

e. *Name of Project:* The Warrior River Project, which includes the Smith Dam development.

f. *Location:* The proposed action will take place at the Smith Dam development at the former Castle Rock Marina on Ryan Creek, which is a tributary to Smith Lake located in Cullman County, Alabama approximately 11 stream miles above the Smith Dam.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791 (a) 825(r) and 799 and 801.

h. *Applicant Contact:* Mr. Keith E. Bryant, Sr. Engineer; Alabama Power Company Hydro Services; 600 18th Street North, Birmingham, AL 35203; (205) 257-1403.

i. *FERC Contact:* Any questions on this notice should be addressed to Brian Romanek at (202) 502-6175, or by e-mail: [Brian.Romanek@ferc.gov](mailto:Brian.Romanek@ferc.gov).

j. *Deadline for filing comments and or motions:* June 1, 2006.

All documents (original and eight copies) should be filed with: Ms. Magalie R. Salas, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Please include the project number (P-2165-025) on any comments or motions filed. Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages e-filings.

k. *Description of Request:* The licensee has requested Commission approval to allow Crane Hill Development, LLC. to install three floating boat dock structures at the former Castle Rock Marina, which is presently closed. The new docks would be for private use by residents of Waterford Condominiums, a residential community adjoining project land. The new dock structure would consist of: (1) Two covered docks, each measuring 58 feet wide by 136 feet long and will accommodate 20 boats, each and; (2) one partially covered dock structure, measuring 58 feet wide by 123 feet long, accommodating 18 boats. The total number of boats accommodated would be 58. The former marina had docks that would accommodate 92 boats. In addition, a one-fourth of an acre parking area would be constructed as well as a 50-foot-long retaining wall around the existing swimming pool.

l. *Location of the Application:* This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link.

Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

m. Individuals desiring to be included on the Commission's mailing list should so indicate by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

n. *Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene:* Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, 385.211, 385.214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

o. *Filing and Service of Responsive Documents:* Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

p. *Agency Comments:* Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described applications. A copy of the applications may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

**Magalie R. Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E6-6952 Filed 5-8-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM98-1-000]

#### Records Governing Off-the-Record Communications; Public Notice

May 2, 2006.

This constitutes notice, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2201(b), of the receipt of prohibited and exempt off-the-record communications.

Order No. 607 (64 FR 51222, September 22, 1999) requires Commission decisional employees, who make or receive a prohibited or exempt off-the-record communication relevant to the merits of a contested proceeding, to deliver to the Secretary of the Commission, a copy of the communication, if written, or a summary of the substance of any oral communication.

Prohibited communications are included in a public, non-decisional file associated with, but not a part of, the decisional record of the proceeding. Unless the Commission determines that the prohibited communication and any responses thereto should become a part of the decisional record, the prohibited off-the-record communication will not be considered by the Commission in reaching its decision. Parties to a proceeding may seek the opportunity to respond to any facts or contentions made in a prohibited off-the-record communication, and may request that the Commission place the prohibited communication and responses thereto in the decisional record. The Commission will grant such a request only when it determines that fairness so requires. Any person identified below as having made a prohibited off-the-record communication shall serve the document on all parties listed on the official service list for the applicable proceeding in accordance with Rule 2010, 18 CFR 385.2010.

Exempt off-the-record communications are included in the decisional record of the proceeding, unless the communication was with a cooperating agency as described by 40 CFR 1501.6, made under 18 CFR 385.2201(e)(1)(v).

The following is a list of off-the-record communications recently received by the Secretary of the Commission. The communications listed are grouped by docket numbers in ascending order. These filings are available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the eLibrary

link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits, in the docket number field to access the

document. For assistance, please contact FERC, Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll

free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Docket Number	Date received	Presenter or requester
Prohibited:		
1. EL05-102-002 .....	4-24-06	Undisclosed. <sup>1</sup>
Exempt:		
1. CP95-35-000 .....	4-24-06	Carlos Reyes.
2. CP95-35-000 .....	4-24-06	Ernesto Cordova.
3. CP05-130-000 .....	4-20-06	J. C. Burton, John S. Kenyon.
4. EL03-180-000 .....	4-10-06	Hon. Maria Cantwell.
5. ER00-2268-011, EL05-10-003, ER99-4124-009, EL05-11-003, ER00-3312-010, EL05-12-003 ER99-4122-012, EL05-13-003.	4-26-06	Stanley H. Ashby.
6. Same docket nos. as (5.) above .....	4-26-06	William D. Baker.
7. Same as (5.) above .....	4-26-06	James D. Downing. <sup>2</sup>
8. Same as (5.) above .....	4-26-06	R.D. Justice.
9. Same as (5.) above .....	4-26-06	Jackie Meck.
10 Same as (5.) above .....	4-26-06	Elizabeth Story.
11. Same as (5.) above .....	4-26-06	James R. Sweeney.
12. Same as (5.) above .....	4-25-06	Jeffery J. Woner. <sup>3</sup>
13. Project No. 459-128 .....	3-20-06	Terry & Carol Welch.
14. Project No. 459-128 .....	4-19-06	Charles Clark.
15. Project No. 1971-079 .....	4-24-06	Steve R. Brink, James A. Chandler.

