II. Process

The process of rescinding, revising, and reissuing all of the existing Information Sheets (there are approximately 40) may take several years to complete. The agency plans to make the process as transparent as possible. Therefore, FDA advises users to periodically check the agency’s Information Sheet Web page at http://www.fda.gov/oc/gcp/guidance.html, throughout this time period. As guidelines are revised and reissued and as new guidances are developed, they will be made available according to the GGP process and on this Web site.

III. Guidelines Being Made Available With This Notice

The agency is announcing the availability of the following five Information Sheet Guidances that have been revised. These five Information Sheet Guidances replace the Information Sheets of the same titles (unless otherwise indicated) published in 1998.

• “FDA Inspections of Clinical Investigators” (previously entitled “FDA Clinical Investigator Inspections”): This guidance is intended to provide information about FDA’s inspections of clinical investigators conducted under FDA’s Bioresearch Monitoring Program.

• “FDA Institutional Review Board Inspections”: This guidance is intended to provide information about FDA’s inspections of IRBs conducted under FDA’s Bioresearch Monitoring Program.

• “Waiver of IRB Requirements for Drug and Biologic Studies” (previously entitled “Waiver of IRB Requirements”): This guidance is intended to provide information about waiver and sponsor-investigator requests for waivers of IRB requirements for drug and biologic studies.

• “Significant Risk and Nonsignificant Risk Medical Device Studies”: This guidance is intended to provide advice to sponsors, clinical investigators, and IRBs on how to determine the differences between significant risk and nonsignificant risk medical device studies.

• “Frequently Asked Questions About Medical Devices” (previously entitled “Medical Devices; Frequently Asked Questions about IRB Review of Medical Devices; Emergency Use of Unapproved Medical Devices”): This guidance is intended to assist sponsors, clinical investigators, and IRBs by answering common questions FDA receives concerning medical devices.

These Information Sheet Guidances are level 2 guidances according to FDA’s GCPs regulation. FDA is implementing the guidances immediately without prior public comment because they contain only minor revisions to reflect current policy and/or are consistent with policy interpretations of the Department of Health and Human Service’s Office for Human Research Protections. These Information Sheet Guidances represent the agency’s current thinking on topics concerned with human subject protection. They do not create or confer any rights for or on any person and do not operate to bind FDA or the public.

IV. Comments

As with all FDA’s guidances, the public is encouraged to submit written or electronic comments pertinent to the Information Sheet Guidances or suggest topics for new Information Sheet Guidance. Interested persons may submit to the Division of Dockets Management (see ADDRESSES) written or electronic comments on these Information Sheet Guidances.

Submit a single copy of electronic comments or two paper copies of any mailed comments, except that individuals may submit one paper copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. The guidances and received comments may be seen in the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

V. Electronic Access


Jeffrey Shuren,
Assistant Commissioner for Policy.
[FR Doc. E6–1476 Filed 2–2–06; 8:45 am]
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DATES: Submit written or electronic comments on the draft guidance by April 4, 2006. General comments on agency guidance documents are welcome at any time.
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SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

FDA is announcing the availability of a draft guidance for industry entitled “Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: Use in Medical Product Development to Support Labeling Claims.” The term “PRO” refers to one or more concepts about how patients feel or function as perceived and reported by study subjects (i.e., “patients”). PROs may represent traditional aspects of health such as symptoms and activities of daily living, or broader concepts such as physical function, well-being related to health, and satisfaction with treatment. “PRO instruments” are the tools for measuring PROs.

Generally, sponsors can use study results measured by PRO instruments to support claims in approved product labeling if the claims are derived from adequate and well-controlled investigations using PRO instruments that reliably and validly measure the specific concepts claimed. The amount of evidence expected to support a labeling claim measured by a PRO instrument is the same as that required for any other labeling claim. As with other labeling claims, the determination of whether the endpoint is an adequate measure of effectiveness is specific to the intended population, the characteristics of the condition or disease treated, and the sensitivity of the clinical study used to measure the endpoint.

This draft guidance presents our current thinking on the review process concerning the development, validation, and application of PRO instruments in the clinical study setting. This draft guidance is being issued consistent with FDA’s good guidance practices regulation (21 CFR 10.115). The draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the agency’s current thinking on patient-reported outcome measures. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations.

II. Comments

Interested persons may submit to the Division of Dockets Management (see ADDRESSES) written or electronic comments regarding this document. Submit a single copy of electronic comments or two paper copies of any mailed comments, except that individuals may submit one paper copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

III. Electronic Access


Jeffrey Shuren,
Assistant Commissioner for Policy.
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SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of summaries of medical and clinical pharmacology reviews of pediatric studies submitted in supplements for AMARYL (glimepiride), MOBIC (meloxicam), NORVIR (ritonavir), and NOVOLOG (insulin aspart). These summaries are being made available consistent with section 9 of the BPCA (Pub. L. 107–109). Enacted on January 4, 2002, the BPCA reauthorizes, with certain important changes, the pediatric exclusivity program described in section 505A of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) (21 U.S.C. 355a). Section 505A of the act permits certain applications to obtain 6 months of marketing exclusivity if, in accordance with the requirements of the statute, the sponsor submits requested information relating to the use of the drug in the pediatric population.

One of the provisions of the BPCA added to the pediatric exclusivity program pertains to the dissemination of pediatric information. Specifically, for all pediatric supplements submitted under the BPCA, the BPCA requires FDA to make available to the public a summary of the medical and clinical pharmacology reviews of pediatric studies conducted for the supplement (21 U.S.C. 355a(m)(1)). The summaries are to be made available not later than 180 days after the report on the pediatric study is submitted to FDA (21 U.S.C. 355a(m)(1)). Consistent with this provision of the BPCA, FDA has posted on the Internet at http://www.fda.gov/cder/pedicrt/index.htm, summaries of medical and clinical pharmacology reviews of pediatric studies submitted in supplements for AMARYL (glimepiride), MOBIC (meloxicam), NORVIR (ritonavir), and NOVOLOG (insulin aspart). Copies are also available by mail (see ADDRESSES).

II. Electronic Access

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the document at http://www.fda.gov/cder/pedicrt/index.htm.