

Conservation Assistance Program or the Federal Lands to Parks Program during the prior Fiscal year (October 1 through September 30).

*Estimated Average Number of Respondents:* 255. See the chart below for a breakdown by each information collection.

*Estimated Average Number of Responses:* 150. See the chart below for a breakdown by each information collection.

*Estimated Average Burden Hours per Response:* 10 minutes. See the chart below for a breakdown by each information collection.

*Frequency of Response:* One time per technical assistance event.

*Estimated Annual Reporting Burden:* 25 hours. See the chart below for a breakdown by each information collection.

*Estimated number of:*

Information collection	Respondents	Responses	Avg. Time per response (min.)	Hours
Rivers, Trails and Conservation Assistance Program .....	200	120	10	20
Federal Lands to Parks Program .....	55	30	10	5
Subtotal .....	255	150	.....	25

Dated: March 14, 2006.

**Leonard E. Stowe,**

*National Park Service Information and Collection Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 06-3018 Filed 3-28-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-70-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**National Park Service**

**Notice of Availability of the Final General Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement for the First Ladies National Historic Site, Ohio**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the Final General Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/EIS) for the First Ladies National Historic Site.

**DATES:** The Final GMP/EIS will be available for public review for 30 days following the publishing of a notice of availability in the **Federal Register** by the Environmental Protection Agency.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the Final GMP/EIS are available by request by writing c/o Site Manager, 8095 Mentor Avenue, Mentor, Ohio 44060, by telephoning 440-974-2993 or by e-mail [carol\\_j\\_spears@nps.gov](mailto:carol_j_spears@nps.gov). The document is also available to be picked up in person at 331 Market Avenue South, Canton, Ohio 44702. The document is also available on the Internet at: <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Carol J. Spears, Site Manager, 8095 Mentor Avenue, Mentor, Ohio 44060, telephone 440-974-2993.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The NPS prepared a Draft GMP/EIS for the First Ladies National Historic Site and made it available for public review for 60 days

(September–November 2005), during which time the NPS distributed over 100 copies of the draft. The draft was available at the First Ladies National Historic Site, on the Internet, and at area libraries. A total of four written comments were received, and five participants attended two open houses. The consensus from the public comment period is that the correct path to pursue for future management of the First Ladies National Historic Site is the preferred alternative, Alternative B. Comments from individuals and public agencies did not require the addition of other alternatives, significantly alter existing alternatives, or make changes to the impact analysis of the effects of any alternative.

Dated: February 16, 2006.

**Ernest Quintana,**

*Regional Director, Midwest Region.*

[FR Doc. E6-4546 Filed 3-28-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-86-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**National Park Service**

**Dog Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Marin, San Francisco and San Mateo Counties, CA; Notice of Extension of Scoping Period**

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (40 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), the National Park Service (NPS) is undertaking concurrent negotiated rulemaking and conservation planning–environmental impact analysis efforts to identify and evaluate alternatives for clear and enforceable guidelines to determine the manner and extent of dog-walking use in appropriate areas of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA). As announced February

22, 2006 in the **Federal Register** (V71N35\PP9147-48), the NPS is preparing an environmental impact statement for a GGNRA Dog Management Plan (plan/EIS) and has initiated a public scoping process to aid preparation of the plan/EIS.

The public scoping period is being extended from the original March 24, 2006 deadline to April 24, 2006.

**DATES:** Comments on issues and concerns and any environmental information relevant to the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement for a Dog Management Plan will be accepted through April 24, 2006. All written comments must be transmitted or postmarked not later than 11:59 p.m. Mountain Time on Monday, April 24, 2006. Respondents to the original Notice need not re-submit their comments.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Interested individuals, organizations, and agencies that wish to comment on the scope of this plan/EIS should submit written comments via one of the two following options: (1) Online through the Planning, Environment and Public Comment Web site (<http://parkplanning.nps.gov>); select Golden Gate National Recreation Area, click on “EIS/Dog Management Plan for GGNRA” and follow the instructions on the website; or (2) mail written comments directly to Superintendent, GGNRA, Fort Mason, Building 201, San Francisco, CA 94123.

Please note that names and addresses of people who comment become part of the public record. If individuals commenting request that their name or/and address be withheld from public disclosure, it will be honored to the extent allowable by law. Such requests must be stated prominently in the beginning of the comments. There also may be circumstances wherein the NPS will withhold from the record a respondent’s identity, as allowable by law. As always: The NPS will make

available to public inspection all submissions from organizations or businesses and from persons identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations and businesses; and, anonymous comments may not be considered.

