Osaka, Japan. 70 FR 71330 (Nov. 28, 2005). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain axle bearing assemblies, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of at least claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,620,263. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complainant requested that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order. The Commission named ILJIN Bearing of Gyeongju City, Kyungbuk, Korea and ILJIN USA of Novi, Michigan as respondents in the investigation. 70 FR at 71331. The ALJ set December 28, 2006, as the target date for completion of the investigation. Order No. 3 (Dec. 5, 2005).

The ALJ issued the subject ID on December 21, 2005. The ID granted the complainant after the complaint was filed. No attorney supported the joint motion. No further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complainant requested that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order. The Commission named ILJIN Bearing of Gyeongju City, Kyungbuk, Korea and ILJIN USA of Novi, Michigan as respondents in the investigation. 70 FR at 71331. The ALJ set December 28, 2006, as the target date for completion of the investigation. Order No. 3 (Dec. 5, 2005).

The ALJ issued the subject ID on December 21, 2005. The ID granted the December 15, 2005, joint motion of complainant and respondents to replace respondent ILJIN Bearing with ILJIN Global. Respondent ILJIN Bearing informed complainant that ILJIN Global is the company that actually exports the accused products to the United States. The parties therefore agreed that ILJIN Global and not ILJIN Bearing is the proper respondent in this investigation. The ALJ found that “good cause” exists to permit this amendment to the complaint and notice of investigation because the information concerning ILJIN Global was obtained by complainant after the complaint was filed. The Commission investigative attorney supported the joint motion. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and Commission rule 210.42.19 CFR 210.42.


Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E6–722 Filed 1–20–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–636–638 (Second Review)]
Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Brazil, France, and India


ACTION: Scheduling of full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod from Brazil, France, and India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B). For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 3, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Ruggles (202–205–3187 or fred.ruggles@usitc.gov), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Background.—On July 1, 2005, the Commission determined that responses to its notice of institution of the subject five-year reviews were such that full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act should proceed (70 FR 38207, July 1, 2005). A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements are available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s Web site.

Participation in the reviews and public service list.—Persons, including industrial users of the subject merchandise and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in these reviews as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11 of the Commission’s rules, by 45 days after publication of this notice. A party that filed a notice of appearance following publication of the Commission’s notice of institution of the reviews need not file an additional notice of appearance.

1 The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).