

alternative. DOE did not select the Rescission of Presidential Permit alternative because it would not address the need for additional transmission capacity in the region.

DOE did not select the Previously Permitted Route alternative, nominally the "no action" alternative, because it would not achieve the consolidation of linear facility corridors as preferred by the State. This alternative would also have somewhat higher, but still low, impacts compared to the Modified Consolidated Corridors Route alternative. DOE did not select the Consolidated Corridors Route alternative because it would not avoid two areas addressed by route modifications in the Modified Consolidated Corridors Route alternative. DOE did not select the MEPCO South Route alternative because it had generally the highest impacts of any of the route alternatives, while providing no offsetting benefits to justify its selection.

For the foregoing reasons, DOE has decided to amend Presidential Permit PP-89 to authorize BHE to construct, operate, maintain, and connect the NRI along the Modified Consolidated Corridors Route as defined in the EIS, but with the condition noted in the *Decision* section above.

Dated: December 29, 2005.

Kevin M. Kolevar,

Director, Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability.

[FR Doc. E5-8305 Filed 1-4-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. EC06-47-000, et al.]

Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, L.L.C. and DB Energy Trading LLC et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

December 29, 2005.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, L.L.C. and DB Energy Trading LLC

[Docket No. EC06-47-000]

Take notice that, on December 21, 2005, Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, L.L.C. (DETM) and DB Energy Trading LLC (DB Energy) Commission an application pursuant to section 203 of the Federal Power Act for authorization of the transfer by DETM of

a wholesale power transaction to DB Energy. DETM and DB Energy have requested privileged treatment for commercially sensitive information contained in the application.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 13, 2006.

2. Hunlock Creek Energy Ventures, UGI Development Company, UGI Hunlock Development Company, Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, and Allegheny Energy Supply Hunlock Creek

[Docket No. EC06-50-000]

Take notice that on December 22, 2005, Hunlock Creek Energy Ventures, UGI Development Company, UGI Hunlock Development Company, Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC; and Allegheny Energy Supply Hunlock Creek (collectively, Applicants) submitted a Joint Application for Authorization Under section 203 of the Federal Power Act for Disposition of Jurisdictional Facilities.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 13, 2006.

3. Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, L.L.C. and Sempra Energy Trading Corp.

[Docket No. EC06-51-000]

Take notice that on December 22, 2005, Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, L.L.C. (DETM) and Sempra Energy Trading Corp. (SET) submitted an application pursuant to section 203 of the Federal Power Act for authorization of a disposition of jurisdictional facilities in which DETM proposes to transfer to SET various wholesale electric power sales contracts. The Applicants have requested privileged treatment for commercially-sensitive information contained in the Application.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 13, 2006.

4. Post Wind Farm LP

[Docket No. EG06-25-000]

Take notice that on December 22, 2005, Post Wind Farm LP, with its business address at 700 Universe Blvd., Juno Beach, Florida, 33408, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Post Wind Farm LP states that the facility will consist of 56 General Electric wind turbines of 1.5MW each for a total nameplate capacity of 84MW.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 12, 2006.

5. Tenaska III Texas Partners

[Docket No. EG06-26-000]

Take notice that on December 23, 2005, Tenaska III Texas Partners tendered for filing with the Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 13, 2006.

6. City of Riverside, California

[Docket No. EL06-38-000]

Take notice that on December 22, 2005, the City of Riverside, California and the California Independent System Operator Corporation Electric Tariff, tendered for filing its third annual revision to its Transmission Revenue Balancing Account Adjustment.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 12, 2006.

7. El Paso Electric Company

[Docket No. EL06-39-000]

Take notice that on December 23, 2005, El Paso Electric Company tendered for filing a Petition for Declaratory Order Disclaiming Jurisdiction over its sales of electric energy to the Holloman Air Force Base in Alamogordo, New Mexico.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 24, 2006.

8. Alternate Power Source, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-1145-017]

Take notice that on December 21, 2005, Alternate Power Source, Inc., tendered for filing amended Market Behavior Rules pursuant to Commission Order issued November 3, 2005.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 11, 2006.

9. American Cooperative Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER00-2823-002]

Take notice that on December 22, 2005, American Cooperative Services, Inc., submitted for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission certain revisions to its FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 1.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 6, 2006.

