

stakeholders when there are not or few evidence-based practices available.”

Page 13143, Column 2—The APA Division 50 Committee on Evidence-based Practice suggested that SAMHSA develop “a comprehensive glossary that addresses definitions of different constituencies, populations, and settings.”

Page 13144, Column 3—The APA Division 50 Committee on Evidence-based Practice recommended that SAMHSA “anticipate misuses of NREPP so as to insure that funding bodies do not mistakenly assume that improving treatment comes from confining treatment to a list of recommended techniques.”

Page 13146, Columns 2—The APA Division 50 Committee on Evidence-based Practice suggested using a site glossary to define diagnostic terminology and client populations and communities.

Dated: April 3, 2006.

Charles G. Curie,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 06–3538 Filed 4–12–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Office of the Secretary

Privacy Act of 1974; Systems of Records

AGENCY: Privacy Office, Department of Homeland Security.

ACTION: Notice of removal of two Privacy Act systems of records.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, the Department of Homeland Security is giving notice that it proposes to remove two systems of records from its inventory of record systems because they have become obsolete.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 13, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maureen Cooney, Acting Chief Privacy Officer, Department of Homeland Security, 601 S. 12th Street, Arlington, VA 22202, by telephone (571) 227–3813 or facsimile (571) 227–4171.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a, and as part of its ongoing integration and management efforts, the Department of Homeland Security is removing two obsolete systems of records from its inventory of record systems.

The first one is currently being maintained by United States Citizenship

and Immigration Services and was formerly maintained by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS). This legacy record system is entitled “Designated Entity Information Management System (DEIMS),” last published in the **Federal Register** as “JUSTICE/INS–021,” (62 FR 39256), when the INS was still a part of the United States Department of Justice. The system became part of the DHS inventory of record systems upon creation of DHS and the merger with INS.

This system was originally established in order to maintain records concerning individuals who applied for and received certification from INS to serve as designated fingerprint service providers. The record system is no longer needed, however, because the INS—and now DHS—no longer uses the services of designated fingerprint service providers. Instead, aliens applying for immigration benefits must have their fingerprints taken by DHS, by state and local law enforcement agencies, by consular offices of the Department of State, or by Department of Defense offices authorized to perform fingerprinting services. Therefore, JUSTICE/INS–021, the “Designated Entity Information Management System (DEIMS)” is obsolete and the Department of Homeland Security is removing this system from its inventory of Privacy Act systems.

For similar reasons, DHS proposes to remove another legacy system of records that is now being maintained by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, but which was formerly maintained by INS when it was part of the Department of Justice. This legacy record system is entitled “Job Exchange System (JOBX).” and it was last published as JUSTICE/INS–009 in the **Federal Register** on September 7, 2001 (66 FR 46815). JOBX was originally established in order to enable INS employees meeting specific criteria to trade like positions with other INS employees upon supervisor approval. The record system has become obsolete, however, as DHS no longer authorizes job swapping among employees. Therefore, the Department of Homeland Security is also removing JUSTICE/INS–009 from its inventory of Privacy Act systems.

Eliminating these two systems will have no adverse impacts on individuals, but will promote the overall streamlining and management of DHS Privacy Act record systems.

Dated: April 4, 2006.

Maureen Cooney,

Acting Chief Privacy Officer.

[FR Doc. E6–5350 Filed 4–12–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–10–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Bureau of Customs and Border Protection

Proposed Collection; Comment Request Protest

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on an information collection requirement concerning the Protest. This request for comment is being made pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13; 44 U.S.C. 3505(c)(2)).

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before June 12, 2006, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, Information Services Group, Room 3.2.C, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20229.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information should be directed to Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, Attn.: Tracey Denning, Room 3.2.C, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20229, Tel. (202) 344–1429.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: CBP invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13; 44 U.S.C. 3505(c)(2)). The comments should address: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimates of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden including the use of automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operations, maintenance, and