<sup>1</sup> E-mail communication sent to FERC staff member, Bryan Lee, from undisclosed source.

<sup>2</sup> Mr. Downing submitted two filings in these dockets on behalf of the Electrical District Number Eight and McMullen Valley Water Conservation & Drainage District.

<sup>3</sup> Mr. Woner submitted two filings in these dockets on behalf of Harquahala Valley Power District and Aquila Irrigation District on 4-25-06 and 4-26-06, respectively.

Magalie R. Salas,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-6953 Filed 5-8-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Western Area Power Administration

#### Construction and Operation of the Sacramento Area Voltage Support Project, Sacramento, Sutter, and Placer Counties, CA

**AGENCY:** Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS), and conduct scoping meetings; Notice of Floodplain and Wetlands Involvement.

**SUMMARY:** The Western Area Power Administration (Western), Department of Energy (DOE), intends to prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) to construct and operate a transmission line for the Sacramento Area Voltage Support (SVS) Project (Project) in California. The Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) and the City of Roseville (Roseville) will participate in a joint SEIS and Environmental Impact Report (EIR) to address the proposed construction and operation of about 38 miles of 230-kilovolt (kV), new double-circuit transmission line in the Sacramento, California, area.

Western prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the SVS in November 2002 and a final EIS in September 2003. A Record of Decision (ROD) was signed on January 12, 2004. In the ROD, Western made commitments to conduct air, biological, and cultural surveys after funding was secured. SMUD and Roseville have since committed to share in conducting more detailed Project studies and are negotiating funding a voltage support project. Additional alternatives will be analyzed in this SVS SEIS and EIR.

**DATES:** Open-house public scoping meetings will be held June 5 and 7, 2006, from 4 to 7 p.m. Western invites interested agencies, tribes, organizations, and members of the public to submit comments or suggestions to assist in identifying environmental issues and in determining the appropriate scope of the SEIS and EIR. The public scoping period starts with the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** and will continue until June 16, 2006. To be assured consideration, all comments or suggestions regarding the appropriate scope must be received by the end of the scoping period.

**ADDRESSES:** Open-house public scoping meetings will be held June 5, 2006 (4 to 7 p.m.), at the South Natomas Library, 2901 Truxel Road, Sacramento, California, and June 7, 2006 (4 to 7 p.m.), at the Pleasant Grove School, 3075 Howsley Road, Pleasant Grove,

California. Written comments regarding the scoping process should be addressed to Ms. Loreen McMahon, Project Manager, Western Area Power Administration, 114 Parkshore Drive, Folsom, CA 95630-4710; toll-free telephone (866) 859-5126; fax (916) 985-1935, or e-mail [svs-seis@wapa.gov](mailto:svs-seis@wapa.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Loreen McMahon, Project Manager, Western Area Power Administration, telephone (866) 859-5126; fax (916) 985-1935, or e-mail [svs-seis@wapa.gov](mailto:svs-seis@wapa.gov). For general information on DOE's NEPA review procedures or status of a NEPA review, contact Ms. Carol M. Borgstrom, Director of NEPA Policy and Compliance, EH-42, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, telephone (202) 586-4600 or (800) 472-2756.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Western is a power marketing agency of DOE that markets Federal electric power to statutorily defined customers, including project use, municipalities, irrigation districts, and Native American tribes. Western prepared a Draft EIS on the SVS in November 2002 and a final EIS in September 2003. The ROD was signed on January 12, 2004, for the proposed project (69 FR 1721). The EIS and ROD are available upon request by contacting Ms. McMahon as described above. The ROD can also be found on the World Wide Web at <http://www.wapa.gov/fedreg/fedreg04.htm>.

The previous EIS analyzed environmental impacts of alternatives to