

information collected will be used by the COPS Office to determine grantee's progress toward grant implementation and for compliance monitoring efforts.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* There will be an estimated 100 responses from methamphetamine grantees. The estimated amount of time required for the average respondent to respond is 3 hours and 15 minutes.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated total burden associated with the collection is 325 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Brenda Dyer, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: January 18, 2006.

Brenda Dyer,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E6-787 Filed 1-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-AT-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on January 10, 2006, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Beehive Barrel and Drum, Inc. d/b/a Cascade Cooperage, Inc.* (D. Utah), C.A. No. 2:04-CV-00570 (TC), was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Utah, Central Division.

In this action, the United States seeks response costs incurred and to be incurred by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, in connection with the Service First Barrel and Drum Site, located in Salt Lake City, Utah. Three defendants, Adria Rossomondo, Arthur Rossomondo, and Beehive Barrel and Drum, Inc. d/b/a Cascade Cooperage, Inc. ("Rossomondo Defendants"), have resolved the United States' response cost claims through this Consent Decree. The settlement incorporated in the Consent Decree does not resolve the United States' response cost claims or any other claim with

respect to the five other defendants named in the complaint.

The Consent Decree provides, *inter alia*, that the Rossomondo Defendants and EPA will enter into a settlement pursuant to EPA's ability-to-pay policies and procedures. As part of settlement negotiations, EPA requested that the Rossomondo Defendants provide information regarding each defendant's financial status, and the Rossomondo Defendants cooperatively provided all of the requested information, which was necessary under EPA's policies and procedures to perform an ability-to-pay settlement analysis. Based upon the analysis, EPA determined that the Rossomondo Defendants had the financial ability to pay a nominal amount, or \$325.00, of EPA's response costs that were incurred in connection with the clean-up of the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Beehive Barrel and Drum, Inc. d/b/a Cascade Cooperage, Inc.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-11-3-08170.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 185 South State, Ste. 400, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111; and U.S. EPA Region 8, 999 18th Street, Denver, Colorado 80202. During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax number (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy of the Consent Decree from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.00 (.25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Robert D. Brook,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 06-603 Filed 1-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA-W) number issued during the periods of January 2006.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for directly-impacted (primary) worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act,

African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as an adversely affected secondary group to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) Either—

(A) The workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) A loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a) (2) (A) (increased imports) of Section 222 have been met.

TA-W-58,327; *Hewlett Packard, Ontario, CA, November 10, 2004.*

TA-W-58,412; *F. Schumacher and Company, Newark Customer Service Facility, Newark, DE, November 28, 2004.*

TA-W-58,526; *IPF Management Company, Inc., d/b/a Invincible IPF, Paterson, NJ, December 20, 2004.*

TA-W-58,070; *Carrier Access Corporation, Boulder, CO, October 4, 2004.*

TA-W-58,326; *Reliable Garment, Los Angeles, CA, November 10, 2004.*

TA-W-58,401; *Accutech Mold and Engineering, Little Falls, MN, November 22, 2004.*

TA-W-58,456; *WestPoint Home, Inc., Bath Products Div., Ambassador Personnel, Valley, AL, December 2, 2004.*

TA-W-57,987; *Sun Chemical, Performance Pigments Division, Cincinnati, OH, September 12, 2004.*

TA-W-58,388; *Chuan Hing Sewing, Inc., San Francisco, CA, November 21, 2004.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of Section 222 have been met.

TA-W-58,396; *Leesburg Knit Mill, Knitting Div., Union Underwear Co., Inc., Leesburg, AL, November 21, 2004.*

TA-W-58,480; *LeSportsac, Inc., Stearns, KY, November 30, 2004.*

TA-W-58,499; *Metaldyne Corporation, LLC, Heartland Industrial Partners, Edon, OH, December 6, 2005.*

TA-W-58,502; *Wella Manufacturing of Virginia, USA Staffing, Spherion, STAT, Aerotek, Will Rogers, Richmond, VA, November 28, 2004.*

TA-W-58,295; *Pixelworks, Inc., Tualatin, OR, November 4, 2004.*

TA-W-58,295A; *Pixelworks, Inc., Campbell, CA, November 4, 2004.*

The following certification has been issued. The requirement of supplier to a trade certified firm has been met.

None.

The following certification has been issued. The requirement of downstream producer to a trade certified firm has been met.

None.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A)(I.A) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A) (no employment decline) has not been met.

