

Circumvention by that producer for which SE has compensated under Paragraph VII.B of this Agreement, DOC will consider the compensation (and penalties imposed upon that producer) material to its decision whether to initiate such a review, and will reflect its consideration of that material factor in its written decision on whether to initiate the review. Should a changed circumstances review be initiated under this provision, SE shall require the Mexican Cement Producer in question to provide to DOC, within two weeks after the date of initiation of the review, all cost and sales data for the two most recently completed quarters, or accept a new deposit rate based on the facts available, in the amount of \$42.63 per metric ton (the average of the rates for the 12<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> administrative reviews of Mexican Cement).

D. DOC shall require all importers of Mexican Cement into the United States to submit to DOC a written statement, 30 days after the end of every quarter (or on the next business day), listing all entries of such merchandise and certifying that the Mexican Cement imported during that quarter was not obtained under any arrangement in Circumvention. Where DOC has reason to believe that such a certification has been made falsely, DOC shall refer the matter to the United States Department of Homeland Security or the United States Department of Justice for further action, as appropriate.

#### VIII. Consultations

The Parties shall hold consultations concerning the implementation, operation and enforcement of this Agreement at least once each year during the anniversary month of the Effective Date and upon request by SE, DOC, or USTR. Within six months of the Effective Date, SE and DOC shall consult regarding the information exchanged under this Agreement.

#### IX. Intentions of the Parties with Respect to Future Unfair Trade Actions and Challenges to this Agreement

For the duration of this Agreement and for nine (9) months after the expiration of this Agreement:

A. DOC shall not self-initiate an investigation under Title VII of the Act, or any successor law, with respect to imports of Mexican Cement. If a petition for such an investigation is filed by a member of the STCC, Holcim, or Capitol Aggregates, DOC shall dismiss the petition, based upon the letters submitted by those parties and referenced in Paragraph II.A.13 of this Agreement.

B. USTR shall not self-initiate an action under Sections 201–204 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, or any successor law, with respect to imports of Mexican Cement.

C. USTR shall not self-initiate an investigation under Sections 301–305 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, or any successor law, with respect to imports of Mexican Cement.

D. SE shall not initiate an investigation or take action under Titles V or VI of the Mexican Foreign Trade Law, or any successor law, with respect to imports of cement from the United States. If CEMEX, GCCC, or Apasco files with SE a petition for an investigation under Title V of the Mexican Foreign Trade Law, SE shall dismiss the petition, based upon the letter from that producer attached to this Agreement as Appendix 27 or submitted by that producer to SE after the date this Agreement is signed.

#### X. Violations of this Agreement

The Parties shall not consider a violation of this Agreement as being material unless corresponding to the definition of a material violation or breach contained in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties.

#### XI. Duration of this Agreement and Revocation of the Order

A. This Agreement shall expire on March 31, 2009, provided that it has not been terminated before that date.

B. Provided that this Agreement has not been terminated before March 31, 2009, DOC shall revoke the Mexican Cement Order on April 1, 2009, for all Mexican Cement Producers that have not exported any Mexican Cement to the United States since August 30, 1990, or that have not exported substantially more than the Export Limits allocated by SE to such producers for any Sub-region for the Third Export Limit Period. The revocation shall be based on the “no interest” statements submitted in the letters of Section II.A.13 of this Agreement.

C. Any Party may terminate this Agreement upon 90 days written notice to the other Parties.

D. If this Agreement terminates before March 31, 2009, for any reason, any amounts remaining in the Escrow Account shall be distributed in accordance with the specific provisions in the Escrow Agreement providing for that contingency.

#### XII. Other Provisions

A. The English and Spanish language versions of this Agreement shall be equally authentic.

B. For all purposes hereunder, the Parties shall be represented by, and all

communications and notice shall be given and addressed to:  
Office of the United States Trade Representative, Office of the Americas, 600 17th St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20508.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Washington, DC 20230. Secretaria de Economia, Subsecretaria de Negociaciones Comerciales Internacionales, Alfonso Reyes, 30- 9th Floor, Col. Condesa, C.P. 06400, Mexico D.F.

Signed at Washington, DC, on this 6th day of March, 2006.

