subject imports, and likely impact of imports of Subject Merchandise on the Domestic Industry.

(5) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. producers of the Domestic Like Product. Identify any known related parties and the nature of the relationship as defined in section 771(4)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677(4)(B)).

(6) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise and producers of the Subject Merchandise in each Subject Country that currently export or have exported Subject Merchandise to the United States or other countries since the Order Date.

(7) If you are a U.S. producer of the Domestic Like Product, provide the following information on your firm’s operations on that product during calendar year 2005 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms in which your workers are employed/which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in each Subject Country accounted for by your firm’s(s’) production;

(b) The quantity and value of U.S. commercial shipments of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s); and

(c) The quantity and value of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s).

(8) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise from each Subject Country, provide the following information on your firm’s(s’) operations on that product during calendar year 2005 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of Subject Merchandise from each Subject Country accounted for by your firm’s(s’) imports;

(b) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from each Subject Country; and

(c) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of Subject Merchandise imported from each Subject Country.

(9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries, provide the following information on your firm’s(s’) operations on that product during calendar year 2005 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in each Subject Country accounted for by your firm’s(s’) production; and

(b) The quantity and value of your firm’s(s’) exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from each Subject Country accounted for by your firm’s(s’) exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in each Subject Country since the Order Date, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in each Subject Country, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (Optional) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission’s rules.

Issued: December 22, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05–24585 Filed 12–30–05; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[AAG/A Order No. 020–2005]

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

Pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), notice is given that the Department of Justice proposes to modify a Departmentwide system of records entitled “Accounting Systems for the Department of Justice (DOJ), DOJ–001.” This system of records was last published on June 3, 2004 at 69 FR 31406. The major modification of the system involves the addition of certain Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) accounting records resulting in a new security classification. The system now contains classified documents as well as Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) documents. Other modifications include: Minor edits to the Safeguards section regarding access; a new system manager for the Justice Management Division; additions to the Categories of Individuals Covered by the System; an addition to the Categories of Records in the System; and a minor correction to the section on Disclosure to Consumer Reporting Agencies, and non-substantive edits.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4) and (11), the public is given a 30-day period in which to comment on this notice; and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), which has oversight responsibility under the Act, requires a 40-day period in which to conclude its review of the system. Therefore, please submit any comments by February 13, 2006. The public, OMB, and the Congress are invited to submit any comments to Mary E. Cahill, Management and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530 (Room 1400, National Place Building).

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(r), the Department has provided a report to OMB and the Congress.
**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

**Notice of Approval for Missouri for Avoidance of 2005 Credit Reduction Under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act**

Sections 3302(c)(2) and 3302(d)(3) of the Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA) provide that employers in a state that has an outstanding balance of advances under Title XII of the Social Security Act on January 1 of two or more consecutive years are subject to a reduction in credits otherwise available against the FUTA tax for a calendar year, if a balance of advances remains on November 10 of that year. Because the account of Missouri in the Unemployment Trust Fund had a balance of advances on both January 1, 2004, and January 1, 2005, and still had a balance on November 10, 2005, Missouri employers were potentially liable for a reduction in their FUTA offset credit for 2005.

Section 3302(g) of FUTA provides that a state may avoid credit reduction for a year by meeting certain criteria. Missouri applied for avoidance of the 2005 credit reduction under this section. Pursuant to delegation of authority to me under Secretary’s Order 4–75, I have determined that Missouri meets all of the criteria of this section 3302(g) and thus qualifies for credit reduction avoidance. Therefore, Missouri employers will have no reduction in FUTA offset credit for calendar year 2005.

Dated: December 20, 2005.

Emily Stover DeRocco, Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training.

[FR Doc. 05–24681 Filed 12–30–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–30–M

**NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD**

**Programs and Plans Committee; Notice of Meeting**

**Date and Time:** January 9, 2006, 10 a.m.–11 a.m. (ET)

**Place:** National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, Public Meeting Room 365.

**Status:** This meeting will be open to the public.

**Matters To Be Considered:**

Monday, January 9, 2006, Open Session

Open Session (10 a.m.–11 a.m.)

- Committee review of NSF draft Cyberinfrastructure Vision document
- Committee discussion and comments

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Michael P. Crosby, Executive Officer and NSB Office Director, (703) 292–7000, http://www.nsf.gov/ nsb.

Michael P. Crosby, Executive Officer and NSB Office Director.

[FR Doc. E5–8216 Filed 12–30–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P