

management practices is not being pursued because we are presently unable to fund such a program. An alternative by which interested private or non-Federal property owners would prepare an individual permit application/Agreement with us also was evaluated. Under that alternative, we would process each permit application/Agreement individually. This would increase the effort, cost, and amount of time it would take to provide safe harbor assurances to participating landowners and also cause such benefits to be applied on a piecemeal, individual basis. We have determined the previously identified alternatives, which would result in delays and lack of a coordinated effort, would likely result in a continued decline of the RCWs on private lands due to habitat fragmentation, lack of beneficial habitat management, and the effects of demographic isolation.

A no-action alternative was also explored, but this alternative is not likely to increase the number of RCW groups or RCW habitat, nor would it alleviate landowner conflicts. Instead, the action proposed here, although it authorizes future incidental take, is expected to attract sufficient interest among North Carolina landowners to generate substantial net conservation benefits to the RCW on a landscape level. The proposed SHA was developed in an adaptive management framework to allow changes in the program based on new scientific information, including but not limited to biological needs and management actions proven to benefit the species or its habitat.

Public Comments

Written data or comments should be submitted to the Regional Office at the address listed under **ADDRESSES** and must be submitted in writing to be adequately considered in the Service's decision-making process. Please reference the "Proposed Programmatic North Carolina Statewide Red-cockaded Woodpecker Safe Harbor Agreement" in your comments, or in requests for the documents discussed in this notice.

Decision

We will not make our final determination until after the end of the 30-day comment period, and we will fully consider all comments received during the comment period. If the final analysis shows the SHA to be consistent with the Service's policies and applicable regulations, the Service will sign the SHA and issue the ESP.

Authority

We are providing this notice under section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act and implementing regulations for the National Environmental Policy Act (40 CFR part 1506).

Dated: May 16, 2006.

Jackie Parrish,

Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region.

[FR Doc. E6-7731 Filed 5-19-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Cowlitz Indian Tribe's Proposed 151.87 Acre Fee-To Trust Transfer, Reservation Proclamation and Casino-Resort Project, Clark County, WA; Correction

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: This notice advises the public of three corrections to the Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Cowlitz Indian Tribe's Proposed Fee-to Trust Transfer, Reservation Proclamation and Casino-Resort Project, Clark County, Washington, published in the **Federal Register** on April 12, 2006, 71 FR 18767 (FR Doc. E6-5383). The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) was erroneously included among the cooperating agencies. EPA is not a cooperating agency for this DEIS. This notice also corrects the name, address and telephone number of the contact person for the DEIS.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gerald Henrickson, (503) 231-6927.

Correction

On page 18767, in the third column under the **SUMMARY** heading, in the first sentence, remove the words "U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)."

On page 18768, in the first column under the **ADDRESSES** heading, correct the last paragraph to read: To obtain a copy of the DEIS, please write to Gerald Henrickson, Northwest Regional Office, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 911 N.E. 11th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97232, or call him at the number provided below.

On page 18768, in the first column under the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** heading, correct the name and telephone number to read: Gerald Henrickson, (503) 231-6927.

Dated: May 16, 2006.

Michael D. Olsen,

Acting Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. E6-7773 Filed 5-19-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-W7-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Notice of Boundary Revision, Big Thicket National Preserve

SUMMARY: This notice announces the revision to the boundary of Big Thicket National Preserve to include the parcel of land known as Tract 223-09. The United States will acquire this tract from The Conservation Fund upon the revision of the boundary. The National Park Service has determined that this boundary revision is necessary and that the acquisition of this tract would make a significant contribution to the purposes for which the preserve was created.

DATES: The effective date of this boundary revision is the date on which this notice is published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Superintendent, Big Thicket National Preserve, 6044 Farm Road 420, Kountze, Texas 77625 or by telephone 409-951-6700.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Act establishing Big Thicket National Preserve, as amended in 1993, 16 U.S.C. 698(b), provides that, after notifying the House Committee on Resources and the Senate Committee on Energy and Resources, the Secretary of the Interior is authorized to make this boundary revision. This action will add one parcel composed of 200 acres of land to Big Thicket National Preserve. The National Park Service proposes to purchase this parcel from The Conservation Fund. This parcel is bounded on the north, south, and east by the preserve. The acquisition of this parcel is required to maintain the ecology and the present rural character for which the preserve was created.

The above parcel is depicted as tract number 223-09 on land acquisition segment map 223, having drawing number 175-80,010 dated November, 2004. This map is on file at the National Park Service Land Resources Program Center, Intermountain Region, Santa Fe, New Mexico, and at the Office of the

Superintendent at Big Thicket National Preserve, Kountze, Texas.

Michael D. Snyder,

Director, Intermountain Region.

[FR Doc. 06-4709 Filed 5-19-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-CB-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Notice of Proposed National Natural Landmark Designation for the Irvine Ranch Land Reserve, California

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service published a document in the **Federal Register** of March 31, 2006, concerning the proposed National Natural Landmark Designation for the Irvine Ranch Land Reserve, CA which will be considered for recommendation at the June National Park System Advisory Board Meeting. The document contained an incorrect date and location.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Gibbons at 360-856-5700, extension 306.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of March 31, 2006, in FR Doc. 06-3161, on page 16341, in the second column, correct the "SUMMARY" caption to read:

SUMMARY: The National Park Service has evaluated and determined that the Irvine Ranch Land Reserve, located forty-five miles south of downtown Los Angeles, in Orange County, California appears to meet the criteria for national significance and proposes to recommend the site for designation as a National Natural Landmark. The public is invited to comment on this recommendation. The proposal will be considered by the National Park System Advisory Board at a meeting to be held on June 9, 2006 at Zion Lodge (Auditorium), Zion National Park, Springdale, Utah 84767.

Dated: April 26, 2006.

Steve Martin,

Deputy Director, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. E6-7722 Filed 5-19-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Notice of Availability of the Revised National Park Service Director's Order #21, Donations and Fundraising

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service (NPS) has revised existing policies and procedures that guide its acceptance of donations and its relationships to those who desire to raise private sector support to benefit parks and programs. The policies and procedures apply to all units of the national park system, and supersede and replace the policies and procedures originally issued in 1998 that were subsequently extended pending the completion of the revision. **DATES:** The document may be accessed on the Internet at <http://www.nps.gov/policy/DOrders/DO21.html> beginning May 22, 2006.

ADDRESSES: The revised Director's Order #21 and it accompanying reference guide is available on the Internet at <http://www.nps.gov/policy/DOrders/DO21.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Piltzecker at (202) 354-2150 or partnerships@nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NPS policies and procedures governing the role of the NPS in accepting donations and authorizing non-profit park support groups to raise funds on behalf of or for the benefit of the National Park System have previously been published in the form of Director's Order #21. The guidelines are superseded by the new Director's Order #21 (and a reference guide that has been issued concurrently). The topics included in the Director's Order include: Acceptance of donations, recognition provided to donors, authorization of fundraising (including for construction projects), cause-related corporate campaigns, and marketing exclusivity granted to Proud Partners of the National Park Foundation.

Dated: April 26, 2006.

Steve Martin,

Deputy Director, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. E6-7723 Filed 5-19-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-683 (Second Review)]

Fresh Garlic From China

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of

the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: May 8, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dana Lofgren (202-205-3185), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On May 8, 2006, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group responses to its notice of institution (71 FR 5374, February 1, 2006) of the subject five-year review were adequate and that the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.²

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on June 29, 2006, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter,

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

² Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson concluded that the domestic group response was adequate and the respondent group response was inadequate, but that circumstances warranted a full review.