

final results of a review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. The Department may, however, extend the deadline for completion of the final results of a new shipper review to 150 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated (19 CFR 351.214 (i)(2)).

The Department has determined that the review is extraordinarily complicated as the Department must consider numerous arguments presented in the case briefs of the three respondents and petitioner's rebuttal brief including arguments regarding the respondents' sales prices, quantities, and issues relating to two respondents' U.S. affiliates. Based on the timing of the case, the final results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within the statutory time limit of 90 days. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the final results by 30 days from the original May 24, 2006, deadline, to June 23, 2006, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2). This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 15, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-7688 Filed 5-18-06; 8:45 am]

Billing Code: 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-863]

#### **Honey from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of 2004/2005 New Shipper Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 19, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kristina Boughton or Bobby Wong AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-8173 or (202) 482-0409, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On December 10, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the

Department) published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order covering honey from the People's Republic of China (PRC). *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order; Honey from the People's Republic of China*, 66 FR 63670 (December 10, 2001). The Department received timely requests from Shanghai Taiside Trading Co., Ltd. (Taiside) and Wuhan Shino-Food Trade Co., Ltd. (Shino-Food), in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(c), for new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC, which has a December annual anniversary month and a June semi-annual anniversary month.

On August 5, 2005, the Department initiated a review with respect to Taiside and Shino-Food. *Honey from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review*, 70 FR 45367 (August 5, 2005).

On January 13, 2006, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results to March 31, 2006. *Honey from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of 2004/2005 New Shipper Review*, 71 FR 2182 (January 13, 2006). On March 9, 2006, the Department further extended the deadline for the preliminary results to May 22, 2006. *Honey from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of 2004/2005 New Shipper Review*, 71 FR 12178 (March 9, 2006).

#### **Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1) require the Department to issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated and final results of a review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. The Department may, however, extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a new shipper review to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2), the Department has determined that, due to the extraordinarily complicated nature of this review, specifically the complex issues raised in this review segment, including honey valuation and *bona*

*fides* issues arising from verification, it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the current time limit. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results until May 30, 2006, which is the first business day 300 days from the date on which this new shipper review was initiated, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2). The final results, in turn, will be due 90 days after the date of issuance of the preliminary results, unless extended.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 15, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-7680 Filed 5-18-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-824]

#### **Silicomanganese From Brazil: Notice of Intent to Rescind Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request from Eramet Marietta Inc., a domestic producer of silicomanganese, the Department of Commerce initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Brazil. The period of review covers December 1, 2004, through November 30, 2005. Because the sole respondent reported that it had no sales or shipments to the United States during the period of review, we intend to rescind the review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 19, 2006.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Yang Jin Chun at (202) 482-5760 or Dmitry Vladimirov at (202) 482-0665, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) published an antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Brazil on December 22, 1994. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Silicomanganese from Brazil*, 59 FR 66003 (December 22, 1994). On

December 1, 2005, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order for the period of review covering December 1, 2004, through November 30, 2005. See *Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation*, 70 FR 72109 (December 1, 2005). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), the petitioner, Eramet Marietta Inc., requested an administrative review of this order with respect to the following respondents: Rio Doce Manganês S.A., Companhia Paulista de Ferro-Ligas, and Urucum Mineração S.A. (collectively RDM/CPFL).

The Department published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from Brazil on February 1, 2006. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 71 FR 5241 (February 1, 2006).

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is silicomanganese. Silicomanganese, which is sometimes called ferrosilicon manganese, is a ferroalloy composed principally of manganese, silicon and iron, and normally contains much smaller proportions of minor elements, such as carbon, phosphorus, and sulfur. Silicomanganese generally contains by weight not less than 4 percent iron, more than 30 percent manganese, more than 8 percent silicon, and not more than 3 percent phosphorous. All compositions, forms, and sizes of silicomanganese are included within the scope of the order, including silicomanganese slag, fines, and briquettes. Silicomanganese is used primarily in steel production as a source of both silicon and manganese.

Silicomanganese is currently classifiable under subheading 7202.30.0000 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Some silicomanganese may also currently be classifiable under HTSUS subheading 7202.99.5040. This order covers all silicomanganese, regardless of its tariff classification. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the order remains dispositive.

#### Intent to Rescind Administrative Review

The Department will rescind an administrative review with respect to an

exporter or producer if the Department concludes that there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review. See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). In response to the Department's questionnaire, RDM/CPFL notified the Department that the company had no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review. Eramet Marietta Inc. submitted no information rebutting RDM/CPFL's response.

The Department conducted a customs data query to ascertain whether there were suspended entries of subject merchandise. See April 12, 2006, Memorandum to File entitled "Silicomanganese from Brazil: Internal Customs Data Query." Based on the data query, there is no evidence of entries or shipments of the subject merchandise by RDM/CPFL during the period of review. Therefore, we intend to rescind the review.

In accordance with the Department's clarification of its assessment policy (see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003)), in the event any entries were made during the period of review through intermediaries under U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) case numbers for RDM/CPFL, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate in effect on the date of entry.

#### Public Comment

An interested party may request a hearing within 15 days of publication of this notice of intent to rescind. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held 30 days after the date of publication, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 15 days after the date of publication of this notice of intent to rescind. See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii). Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing the case brief. See 19 CFR 351.309(d). Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities. Further, parties submitting written comments should provide the Department with an additional copy of the public version of any such comments on diskette. The Department will issue the final notice, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, or at a hearing, if requested, within 120

days of publication of this notice of intent to rescind.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d).

Dated: May 15, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-7683 Filed 5-18-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-808]

#### **Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India: Notice of Intent to Rescind Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rods from India for the period December 1, 2004, through November 30, 2005. The Department of Commerce intends to rescind this review with respect to Viraj Alloys, Ltd., Viraj Forgings, Ltd., Viraj Impoexpo, Ltd., Viraj Smelting, Viraj Profiles, and VSL Wires, Ltd., and Mukand Limited after concluding that there were no entries of merchandise subject to the order during the period of review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 19, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Holman at (202) 482-3683 or Kristin Case at (202) 482-1374, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On December 1, 1993, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rods (wire rods) from India. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India*, 58 FR 63335 (December 1, 1993). On December 1, 2005, the Department published a notice in the **Federal Register** providing an opportunity for interested parties to request an administrative review of the order on wire rods from India for the