COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the North Dakota Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR), that a meeting of the North Dakota State Advisory Committee will convene at 1 p.m. (CDT) and adjourn at 4 p.m. (CDT), Thursday, April 20, 2006, at the Radisson Hotel, 201–5th Street, N, Fargo, ND 58102. The purpose of the meeting is to conduct orientation for new advisory committee members, provide an overview of the USCCR including recent Commission activities and new policies affecting advisory committees, brief Committee members on civil rights developments in the state including predatory lending, discrimination, race relations, and the administration of justice. The Committee will also discuss the regional project, “Confronting Discrimination in Reservation Border Town Communities” in North Dakota.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact John F. Dulles, Director of the Rocky Mountain Regional Office, (303) 866–1040 (TDD 303–866–1049). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting. It was not possible to publish this notice 15 days in advance of the meeting date because of internal processing delays.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.


Ivy L. Davis, Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Sensors and Instrumentation Technical Advisory Committee; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

The Sensors and Instrumentation Technical Advisory Committee (SITAC) will meet on April 25, 2006, 9:30 a.m., in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 3884, 14th Street between Constitution and Pennsylvania Avenues, NW., Washington, DC. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration with respect to technical questions that affect the level of export controls applicable to computer systems and technology.

Agenda

1. Opening Remarks and Introductions.
2. Update on BIS Programs and Activities.
3. Summary of Export Control Workshop at SEMICON.
4. Introduction of Proposals for Category 5.
5. Frequency Synthesizer Assembly Overview.
6. VoIP Networks.
7. 4A3b vs 4A3c Discussions.

The meeting will be open to the public and a limited number of seats will be available. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to the Committee members, the Committee suggests that presenters forward the public presentation materials two weeks prior to the meeting date to Yvette Springer at Yspringer@bis.doc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Yvette Springer on (202) 482–4814.

Dated: April 7, 2006.

Yvette Springer, Committee Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 06–3555 Filed 4–12–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–JT–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–588–837]

Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, From Japan: Reconsideration of Sunset Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is initiating a review to reconsider the five-year ("sunset") review that resulted in revocation of the antidumping duty order on large
Initiation of Reconsideration of Sunset Review

As detailed in CCR Final Results, particularly at Comments 2 and 3 of the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, the misconduct of the respondent Tokyo Kikai Seisakusho, Ltd. (TKS) during the 1997–1998 administrative review of the LNPP antidumping duty order, which ultimately led to its company–specific revocation from the underlying order, substantially tainted the integrity of the proceeding, and may have significantly undermined the integrity of the sunset review results, including the parties’ decisions whether or not to participate in the sunset review. As such, the results of that sunset review are unreliable. Accordingly, the Department will reconsider the sunset review it conducted when the order was in place, but when the Department was unaware of misstatements made by TKS with the purpose of avoiding a determination of dumping. This action is warranted because the Department has the responsibility and authority to defend the integrity of its past determinations and to ensure the integrity of its future proceedings against deliberate, misleading behavior. Therefore, we are conducting anew the five-year sunset review of LNPP from Japan. As in a situation when a suspension agreement is terminated and an investigation is resumed, the Department will examine and collect information from the prior sunset review period (i.e., September 4, 1996, through September 4, 2001). See, e.g., Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Uranium from the Republic of Kazakhstan, 64 FR 31179 (June 10, 1999). See also Fresh Tomatoes from Mexico: Notice of Intent to Terminate Suspension Agreement, Intent to Terminate the Five-Year Sunset Review, Intent to Resume Antidumping Investigation, and Request for Comments on the Use of Updated Information, 67 FR 43276 (June 27, 2002).

In reconsidering this sunset review, as with any sunset review, the Department will report to the International Trade Commission (“ITC”) whether or not there is a likelihood of continuation of dumping; however, the Department by itself cannot order the continuation of an antidumping order without an affirmative injury finding by the ITC. See section 751(c) of the Act; Uruguay Round Agreements Act, Statement of Administrative Action, H.R. Doc. No. 103–316, vol. 1, at 879 (1994) (the Department) in its decision whether the revocation of the order would lead to recurring or continuing dumping, but the ITC determines the likelihood of recurring or continuing injury.


Filing Information

All submissions in this reconsideration of the sunset review must be filed in accordance with the Department’s regulations regarding format, translation, service, and certification of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for this proceeding. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list, it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of this notice.

As the deadlines in this review may be short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order (“APO”) immediately following publication in the Federal Register of this notice. The Department’s regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306.

Information Required From Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties (defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), or (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)) wishing to participate in this reconsideration of the sunset review must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(6)(i)(ii). In accordance with the Department’s regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate
from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will terminate this reconsideration of the sunset review. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

If we receive a notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department’s regulations provide that all parties wishing to participate in a sunset review must file complete substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice. The required contents of a substantive response are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Please consult the Department’s regulations for information regarding the Department’s conduct of sunset reviews. Please consult the Department’s regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.


David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. E6–5500 Filed 4–12–06; 8:45 am]
Billing Code: 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[C–122–839]
Countervailing Duty Investigation of Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Notice of NAFTA Panel Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.


Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (“Federal Circuit”) in Timken Co. v. United States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (“Timken”), the Department is notifying the public that the Panel Decision on Fifth Remand and the Notice of Final Panel Action issued by the NAFTA Secretariat are not “in harmony” with the Department’s original results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 7, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Terpstra, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3965.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background