Office of Management and Budget Circular A–21, “Cost Principles for Educational Institutions.”
OMB Circular A–87, “Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Governments.”
OMB Circular No. A–110 (Revised), Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and other Nonprofit Organizations.
OMB Circular No. A–102, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants-in-Aid to State and Local Governments.
OMB Circular No. A–133, Audits of States, Local Government, and Non-Profit Organizations.

Please reference the following Web sites for additional information:
http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants
http://exchanges.state.gov/education/

VI.3. Reporting Requirements
You must provide ECA with a hard copy original plus two copies of the final program and financial report no more than 90 days after the expiration of the award.

U.S. cooperating institutions will be required to provide reports analyzing their evaluation findings to the Bureau in their regular program reports. [Please refer to IV. Application and Submission Instructions (IV.3.d.3)] above for Program Monitoring and Evaluation information.

All data collected, including survey responses and contact information, must be maintained for a minimum of three years and provided to the Bureau upon request.

All reports must be sent to the ECA Grants Officer and ECA Program Officer listed in the final assistance award document.

VI.4. Optional Program Data Requirements
Organizations awarded grants will be required to maintain specific data on program participants and activities in an electronically accessible database format that can be shared with the Bureau as required. As a minimum, the data must include the following:

(1) Name, address, contact information and biographic sketch of all persons who travel internationally on funds provided by the grant or who benefit from the grant funding but do not travel.

(2) Itineraries of international and domestic travel, providing dates of travel and cities in which any exchange experiences take place. The ECA Program Officer and partners abroad must receive final schedules for in-country and U.S. activities at least seven workdays prior to the official opening of the activity.

VII. Agency Contacts

All correspondence with the Bureau concerning this RFGP should reference the above title and number ECA/A/S/X–06–10.

Please read the complete announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFGP deadline has passed, Bureau staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

VIII. Other Information
Notice
The terms and conditions published in this RFGP are binding and may not be modified by any Bureau representative. Explanatory information provided by the Bureau that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFGP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Bureau reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements per section VI.3 above.

C. Miller Crouch,
Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

ACTION: Notice of request to release airport property.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the release of land at the Searcy County Municipal Airport under the provisions of Title 49 United States Code, Section 47153.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 25, 2006.

ADDRESS: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered to the FAA at the following address: Mr. Edward Agnew, Manager, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Arkansas/Oklahoma Airports Development Office, ASW–630, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0630.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Judge Johnny Hinchey, County of Searcy, Arkansas, at the following address: County of Searcy, P.O. Box 1370, Searcy, AR 72150.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Don Harris, Senior Program Manager, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Arkansas/Oklahoma Airports Development Office, ASW–631, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0630.

The request to release property may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA invites public comment on the request to release property at Searcy County Municipal Airport under the provisions of the Act.

On April 4, 2006, FAA determined that the request to release property at Searcy County Municipal Airport submitted by the County of Searcy met the procedural requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations, Part 155. The FAA may approve the request, in whole or in part, no later than May 31, 2006.

The following is a brief overview of the request: The County of Searcy requests the release of approximately 2 acres of airport property. The land is encumbered by Federal grant assurances pursuant to the receipt of Federal Airport Improvement Program funds. The release of property will allow the city of Marshall to accept a United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development grant for the construction and operation of a fire station at the airport.

The appraised value of the subject property is $22,000.00. The city has committed toward providing in-kind services of fire protection and emergency medical services for the
public airport at an estimated value of $2,400.00 annually. Any person may inspect the request in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Searcy County Municipal Airport.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on April 6, 2006.

Kelvin L. Solco, Manager, Airports Division.

[FR Doc. 06–3756 Filed 4–24–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Pellissippi Parkway Extension (State Route 162), Blount County, TN

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be prepared for a proposed highway project in Blount County, Tennessee.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed project would construct an extension of Pellissippi Parkway (State Route 162) from State Route 33 to U.S. 321 (State Route 73) in Blount County, Tennessee, a distance of approximately 4.4 miles. The extension of Pellissippi Parkway is considered necessary to improve regional and local accessibility for the general public as well as emergency vehicles, to improve traffic capacity on the existing roadway system, to provide system linkage, and to improve safety conditions on U.S. 129 (State Route 115) and U.S. 321.

Alternatives under consideration include: (1) No-Build; (2) Transportation System Management (TSM) activities; (3) upgrade existing roadways; (4) Mass Transit; and (5) one or more alternatives that would construct a new roadway on a new location.

Two public scoping meetings will be held in the project corridor. As part of the scoping process, Federal, state, and local agencies and officials; private organizations; citizens; and interested groups will have an opportunity to provide input into the development of the Environmental Impact Statement and identify issues of concern. A Public Involvement Plan has been developed to include the public in the project development process. This plan proposes utilizing the following outreach efforts to provide information and solicit input: newsletters, the Internet, e-mail and direct mail, informal meetings and briefings, public information meetings, public hearings and other efforts as necessary and appropriate. A public hearing will be held upon completion of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and public notice will be given of the time and place of the hearing. The Draft EIS will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public hearings.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are identified and taken into account, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments and questions concerning the proposed action should be directed to the FHWA contact person identified above at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this proposed program.)

Issued on: April 19, 2006.

Karen M. Brunelle,
Planning and Program Mgmt. Team Leader, Nashville, TN.

[FR Doc. E6–6141 Filed 4–24–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2004–17672; Notice 2]

Decision That Nonconforming 2003 Audi RS6 and RS6 Avant Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of decision by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration that nonconforming 2003 Audi RS6 and RS6 Avant passenger cars are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This document announces a decision by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) that certain 2003 Audi RS6 and RS6 Avant passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards (FMVSS) are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to vehicles originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the U.S. certified version of the 2003 Audi RS6 and RS6 Avant passenger cars), and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: This decision was effective July 9, 2004. The agency notified the petitioner at that time that the subject vehicles are eligible for importation. This document provides public notice of the eligibility decision.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified as required under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable FMVSS.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Webautoworld (Web) of Pompano Beach, Florida (Registered Importer 02–295), petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 2003 Audi RS6 and RS6 Avant passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. NHTSA published a notice of the petition on May 6, 2004 (69 FR 25460)