10. Continental Electric Cooperative Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER02-1118-005]

Take notice that on December 22, 2005, Continental Electric Cooperative Services, Inc., submitted for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission certain revisions to its FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 1, Original Volume No. 1.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 6, 2006.

11. Devon Power, LLC; Middletown Power, LLC; and Montville Power, LLC

[Docket No. ER06-118-001]

Take notice that on December 23, 2005 Devon Power, Middletown Power, LLC and Montville Power, LLC (collectively, Applicants) submitted an errata to their December 21, 2005, Offer of Settlement pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act. Applicants states the Settlement modifies certain terms of each proposed Reliability Agreements that were submitted on November 1, 2005.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 6, 2006.

Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. On or before the comment date, it is not necessary to serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Nora E. Donovan,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-8300 Filed 1-4-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. EC06-38-000, et al.]

The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

December 28, 2005.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.

[Docket No. EC06-38-000]

Take notice that on December 12, 2005, The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (GS Group) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application pursuant to Section 203(a)(2) of the Federal Power Act seeking blanket authorization for the acquisition, directly or indirectly, of securities of electric utility companies, transmitting utilities or of any holding company over any electric utility company or transmitting utility, subject to certain proposed limitations.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 13, 2006.

2. SCANA Corporation, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, and South Carolina Generating Company, Inc.

[Docket Nos. EC06-39-000 and ES06-18-000]

Take notice that on December 13, 2005, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G) and South Carolina Generating Company, Inc. (GENCO) filed an application seeking authority pursuant to section 204 and 203 of the Federal Power Act: (i) For SCE&G and GENCO to issue up to \$700 million and \$100 million, respectively, of short-term unsecured promissory notes in the form of bank loans and commercial paper, or borrowings from the SCANA Utility Money Pool during the period ending December 31, 2007, (ii) for SCANA Corporation (SCANA) to purchase, acquire or take not more than \$700 million of such short-term debt of SCE&G and not more than \$100 million of such short-term debt of GENCO, for SCE&G to purchase, acquire or take not more than \$100 million of such short-term debt of GENCO, and for GENCO to purchase, acquire or take not more than \$100 million of such short-term debt of SCE&G; and (iii) for SCANA to make capital contributions to, and/or acquire equity securities of, SCE&G and GENCO in amounts not to exceed \$200 million (as to SCE&G) and \$100 million (as to GENCO).

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on January 13, 2006.

3. Xcel Energy Services Inc.

[Docket Nos. EC06-40-000 and ER06-320-000]

Take notice that on December 13, 2005, Xcel Energy Services Inc., on behalf of Southwestern Public Service Company, submitted pursuant to sections 203 and 205 of the Federal Power Act, respectively: (i) An application for authorization for the sale of certain jurisdictional electrical transmission assets located in the states of Oklahoma and Kansas, and in and around the city of Texhoma, Texas, to Tri-County Electric Cooperative, Inc., an Oklahoma Electric Cooperative; and (ii) a request for approval of a proposed rate schedule for the sale of full requirements power and energy to Tri-County Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 13, 2006.

4. Aquila, Inc. and Mid-Kansas Electric Company

[Docket No. EC06-46-000]

Take notice that on December 19, 2005, Aquila, Inc. (Aquila) and Mid-Kansas Electric Company (MKEC) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application under section 203 of the Federal Power Act seeking approval of a transaction wherein Aquila will sell its Kansas Electric Network to MKEC. Aquila and MKEC seek Commission approval by April 17, 2006.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 13, 2006.

5. Westar Energy, Inc.; ONEOK Energy Services Company, L.P.

[Docket No. EC06-48-000]

Take notice that on December 21, 2005, Westar Energy, Inc. and ONEOK Energy Services Company, L.P. (collectively, Applicants), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application pursuant to section 203 of the Federal Power Act for authorization for the disposition of jurisdictional assets related to ONEOK ESC's proposed: (1) Sale to Westar Energy of an approximately 300 MW single cycle combustion turbine generating facility and associated transmission facilities located in Logan County, Oklahoma; and (2) transfer to Westar Energy of a wholesale power sales contract that gives the Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority the right to purchase up to 75 MW of the output of the Facility, all as more fully described in the Application. The Applicants have requested confidential treatment of the