

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meetings: none.
 2. Minutes.
 3. Ratification List.
 4. Inv. No. 731-TA-856 (Review)(Ammonium Nitrate from Russia)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before March 27, 2006.)
 5. *Outstanding action jackets:* none.
- In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: March 1, 2006.

By order of the Commission:

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 06-2102 Filed 3-1-06; 2:39 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,158]

Falcon Plastics A/K/A Grand Venture, Washington, PA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application postmarked January 6, 2006, a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on December 30, 2005, and published in the **Federal Register** on January 17, 2006 (71 FR 2568).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Falcon Plastics, Washington, Pennsylvania engaged in production of blow molded plastics was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met, nor was there a shift in production from that firm to a foreign country. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's customers. The survey revealed no imports of blow molded plastics during the relevant period. The subject firm did not import blow molded plastics nor did it shift production to a foreign country during the relevant period.

The petitioner states that the affected workers lost their jobs as a result of the U.S. manufacturers shifting production of blow molded plastics to China and Mexico. The petitioner stated that the sales and production at the subject firm has been negatively impacted by increasing presence of foreign imports on the market.

Upon further review of the previous investigation and further contact with the company official, the Department conducted a full investigation to determine whether imports of blow molded plastics indeed impacted production at the subject firm and consequently caused workers separations.

The Department conducted a new survey of the customers requesting information on imports of "like or directly competitive products" to those purchased from Falcon Plastics, a/k/a Grand Venture in 2002, 2003 and January through September of 2005. The survey revealed that none of the respondents reported increasing its imports of "like or directly competitive products" to blow molded plastics purchased from the subject, while decreasing its purchases from the subject firm during the relevant time period.

Moreover, the subject firm does not import blow molded plastics and did not shift production of blow molded plastics abroad.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of February, 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-3063 Filed 3-2-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,800]

ABCO Rents of Clinton, Clinton, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on February 7, 2006, in response to a worker petition filed by a company spokesman on behalf of workers at ABCO Rents of Clinton, Clinton, North Carolina.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 9th day of February 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-3076 Filed 3-2-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,341]

Alene Candles, Inc./Wizard Candles, Inc.; Including On-Site Leased Workers of Placement Pros, Valley Employment, and ET Staffing; Putnam, CT; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on January 13, 2006, applicable to workers of Alene Candles, Inc., including on-site leased workers of Placement Pros, Valley Employment, and ET Staffing, Putnam, Connecticut. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 31, 2006 (71 FR 5072).