the products listed above. This proposed AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by an airworthiness authority of another country. The proposed AD would require actions that are intended to address an unsafe condition described in the MCAI.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by June 21, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Use one of the following addresses to comment on this proposed AD:

- DOT Docket Web site: Go to <http://dms.dot.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL–401, Washington, DC 20590.

- Fax: (202) 493–2251.

- Hand delivery: Room PL–401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400

Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in the proposed AD, contact the B–N Group Ltd, Bembridge Airport, Isle of Wight, United Kingdom, PO35 5PR; telephone: 0870 881 5064; facsimile: 0870 881 5065; e-mail: structural@britten-norman.com.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Taylor Martin, Aerospace Safety Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4138; facsimile: (816) 329–4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Streamlined Issuance of AD

The FAA is implementing a new process for streamlining the issuance of ADs related to MCAI. We are prototyping this process and specifically request your comments on its use. This streamlined process will allow us to adopt MCAI safety requirements in a more efficient manner and will reduce safety risks to the public.

This process continues to follow all existing AD issuance processes to meet legal, economic, Administrative Procedure Act, and **Federal Register** requirements. We also continue to follow our technical decision-making processes in all aspects to meet our responsibilities to determine and correct unsafe conditions on U.S.-certificated products.

This proposed AD references the MCAI and related service information that we considered in forming the engineering basis to correct the unsafe condition. The proposed AD contains text copied from the MCAI and for this reason might not follow our plain language principles.

The comment period for this proposed AD is open for 15 days. The comment period is reduced because the airworthiness authority and manufacturer have already published the documents on which we based our decision, making a longer comment period unnecessary.

Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include the docket number, Docket No. FAA–2005–22420; Directorate Identifier 2005–CE–47–AD at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of

the proposed AD. We are also inviting comments, views, or arguments on the new MCAI process. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://dms.dot.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive concerning this proposed AD.

Discussion

The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), which is the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom, has issued British Airworthiness Directive No. G–2004–0011, dated May 25, 2004 (referred to after this as “the MCAI”), to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states that the aircraft manufacturer has identified several cases of corroded elevator final drive control rods. If not corrected corrosion of the interior surface could result in failure or collapse of the rod, resulting in loss of control or jamming of the elevator system. The MCAI requires an inspection of the internal surface of the elevator system final drive control rod and replacement if found corroded. You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the docket.

Relevant Service Information

BN-Group Ltd. has issued Britten-Norman Service Bulletin SB number 303, Issue 1, dated May 14, 2004. The actions described in this service information are intended to correct the unsafe condition identified in the MCAI.

FAA’s Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

This product is manufactured outside the United States and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the State of Design’s airworthiness authority has notified us of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We have examined the airworthiness authority’s findings, evaluated all pertinent information, and determined an unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on all products of this type design. We are issuing this proposed AD to correct the unsafe condition.

Differences Between the Proposed AD and the MCAI or Service Information

We have reviewed the MCAI and related service information and, in general, agree with their substance. But we might have found it necessary to use different words from those in the MCAI to ensure the AD is clear for U.S. operators and is enforceable in a U.S. court of law. In making these changes, we do not intend to differ substantively from the information provided in the MCAI and related service information.

We might also have proposed different actions in this AD from those in the MCAI in order to follow FAA policies. Any such differences are described in a separate paragraph of the proposed AD. These proposed requirements, if ultimately adopted, will take precedence over the actions copied from the MCAI.

Costs of Compliance

Based on the service information, we estimate that this proposed AD would affect about 91 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it would take about 5 workhours per product to do the action and that the average labor rate is \$80 per workhour. Required parts would cost about \$1,000 per product. Where the service information lists required parts costs that are covered under warranty, we have assumed that there will be no charge for these costs. As we do not control warranty coverage for affected parties, some parties may incur costs higher than estimated here. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the proposed AD on U.S. operators to be \$127,400, or \$1,400 per product.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket that contains the proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Office (telephone (800) 647-5227) is located at the street address stated in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

B-N Group Ltd: Docket No. FAA-2005-22420; Directorate Identifier 2005-CE-47-AD.

Comments Due Date

(a) We must receive comments on this proposed airworthiness directive (AD) by June 21, 2006.

Affected ADs

(b) None.

Applicability

(c) This AD applies to all BN-2, BN-2A, BN-2B, BN-2T, and BN-2T-4R Series (all individual models included in Type Certificate Data Sheet (TCDS) A17EU, Revision 16, dated December 9, 2002) airplanes; certificated in any U.S. category.

Reason

(d) The aircraft manufacturer has identified several cases of corroded elevator final drive control rods. If not corrected corrosion of the interior surface could result in failure or collapse of the rod, resulting in loss of control or jamming of the elevator system. The mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) requires an inspection of the internal surface of the elevator system final drive control rod and replacement if found corroded.

Actions and Compliance

(e) Unless already done, do the following except as stated in paragraph (f) below.

(1) Within the next 50 hours time-in-service or one month after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, inspect the internal surface of the elevator system final drive control rod, in accordance with B-N Group Ltd. Britten-Norman Service Bulletin SB number 303, Issue 1, dated May 14, 2004.

(2) If corrosion is found, the elevator control rod must be replaced before further flight.

FAA AD Differences

(f) When complying with this AD, repeat the actions in paragraphs (e)(1) and (e)(2) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 12 months.

