

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0371 and (202) 482-0182, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 7, 1988, the Department published its AD order and final amended determination on forklifts from Japan. See *Antidumping Duty Order and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value; Certain Internal-Combustion, Industrial Forklift Trucks From Japan*, 53 FR 20882 (June 7, 1988). In the amended final determination the Department found margins ranging from 13.65 - 56.81 percent for the selected respondents and 39.45 percent for "all other" manufacturers/producers/exporters of forklifts from Japan. After an affirmative determination by the ITC in the first sunset review of forklifts from Japan, on June 2, 2000, the Department published the notice of continuation of the order. See *Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders and Countervailing Duty Order: Internal-Combustion, Industrial Forklift Trucks From Japan*, 65 FR 35323.

On March 1, 2005, the Department initiated, and the ITC instituted, sunset reviews of the AD order on forklifts from Japan. See *Initiation of Five-year (Sunset) Reviews*, 70 FR 9919. As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the AD order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and notified the ITC of the dumping rate likely to prevail if the AD order were revoked. See *Internal-Combustion Forklift Trucks from Japan; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 70 FR 58373 (October 6, 2005).

On January 26, 2006, the ITC determined, pursuant to section 752 of the Act, that revocation of the AD order on forklifts from Japan would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See *ITC Determination* and USITC Publication 3831 (January 2006), entitled *Internal-Combustion Forklift Trucks from Japan. Inv. No. 731-TA-377 (Second Review)*.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain internal-combustion, industrial forklift trucks, with lifting capacity of 2,000 to 15,000 lbs. Imports of these products were classified under item numbers 692.4025, 692.4030, and

692.4070 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated (TSUSA) and are currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 8427.20.00, 8427.90.00, and 8431.20.00. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

The products covered by this order are further described as follows: Assembled, not assembled, and less than complete, finished and not finished, operator-riding forklift trucks powered by gasoline, propane, or diesel fuel internal-combustion engines of off-the-highway types used in factories, warehouses, or transportation terminals for short-distance transport, towing, or handling of articles. Less than complete forklift trucks are defined as imports which include a frame by itself or a frame assembled with one or more component parts. Component parts of the subject forklift trucks which are not assembled with a frame are not covered by this order.

Products not covered by this order are genuinely used forklifts. For the purposes of this antidumping duty order, we consider any forklift to be used if, at the time of entry into the United States, the importer can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) that the forklift was manufactured in a calendar year at least three years prior to the year of entry into the United States. The importer must show documentation from industrial publications that reconcile the serial number and year of manufacture of the forklift. If the calendar year of manufacture is at least three years prior to its year of entry into the United States, it will not be subject to the suspension of liquidation or any assessment of antidumping duties. For example, if a forklift is entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption in June 1988 and if the importer demonstrates through industrial publications that the forklift was manufactured in or before calendar year 1985, that forklift will not be covered by this order.

Determination

As a result of the determination by the ITC that revocation of this AD order is not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, is revoking the AD order on forklifts from Japan. Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(ii), the effective date of

revocation is June 2, 2005 (*i.e.*, the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of continuation of the AD order). The Department will notify CBP to discontinue suspension of liquidation and collection of cash deposits on entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse on or after June 2, 2005, the effective date of revocation of the AD order. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of this order and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

This five-year (sunset) review and notice are in accordance with section 751(d)(2) and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 3, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-1881 Filed 2-9-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-560-818, A-533-843, A-570-901]

Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Lined Paper Products from the People's Republic of China, India, and Indonesia

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 10, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For the People's Republic of China, contact Marin Weaver at (202) 482-2336 or Charles Riggle at (202) 482-0650; for India, contact Christopher Hargett at (202) 482-4161; and for Indonesia, contact Natalie Kempky at (202) 482-1698, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On October 6, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the initiation of the antidumping duty investigations of certain lined paper products from India, Indonesia and the People's Republic of China. See *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Certain Lined Paper*

Products from India, Indonesia and the People's Republic of China, 70 FR 58374 (October 6, 2005). The notice of initiation stated that we would make our preliminary determinations for these antidumping duty investigations no later than 140 days after the date of issuance of the initiation. Currently, the preliminary determinations are due February 16, 2006.

On January 23, 2006, the Association of American School Paper Suppliers, and its individual members ("Petitioner"), made a timely request pursuant to 19 CFR §351.205(e) for a 30-day postponement of the preliminary determinations. Petitioner requested postponement of the preliminary determinations because it will provide the Department additional time to review submitted questionnaire responses and questionnaire responses not yet received by the Department.

Under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), if Petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement of the preliminary determination, the Department may postpone the preliminary determination under subsection (b)(1) until no later than the 190th day after the initiation of the investigation.

Therefore, for reasons identified by petitioner, we are postponing the preliminary determinations under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act by 30 days to March 18, 2006. Because March 18, 2006, falls on a Saturday, the preliminary determinations will be due by March 20, 2006, the next business day. Pursuant to 735(a) of the Act, the deadline for the final determinations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations, or if extended, up to 135 days after the date of publication of the preliminary determinations in the **Federal Register**.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(i).

Dated: February 3, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-1883 Filed 2-9-06; 8:45 am]

Billing Code: 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-449-804]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Latvia

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 7, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel concrete reinforcing bars (rebar) from Latvia. The review covers one producer of the subject merchandise. The period of review (POR) is September 1, 2003, through August 31, 2004. Based on our analysis of comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the *Final Results of Review* section.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 10, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shane Subler at (202) 482-0189 or Constance Handley at (202) 482-0631; AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 7, 2005, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on rebar from Latvia. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Latvia*, 70 FR 58687 (October 7, 2005) (*Preliminary Results*). We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On November 14, 2005, we received a case brief from the sole respondent, Joint Stock Company Liepajas Metalurgs (LM). We received a rebuttal brief from the Rebar Trade Action Coalition (RTAC) and its individual members, the petitioners in the proceeding, on November 21, 2005. At the request of the respondent, we held a public hearing on December 16, 2005.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is all steel concrete reinforcing bars sold in straight lengths, currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 7214.20.00, 7228.30.8050,

7222.11.0050, 7222.30.0000, 7228.60.6000, 7228.20.1000, or any other tariff item number. Specifically excluded are plain rounds (*i.e.*, non-deformed or smooth bars) and rebar that has been further processed through bending or coating. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

The issues raised in the briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary (*Decision Memorandum*), dated February 3, 2006, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues addressed in the *Decision Memorandum* is appended to this notice. The *Decision Memorandum* is on file in Room B-099 of the main Department building, and can also be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we adjusted the calculation methodology used in the Preliminary Results. For the date of sale in the U.S. market, we used the date of final amendment to the contract addendum as the date of sale for all sales. For the home market imputed credit expense calculation, we used interest rates published by the Bank of Latvia for loans to domestic enterprises and households as a surrogate interest rate. For the U.S. imputed credit expense calculation, we used short-term interest rates published by the Federal Reserve for commercial and industrial loans as a surrogate interest rate. These adjustments are discussed in detail in the *Decision Memorandum*.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average margin exists for the period of September 1, 2003, through August 31, 2004:

Producer	Weighted-Average Margin (Percentage)
Joint Stock Company Liepajas Metalurgs	5.24