*For the Office of the United States Trade Representative of the United States of America: Robert Portman*

*For the United States Department of Commerce of the United States of America: Carlos Guiteriez*

*For the Ministry of Economy (Secretaria de Economia) of the United Mexican States: Sergio Garcia De Alba*

[FR Doc. E6–3531 Filed 3–13–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–533–843, A–570–901]

#### Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determinations of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Lined Paper Products from the People's Republic of China and India

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 14, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For the People's Republic of China, contact Marin Weaver at (202) 482–2336 or Charles Riggle at (202) 482–0650, and for India, contact Christopher Hargett at (202) 482–4161, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

On October 6, 2005, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published the initiation of the antidumping duty investigations of certain lined paper products from India, Indonesia and the People's Republic of China. See *Initiation of Antidumping Duty*

*Investigations: Certain Lined Paper Products from India, Indonesia and the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 58374 (October 6, 2005). The notice of initiation stated that we would make our preliminary determinations for these antidumping duty investigations no later than 140 days after the date of issuance of the initiation. On February 10, 2006, the Department postponed the preliminary determinations by 30 days to March 18, 2006. See *Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Lined Paper Products from the People's Republic of China, India, and Indonesia*, 71 FR 7015 (February 10, 2006). On February 21, 2006, the Association of American School Paper Suppliers, and its individual members ("Petitioner"), made timely requests pursuant to 19 CFR §351.205(e) for an additional 20-day postponement of the preliminary determinations with respect to the antidumping duty investigations covering certain lined paper products ("CLPP") from the People's Republic of China (PRC) and India. Petitioner requested postponement of the preliminary determinations because it will provide the Department additional time to review submitted questionnaire responses which Petitioner claims contain substantial deficiencies.

Under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), if Petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement of the preliminary determination, the Department may postpone the preliminary determination under subsection (b)(1) until no later than the 190<sup>th</sup> day after the initiation of the investigation.

Therefore, for reasons identified by Petitioner, we are postponing the preliminary determinations with respect to the antidumping duty investigations of CLPP from the PRC and India under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act by an additional 20 days to April 7, 2006. Pursuant to section 735(a) of the Act, the deadline for the final determinations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations, or if extended, up to 135 days after the date of publication of the preliminary determinations in the **Federal Register**.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: March 7, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-3620 Filed 3-13-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-867]

#### Notice of Postponement of Final Antidumping Duty Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures: Metal Calendar Slides from Japan

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 14, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott Lindsay or Dara Iserson, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0780 or (202) 482-4052, respectively.

#### Postponement of Final Determination

The Department of Commerce (the Department) is postponing the final determination in the antidumping duty investigation of metal calendar slides from Japan.

On July 26, 2005, the Department published the initiation of the antidumping duty investigation on imports of metal calendar slides from Japan. See *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Metal Calendar Slides from Japan*, 70 FR 43122 (July 26, 2005). On February 1, 2006, the Department published its affirmative preliminary determination in this investigation. See *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Metal Calendar Slides from Japan*, 71 FR 5244 (February 1, 2006). This notice stated that the Department would issue its final determination no later than 75 days after the date on which the Department issued its preliminary determination.

Section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii) provide that a final determination may be postponed until no later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise. Additionally, the Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2)(ii), require that requests by a respondent for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for an extension of the provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months.

On February 13, 2006, in accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and

19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), the only known exporter, Nishiyama Kinzoku Co. Ltd. (Nishiyama), requested that the Department: (1) Postpone the final determination; and (2) extend the provisional measures period from four months to a period not longer than six months. Accordingly, pursuant to section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), because: (1) The preliminary determination is affirmative; (2) the requesting exporter accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise in this investigation; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are postponing the final determination until no later than 135 days after the publication of the preliminary determination in the **Federal Register** (*i.e.*, until no later than June 16, 2006). Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

This notice of postponement is published pursuant to section 735(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(g).

Dated: March 8, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-3630 Filed 3-13-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-580-825

#### Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 8, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG), other than drill pipe, from Korea. See *Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 53340 (September 8, 2005) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers the following producers: Husteel Co., Ltd. ("Husteel") and SeAH Steel Corporation ("SeAH"). The period of review ("POR") is August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the *Preliminary Results*. For the final dumping margins, see the