A public scoping Newsletter, providing a preliminary synopsis of the project status, will be distributed in early March. In addition, two public scoping meetings are scheduled to be held on April 4 (in Sausalito) and April 5 (in San Francisco); both will occur from 4–7:30 pm. For details on meeting location, to request being added to the project mailing list, or for other current information updates, please contact the GGNRA Negotiated Rulemaking Information Line at (415) 561–4728.

As a delegated EIS, the official responsible for approval of the Record of Decision is the NPS Regional Director, Pacific West Region; subsequently the official who will be responsible for implementation is the Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

Dated: February 23, 2006.

**Jonathan B. Jarvis,**

*Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. E6–4544 Filed 3–28–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–FN–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### **Final Environmental Impact Statement/ Fire Management Plan; Golden Gate National Recreation Area; Marin, San Francisco and San Mateo Counties, CA; Notice of Approval of Record of Decision**

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91–190, as amended) and the implementing regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR part 1505.2), the Department of the Interior, National Park Service has prepared, and the Regional Director, Pacific West Region has approved the Record of Decision for the updated Fire Management Plan for Golden Gate National Recreation Area. The formal no-action waiting period was officially initiated December 23, 2005, with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's **Federal Register** notification of the filing of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

*Decision:* As soon as practicable the park will begin to implement as its updated Fire Management Plan the "Hazard Reduction and Resource

Enhancement through Multiple Treatments" alternative (also identified and analyzed as the *Preferred Alternative C* in the Draft and Final EIS). The selected plan update allows for the greatest number of acres to be treated annually while minimizing potential adverse resource effects of fire management activities. Alternative C utilizes prescribed burning and mechanical treatment strategies deemed to be appropriate for reducing fuel loads near developed areas. Expanded research will examine the role of these strategies in enhancing natural resource conditions, and will also be used to adaptively guide the fire management program and maximize the benefits to park cultural and natural resources. As documented in the EIS, this plan was also deemed to be the "environmentally preferred" alternative.

This course of action and two alternatives were initially identified and analyzed in the Draft EIS (distributed in March 2005); minor modifications were made based on public and agency review. The Final EIS was released in December 2005. The full spectrum of foreseeable environmental consequences was assessed, and appropriate mitigation measures identified. Beginning with early scoping, through the preparation of the Draft and Final EIS, numerous public meetings and agency consultations were conducted, and newsletter updates were regularly provided. Approximately one dozen written responses to the Draft EIS were received and duly considered. Key consultations which aided in preparing the Draft and Final EIS involved (but were not limited to) the California State Historic Preservation Office, California Coastal Commission, local air quality management districts, adjoining land managing agencies, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and National Marine Fisheries Service. Area residents, county and city officials, and interested organizations were contacted extensively during initial scoping and throughout the fire planning process.

*Copies:* Interested parties desiring to review the Record of Decision may obtain a complete copy by contacting the Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Bldg. 201, Ft. Mason, San Francisco, CA 94123 or via telephone request at (415) 331–6374.

Dated: February 24, 2006.

**Jonathan B. Jarvis,**

*Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. E6–4545 Filed 3–28–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–FN–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### **General Management Plan for Golden Gate National Recreation Area; Marin, San Francisco, and San Mateo Counties, CA; Notice of Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement**

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service is initiating the scoping process for preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement on the General Management Plan (GMP) for Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA), including Muir Woods National Monument and Fort Point National Historical Monument, in the San Francisco Bay area of California. The GMP will provide a well-grounded, clearly defined direction for resource protection and visitor management throughout the area managed by GGNRA, including Muir Woods National Monument and Fort Point National Historic Site, and lands acquired since approval of the 1980 GMP.

Consistent with NPS Planning Program Standards and the Secretary of the Interior's "Four C's" (Consultation through Consultation, Cooperation, and Communication) the GMP will: (1) Describe the purposes, significance, and primary interpretive themes of the park; (2) identify the fundamental resources and values of the park, its other important resources and values, and describe the condition of these resources; (3) describe desired conditions for cultural and natural resources and visitor experiences throughout the park and for each management unit in the park; (4) develop management zoning to support these desired conditions; (5) develop alternative applications of these management zones to the park landscape (i.e., zoning alternatives); (6) address carrying capacity; (7) analyze potential boundary modifications; (8) ensure that the GMP recommendations are developed in consultation with interested stakeholders and the public and adopted by the NPS leadership after an adequate analysis of the benefits, environmental impacts, and economic costs of alternative courses of action; and (9) identify and prioritize subsequent detailed studies, plans and actions that may be needed to implement the GMP.

In addition, the GMP will: (1) Articulate park management