TA-W-58,413; *Badger Paper Mills, BPM, Inc., Flexible Packaging Div., Oconto Falls, WI.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

None.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased

imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-58,421; *Sony Electronics, Direct View CRT, Mt. Pleasant, PA.*

TA-W-58,481; *Collins and Aikman, Southwest Laminates, Inc. Division, El Paso, TX.*

TA-W-58,274; *Saint-Gobain Container, Carteret, NJ.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (Increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.C) (has shifted production to a foreign country) have not been met.

None.

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-58,487; *U.S. Airways, Greentree Reservations, Pittsburgh, PA.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. The workers firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier or downstream producer to trade-affected companies.

None.

Affirmative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determinations.

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(ii) have been met.

I. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

II. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

III. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (i.e., conditions within the industry are adverse).

TA-W-58,396; *Leesburg Knit Mill, Knitting Div., Union Underwear Co., Inc., Leesburg, AL, November 21, 2004.*

TA-W-58,480; *LeSportsac, Inc., Stearns, KY, November 30, 2004.*

TA-W-58,499; *Metaldyne Corporation, LLC, Heartland Industrial Partners, Edon, OH, December 6, 2005.*

TA-W-58,502; *Wella Manufacturing of Virginia, USA Staffing, Spherion,*

STAT, Aerotek, Will Rogers, Richmond, VA, November 28, 2004.
 TA-W-58,388; *Chuan Hing Sewing, Inc., San Francisco, CA, November 21, 2004.*
 TA-W-58,456; *WestPoint Home, Inc., Bath Products Div., Ambassador Personnel, Valley, AL, December 2, 2004.*
 TA-W-58,327; *Hewlett Packard, Ontario, CA, November 10, 2004.*
 TA-W-58,526; *IPF Management Company, Inc., d/b/a Invincible IPF, Paterson, NJ, December 20, 2004.*

Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

Since the workers are denied eligibility to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.
 TA-W-58,487; *U.S. Airways, Greentree Reservations, Pittsburgh, PA.*
 TA-W-58,274; *Saint-Gobain Container, Carteret, NJ.*
 TA-W-58,421; *Sony Electronics, Direct View CRT, Mt. Pleasant, PA.*
 TA-W-58,481; *Collins and Aikman, Southwest Laminates, Inc. Division, El Paso, TX.*

The Department as determined that criterion (1) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm are 50 years of age or older.

None.

The Department as determined that criterion (2) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm possess skills that are easily transferable.

TA-W-58,295; *Pixelworks, Inc., Tualatin, OR.*
 TA-W-58,295A; *Pixelworks, Inc., Campbell, CA.*
 TA-W-58,070; *Carrier Access Corporation, Boulder, CO.*
 TA-W-58,401; *Accutech Mold and Engineering, Little Falls, MN.*
 TA-W-57,987; *Sun Chemical, Performance Pigments Division, Cincinnati, OH.*

The Department as determined that criterion (3) of Section 246 has not been met. Competition conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse.

None.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were

issued during the month of January 2006. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: January 12, 2006.

Erica R. Cantor,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-803 Filed 1-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,309]

OBG Manufacturing Company; Liberty, KY; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at OBG Manufacturing Company, Liberty, Kentucky. The application did not contain new information supporting a conclusion that the determination was erroneous, and also did not provide a justification for reconsideration of the determination that was based on either mistaken facts or a misinterpretation of facts or of the law. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-58,309; *OBG Manufacturing Company, Liberty, Kentucky (January 11, 2006).*

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of January 2006.

Erica R. Cantor,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-802 Filed 1-23-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,047]

Plasti-Coil, Inc.; Lake Geneva, WI; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of December 8, 2005 a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding

eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The denial notice was signed on November 10, 2005 and published in the **Federal Register** on December 6, 2005 (70 FR 72653).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, filed on behalf of workers at Plasti-Coil, Inc., Lake Geneva, Wisconsin engaged in production of custom injection molding was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met, nor was there a shift in production from that firm to a foreign country. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's declining customers. The survey revealed no increase in imports of custom injection molding. The subject firm did not import custom injection molding in the relevant period, nor did it shift production to a foreign country.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleges that the layoffs at the subject firm are attributable to a shift in production to China. To support the allegations, the petitioner attached a copy of the letter from the subject firm's company official stating that "a significant portion of the business has been transferred to China".

A company official was contacted regarding the above allegations. The company official confirmed what was revealed during the initial investigation. In particular, the official stated that Plasti-Coil, Inc., Lake Geneva, Wisconsin was contemplating to move portion of its production to China, however, the shift did not occur and there are no current plans to move production from the subject firm to a foreign country. The official further clarified that the letter mentioned by the petitioner meant that the subject firm's customers transferred significant volumes of their business to China and other Asian countries, which had a negative impact on production of the