Other FAA AD Provisions

(g) The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, Standards Staff, FAA, ATTN: Taylor Martin, Aerospace Safety Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4138; fax: (816) 329-4090, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) *Return to Airworthiness:* When complying with this AD, perform FAA-approved corrective actions before returning the product to an airworthy condition.

(3) *Reporting Requirements:* For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120-0056.

Related Information

(h) This AD is related to MCAI United Kingdom Airworthiness Directive No: G-2004-0011, Issued Date: May 25, 2004, which

references B-N Group Ltd. Britten-Norman Service Bulletin SB number 303, Issue 1, dated May 14, 2004, for information on required actions.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on May 30, 2006.

David R. Showers,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. E6-8713 Filed 6-5-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

20 CFR Parts 401 and 402

RIN 0960-AG14

Privacy and Disclosure of Official Records and Information

AGENCY: Social Security Administration.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

SUMMARY: We propose to amend our privacy and disclosure rules to help preserve the anonymity of, and help protect the physical well-being of, SSA employees who reasonably believe that they are at risk of injury or other harm if certain employment information about them is disclosed. These changes in the regulations would ensure uniform application of the policy for at-risk employees.

DATES: To be sure that your comments are considered, we must receive them no later than August 7, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may give us your comments by: using our Internet facility (*i.e.*, Social Security Online) at <http://policy.ssa.gov/erm/rules.nsf/Rules+Open+To+Comment> or the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>; e-mail to regulations@ssa.gov; by telefax to (410) 966-2830, or letter to the Commissioner of Social Security, P.O. Box 17703, Baltimore, MD 21235-7703. You may also deliver them to the Office of Regulations, Social Security Administration, 100 Altmeyer Building, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD, 21235-6401, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. on regular business days. Comments are posted on our Internet site, or you may inspect them on regular business days by making arrangements with the contact person shown in this preamble.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edie McCracken, Social Insurance Specialist, Office of Public Disclosure, 3-A-6 Operations Building, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235-6401, (410) 965-6117 or TTY (410) 965-5609. For information on eligibility or filing for benefits, call our national toll-free

number, 1-800-772-1213 or TTY 1-800-325-0778, or visit our Internet Website, Social Security Online, at <http://www.socialsecurity.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Version

The electronic file of this document is available on the date of publication in the **Federal Register** at <http://www.gpoaccess.gov/fr/index.html>.

Background

Former Commissioner Kenneth S. Apfel approved a recommendation of the National Health and Safety Partnership Committee for Security (NHSPCS) to implement a nationwide program to enhance the safety and security of SSA employees who are victims, or potential victims, of domestic violence. The NHSPCS' proposed program was developed, during the era of "partnership", by a joint union/management workgroup. It was intended to safeguard the anonymity of at-risk employees when requests for their work location and/or phone number were received, by delaying the disclosure of the information when certain conditions were met. This would have entailed a change in SSA policy that now permits such information requests to be honored. No action was ever taken on the recommendation when the "partnership" was dissolved by Executive Order 13203 on February 17, 2001. We are now proposing a modified approach to strengthening our privacy and disclosure rules to better safeguard at-risk employees.

Explanation of Changes

We propose to amend subsection (b)(3)(c)(4) of Appendix A to Part 401 and add a new subsection (e) to § 402.45 to permit SSA to exercise its discretion, consistent with the Freedom of Information Act and the rules of the Office of Personnel Management (5 CFR part 293), to withhold the work location and telephone number of employees who reasonably believe that they are at risk of injury or other harm by the disclosure of such information. These proposed changes would clarify our procedures for access to, and disclosure of, personally identifiable information regarding employees and enhance our ability to maintain adequate safeguards against disclosures in situations in which an employee may be at risk or fear for his/her physical safety.

We propose to amend Part 401, Appendix A, (b)(3)(c)(4) by removing the first sentence, "Location of duty station, including room number and telephone number." We also propose to

revise § 402.45 by adding a new subsection (e). New subsection § 402.45(e) will fully describe the rules governing the release of personally identifiable information as it pertains to employees' telephone numbers and duty stations (including room numbers, bay designations, or other identifying information regarding buildings or places of employment).

Clarity of These Proposed Rules

Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 13258, requires each agency to write all rules in plain language. In addition to your substantive comments on these proposed rules, we invite your comments on how to make these proposed rules easier to understand. For example:

- Have we organized the material to suit your needs?
- Are the requirements in the rules clearly stated?
- Do the rules contain technical language or jargon that is not clear?
- Would a different format (grouping and order of sections, use of headings, paragraphing) make the rules easier to understand?
- Would more (but shorter) sections be better?
- Could we improve clarity by adding tables, lists, or diagrams?
- What else could we do to make the rules easier to understand?

Regulatory Procedures

Executive Order 12866

We have consulted with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and determined that these proposed rules would meet the criteria for a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 13258. Thus, they were subject to OMB review.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

We certify that these proposed rules would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because they affect only individuals or entities acting on their behalf. Thus, a regulatory flexibility analysis as provided in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as amended, is not required.

Paperwork Reduction Act

These proposed rules impose no reporting or record keeping requirements subject to OMB clearance.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 96.001, Social Security-Disability Insurance; 96.002, Social Security-Retirement Insurance; 96.004, Social