convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Determination

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of these AD and CVD orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy, and of material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the AD and CVD orders on salmon from Norway. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of the continuation of these orders is the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this Notice of Continuation.

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than January 2011.

These five-year (sunset) reviews and notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 7, 2006.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–1983 Filed 2–10–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–816]

Notice of Final Results of the Eleventh Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 7, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the *Preliminary Results* of the antidumping duty administrative review for certain corrosion–resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). *See Certain Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea: Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 53153 (September 7, 2005) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers five manufacturers and exporters of the subject merchandise: Union Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Union); Pohang Iron & Steel Company, Ltd. (POSCO) and Pohang Coated Steel Co., Ltd. (POCOS) (collectively, the POSCO Group); Hyundai HYSCO (HYSCO); Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. (Dongbu) (collectively, respondents); and Dongshin Special Steel Co., Ltd. (Dongshin). The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004.

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from the *Preliminary Results*. For our final results, we have found that during the POR, the POSCO Group, Union and Dongbu sold subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). We have also found that HYSCO did not make sales of the subject merchandise at less than NV (*i.e.*, it has a zero or *de minimis* dumping margin). Regarding Dongshin, because it failed to respond to the Department's questionnaire, we have preliminarily determined to resort to adverse facts available and assigned to Dongshin the ''All Others'' rate in effect for this order (17.70 percent), which is the highest margin upheld in this proceeding. *See Preliminary Results* at 53155–56. Since the publication of the *Preliminary Results*, we have not received any comments regarding Dongshin from interested parties that would warrant reconsideration of our finding. Therefore, we have continued to assign a rate of 17.70 percent to Dongshin. The final results are listed in the ''Final Results of Review'' section below. Furthermore, we rescinded the request for review of the antidumping order for SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH) because neither SeAH nor its affiliates had exports or sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. For more information, *see Preliminary Results* at 53154.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 13, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jolanta Lawska (Union), Preeti Tolani (Dongbu), Victoria Cho (the POSCO Group), and Joy Zhang (HYSCO), AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–8362, (202) 482–0395, (202) 482–5075, and (202) 482–1168, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 7, 2005, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. On December 5, 2005, the Department published the notice of extension of final results of the antidumping administrative review of CORE from Korea, extending the date for these final results to February 6, 2006. *See Corrosion Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea: Extension of Time Limits for the Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 70 FR 72424 (December 5, 2005).

Comments from Interested Parties

We invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. On November 15, 2005, Mittal Steel USA ISG, Inc. (Mittal) filed a case brief concerning all respondents; United States Steel Corporation (US Steel) filed case briefs concerning the POSCO Group, HYSCO, and Union; and all respondents filed a case brief.[1] On November 22, 2005, Mittal and US Steel filed rebuttal briefs concerning all respondents, and all respondents also filed a rebuttal brief.

Scope of the Order

This order covers cold–rolled (cold–reduced) carbon steel flat–rolled carbon steel products, of rectangular shape, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion–resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron–based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers) and of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, or in straight lengths which, if of a thickness less than 4.75 millimeters, are of a width of 0.5 inch or greater and which measures at least 10 times the thickness or if of a thickness of 4.75 millimeters or more are of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 7210.30.0030, 7210.30.0060, 7210.41.0000, 7210.49.0030, 7210.49.0090, 7210.61.0000, 7210.69.0000, 7210.70.6030, 7210.70.6060, 7210.70.6090, 7210.90.1000, 7210.90.6000, 7210.90.9000, 7212.20.0000, 7212.30.1030, 7212.30.1090, 7212.30.3000, 7212.30.5000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7212.60.0000, 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.3000, 7215.90.5000, 7217.20.1500, 7217.30.1530, 7217.30.1560, 7217.90.1000, 7217.90.5030,

[1] The Nucor Corporation, another domestic interested party, did not submit a case brief or a rebuttal brief.

7217.90.5060, 7217.90.5090. Included in this order are corrosion–resistant flat–rolled products of nonrectangular cross-section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (i.e., products which have been ''worked after rolling'') for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Excluded from this order are flat–rolled steel products either plated or coated with tin, lead, chromium, chromium oxides, both tin and lead (''terne plate''), or both chromium and chromium oxides (''tin–free steel''), whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. Also excluded from this order are clad products in straight lengths of 0.1875 inch or more in composite thickness and of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness. Also excluded from this order are certain clad stainless flat–rolled products, which are three–layered corrosion–resistant carbon steel flat–rolled products less than 4.75 millimeters in composite thickness that consist of a carbon steel flat–rolled product clad on both sides with stainless steel in a 20%-60%-20% ratio.

These HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written descriptions remain dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal brief by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised, and to which we have responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted–average margins exist:

Producer/Manufacturer	Weighted–Average Margin
Dongbu	2.26 %
Union	1.54 %
The POSCO Group	2.16 %
HYSCO	0.00 %
Dongshin	17.70 %

Assessment

The Department will determine, and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department calculated importer–specific duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the examined sales for that importer. Where the assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of CORE from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) For companies covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies other than those covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be the company–specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less–than-fair–value investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 17.70 percent, the ''All Others'' rate established in the less–than-fair–value investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402 (f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also is the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 3, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

APPENDIX I

List of Comments in the Accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum

A. General Issues

Comment 1: *Model–Match Methodology and Laminated Products*
Comment 2: *Adjustments to U.S. Prices for Duty Drawback Paid in Korea*
Comment 3: *Section D Costs As Weighted–Average Values for the Entire POR*
Comment 4: *Adjustments to the Difference–In-Merchandise (DIFMER) Calculation*

B. Company–Specific Issues

Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.

Comment 5: *Treatment of Dongbu's Indirect Selling Expenses Incurred in Korea*
Comment 6: *Treatment of Dongbu's Constructed Export Price (CEP) Offset*
Comment 7: *Dongbu's Treatment of Short–term Interest Rate*

Hyundai HYSCO

Comment 8: *CEP Offset for HYSCO*
Comment 9: *U.S. Sales Reconciliation for HYSCO*
Comment 10: *U.S. Indirect Selling Expense Ratio for HYSCO*
Comment 11: *HYSCO's Indirect Selling Expenses Incurred in Korea*
Comment 12: *Customs Instructions for HYSCO*

Comment 13: *HYSCO's Home Market Sales of Non–prime Merchandise*

[FR Doc. E6–1984 Filed 2–10–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–878]

Saccharin from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 8, 2005, the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on saccharin from the People's Republic of China. *See Saccharin from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Recession of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 45657 (August 8, 2005) (''*Preliminary Results*''). The period of review is December 27, 2002, through June 30, 2004.

We invited interested parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made certain changes to our calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the *Preliminary Results*. The final weighted–average dumping margin for the reviewed company is listed in the ''Final Results of the Review'' section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 13, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Moats or Blanche Ziv, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5047 or (202) 482–4207, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 8, 2005, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on saccharin from the People's Republic of China (''PRC''). *See Preliminary Results*. Since the publication of the preliminary results, the following events have occurred.

On August 29, 2005, Shanghai Fortune Chemical Co., Ltd. (''Shanghai Fortune'') requested a hearing pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c). On December 22, 2005, Shanghai Fortune withdrew its request for a hearing. *See* Memorandum to the File from Ann Fornaro Through Blanche Ziv ''Withdrawal of Hearing Request,'' dated December 22, 2005, which is available in the Central Records Unit (''CRU'') in Room B–099 of the main Commerce building. As there were no other requests for a hearing, the Department did not hold a hearing in this proceeding.

On August 31, 2005, the Department received submissions on surrogate value data from the petitioner, PMC Specialties Group (''Petitioner''), and Shanghai Fortune. On September 12, 2005, the Department received timely filed information for rebuttal and clarification from Petitioner.

On August 22, 2005, Shanghai Fortune submitted its response to the remaining information requested by the Department in its supplemental questionnaire issued on July 22, 2005. The first portion of this supplemental questionnaire was submitted on July 26, 2005. *See* Shanghai Fortune's ''Saccharin from the People's Republic of China; Submission of Shanghai Fortune's Seventh Supplemental Response,'' dated July 26, 2005.[1]

On December 5, 2005, the Department published a notice in the **Federal Register** extending the time limit for the final results until February 6, 2006. *See Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Administrative Review: Saccharin From the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 72424 (December 5, 2005).

On December 13, 2005, the Department received case briefs from the Petitioner and Shanghai Fortune. On December 20, 2005, the Department received rebuttal briefs from Petitioner and Shanghai Fortune.

On January 19, 2006, the Department placed updated surrogate value information on the record of this review in order to allow parties an opportunity to comment on the new information. *See* Memorandum to the File From Jennifer Moats ''Updated Surrogate Value Information,'' dated January 19, 2006. On January 23, 2006, the Department received timely filed comments on surrogate values from Petitioner and Shanghai Fortune.

On January 19, 2006, the Department issued a supplemental questionnaire to Shanghai Fortune requesting information on certain by–products that it claimed to have produced and sold during the POR. On January 20, 2006, the Department issued an additional supplemental questionnaire to Shanghai Fortune requesting further information on certain by–products at issue. On January 24, 2006, the Department received a timely filed response to these supplemental questionnaires from Shanghai Fortune.

We have conducted this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''), and 19 CFR 351.213.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this antidumping duty order is saccharin. Saccharin is defined as a non–nutritive sweetener used in beverages and foods, personal care products such as toothpaste, table top sweeteners, and animal feeds. It is also used in

[1] For discussion of previous supplemental questionnaire responses, *see Preliminary Results*, 70 FR at 45658.