Department'') published the preliminary results of this administrative review of certain cut–to-length carbon steel plate (''cut–to-length plate'') from Romania. *See Certain Cut–to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Romania: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission*, 70 FR 53333 (September 8, 2005) (''*Preliminary Results*''). In the *Preliminary Results* we stated that we would make our final determination for the antidumping duty review no later than 120 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results (*i.e.*, January 6, 2006).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

The Department is extending the time limit for the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on cut–to-length plate from Romania. This review covers the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004.

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''), states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified, the administering authority may extend the 120-day period, following the date of publication of the preliminary results, to issue its final results by an additional 60 days. Due to the complexity of issues raised in this review segment, including the respondent's notification of unreported sales following the Department's preliminary results, and the respondent's withdrawal of its business proprietary versions of all information submitted on the record of this review, the completion of the final results within the 120-day period is not practicable.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the final results of review by an additional 31 days until no later than February 6, 2006.

Dated: December 21, 2005.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–7985 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A–570–831

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China; Initiation of New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 28, 2005.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the ''Department'') has determined that requests for new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (''PRC''), received in September and November 2005, meet the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation. The period of review (''POR'') of these new shipper reviews is November 1, 2004, through October 31, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ryan A. Douglas or Jim Nunno, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1277 and (202) 482–0783, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The notice announcing the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the PRC was published on November 16, 1994. *See Antidumping Duty Order: Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 59209 (November 16, 1994). The Department received five timely requests for a new shipper review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), dated as follows:

Date	Requester
September 30, 2005	Qingdao Camel Trading Co., Ltd.(''Qingdao Camel'')
November 2, 2005	Qingdao Xintianfeng Foods Co., Ltd.(''Qingdao Xintianfeng'')
November 15, 2005	XuZhou Simple Garlic Industry Co., Ltd.(''XuZhou Simple'')
November 29, 2005	Qingdao Saturn International Trade Co., Ltd.(''Qingdao Saturn'')
November 30, 2005	Shandong Longtai Fruits and Vegetables Co., Ltd. (''Longtai'')

Qingdao Xintianfeng, XuZhou Simple, and Longtai certified that they grew and exported the garlic on which they based their requests for a new shipper review. Qingdao Camel certified that Jinxiang County Lufeng Agricultural Production Material Co., Ltd. (''Lufeng'') grew the subject merchandise it exported. Qingdao Saturn certified that Changshan County Taifeng Agricultural By–Products Processing Co., Ltd. (''Taifeng'') grew the subject merchandise that it exported.

Initiation of New Shipper Reviews

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act'') and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Qingdao Camel, Qingdao Saturn, Qingdao Xiantianfeng, Longtai, and XuZhou Simple certified that they did not export fresh garlic to the United States during the period of investigation (''POI''). In addition, Lufang and Taifeng, producers of the subject merchandise, exported by Qingdao Camel and Qingdao Saturn, respectively, provided certifications that they did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii)(B). Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), all companies discussed above certified that, since the initiation of the investigation, they have never been affiliated with any exporter or grower who exported fresh garlic to the United States during the POI, including those not individually examined during the investigation. As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B), these companies also certified that their export activities are not controlled by the central government of the PRC.

In addition to the certifications described above, each exporter submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) the date on which it first shipped fresh garlic for export to the United States and the date on which the fresh garlic was first entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption; (2) the volume of its first shipment and the volume of subsequent shipments; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating five new shipper reviews for shipments of fresh garlic from the PRC:

(1) grown by Lufeng and exported by Qingdao Camel,
(2) grown by Taifeng and exported by Qingdao Saturn,
(3) grown and exported by Qingdao Xiantianfeng,

(4) grown and exported by XuZhou Simple, and

(5) grown and exported by Longtai.

See Memoranda to the File titled, ''New Shipper Initiation Checklist'' for Qingdao Camel, Qingdao Saturn, Qingdao Xiantianfeng, Longtai, and XuZhou Simple, dated December 20, 2005.

The POR is November 1, 2004, through October 31, 2005. *See* 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A). We intend to issue preliminary results of these reviews no later than 180 days from the date of initiation, and final results of these reviews no later than 270 days from the date of initiation. *See* section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

Because Qingdao Xiantianfeng, Longtai, and XuZhou Simple have certified that they grew and exported the fresh garlic on which they based their requests for a new shipper review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (''CBP'') to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of fresh garlic both grown and exported by Qingdao Xiantianfeng, Longtai, and XuZhou Simple, respectively, until the completion of the new shipper review, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act.

With respect to Qingdao Camel and Qingdao Saturn, they have certified that they exported, but did not grow, the subject merchandise on which they based their requests for a new shipper review. Therefore, until completion of these new shipper reviews, we will instruct CBP to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for entries of subject merchandise (1) grown by Lufeng and exported by Qingdao Camel, or (2) grown by Taifeng and exported by Qingdao Saturn. Interested parties that need access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: December 20, 2005.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–7882 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-357-812]

Honey from Argentina: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent Not to Revoke in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests by interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping order on honey from Argentina. The review covers six firms. The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2003, through November 30, 2004.

We preliminarily determine that sales of honey from Argentina have been made below the normal value (NV) in the case of Asociacion de Cooperativas Argentinas (ACA). For Seylinco S.A. (Seylinco), we preliminary find a zero margin. In addition, we have preliminarily determined to rescind the review with respect to Nutrin S.A. (Nutrin), Radix S.A. (Radix), Compania Europea Americana S.A. (CEASA), and HoneyMax S.A. (HoneyMax) because they had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties based on the difference between the export price (EP) and NV. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in these proceedings are requested to submit with the argument: 1) a statement of the issues, 2) a brief summary of the argument, and 3) a table of authorities.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 28, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angela Strom for ACA, Brian Sheba for Seylinco, or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 7866, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-2704, (202) 482-0145, or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 10, 2001, the Department published the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Honey from Argentina*, 66 FR 63672 (December 10, 2001). On December 1, 2004, the Department published its opportunity to request a review. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 69 FR 69889. On December 30, 2004, the petitioners[1] requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina in response to the Department's notice of opportunity to request a review. Petitioners requested that the Department review entries of subject merchandise made by 24 Argentine producers/exporters. In addition, the Department received individual requests for review from four Argentine exporters, three of which were included as part of petitioners' request for review.[2] The Department initiated the review for all 24 companies included in petitioners' request for review plus El Mana S.A. (El Mana), a Argentine exporter of honey. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 4818 (January 31, 2005), *corrected in Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 7143 (February 10, 2005).

On February 22, 2005, petitioners withdrew their request for review with respect to fifteen of the 24 exporters that comprised petitioners' request for administrative review. On March 3, 2005, El Mana, an exporter not included in petitioners' request for review, submitted a withdrawal of its request for administrative review. On March 24, 2005, petitioners and Nexco S.A. (Nexco) submitted a withdrawal of request for administrative review for Nexco. On March 31, 2005, petitioners submitted a withdrawal request for a further two companies. On April 15, 2005, the Department rescinded its administrative review for El Mana and eighteen of the 24 companies in petitioners' December 30, 2004, request for review. *See Honey from Argentina: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 19927 (April 15, 2005).

The following exporters submitted letters claiming no shipments of the subject merchandise during the POR: Nutrin on March 9, 2005; Radix on March 14, 2005; CEASA on March 14,

[1] The petitioners are American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association.

[2] The one Argentine exporter not included in petitioners' request for review was El Mana S.A. (El Mana).