assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background. On April 10, 2006, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution, 71 FR 133 (January 3, 2006), of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews. Consequently, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff report. A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 11, 2006, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions. As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to these reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution, and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before May 18, 2006 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by May 18, 2006. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual

information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business propriety information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission’s rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II(C) of the Commission’s Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination. The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

Issued: April 19, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6–6201 Filed 4–24–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–471 and 472 (Second Review)]

Silicon Metal from Brazil and China

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determinations to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on silicon metal from Brazil and China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on silicon metal from Brazil and China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date.


General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 10, 2006, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (71 FR 138, January 3, 2006) was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response with respect to Brazil was adequate. The Commission determined to conduct a full review with respect to silicon metal from Brazil. The Commission found that the respondent interested party group response with respect to China was inadequate. However, the Commission determined to conduct a full review concerning silicon metal from China to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct a full review with respect to silicon metal from Brazil.

A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s Web site.

Vice Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun did not participate.
Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.


Marilyn R. Abbott,
Senior Attorney, Trade Regulations.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—The Composites Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on March 27, 2006, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. (“the Act”), The Composites Consortium (“TCC”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the consortium and (2) the nature and objectives of the consortium. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the consortium are: Alliant Techsystems, Iuka, MS; Allied Aerospace, Newport News, VA; Bell Helicopter-TEXTRON, Inc., Fort Worth, TX; The Boeing Company, St. Louis, MO; Clemson University, Clemson, SC; Diaphragm Technologies, LLC, Salem, NH; General Dynamics Electric Boat, Groton, CT; Goodrich Corporation, Santa Fe Springs, CA; Graftech International Ltd., Parma, OH; Hitco Carbon Composites, Los Angeles, CA; Maverick Corporation/Canopy Composites, Blue Ash, OH; Mentis Sciences Inc., Manchester, NH; Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS; New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, NJ; Northrop Grumman Newport News/Ship Systems, Newport News, VA; Northrop Grumman Integrated Systems, El Segundo, CA; Pennsylvania State University—Applied Research Laboratory, State College, PA; Raytheon Company, Tucson, AZ; Specialty Materials, Inc., Lowell, MA; TPI Composites, Inc., Warren, RI; Vought Aircraft Industries, Inc., Dallas, TX; and South Carolina Research Authority, North Charleston, SC. The general area of TCC’s planned activity is to further the state of the art and practice of employing composites in the manufacture of complex structures, systems, and components thereof. The intent is to: (a) Improve the affordability to the Navy and other Government agencies of structures and systems; (b) increase the technical and manufacturing capability of TCC members; and (c) broadly disseminate the technology.

Dorothy B. Fountain,
Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—PXI Systems Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on March 30, 2006, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. (“the Act”), PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Amida Technology, Inc., Taipei, Taiwan has been added as a party to this venture. Also, SMA Regelsysteme GmbH, Niestetal, Germany has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 22, 2000, PXI Systems Alliance, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2001 (66 FR 13971).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 6, 2006. A notice was published in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 26, 2006 (71 FR 4379).

Dorothy B. Fountain,
Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application

Pursuant to section 1301.33(a) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on July 20, 2005, August 4, 2005 and September 2, 2005, Cody Laboratories, Inc., 601 Yellowstone Avenue, Cody, Wyoming 82414, made application by letters to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed in Schedule II:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Codeine (9050)</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihydrocodeine (9120)</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone (9250)</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfentanil (9737)</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The company plans to manufacture in bulk, for distribution to its customers.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such a substance may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.33(a).

Any such written comments or objections being sent via regular mail may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative, Liaison and Policy Section (ODL); or any being sent via express mail should be sent to DEA Headquarters, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/ODL, 2401 Jefferson-Davis Highway, Alexandria, Virginia 22301; and must be filed no later than June 26, 2006.

Dated: April 18, 2006.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.