

Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St., NW., 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St., NW., 8th floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202-371-6447. Written or faxed comments should be submitted by January 11, 2006.

**John W. Roberts,**

*Acting Chief, National Register/National Historic Landmarks Program.*

**COLORADO**

**Denver County**

Doyle—Benton House, 1301 Lafayette St., Denver, 05001527

**MASSACHUSETTS**

**Middlesex County**

Charles River Reservation Parkways, (Metropolitan Park System of Greater Boston MPS) Soldiers Field, Nonantum, Leo Birmingham, Arsenal, Greenough, N. Beacon, Charles River, Norumbega, Recreation, Boston, 05001530

Mystic Valley Parkway, Metropolitan Park System of Greater Boston MPS, (Metropolitan Park System of Greater Boston MPS) Mystic Valley Parkway, Arlington, 05001529

**Norfolk County**

West Roxbury Parkway, Metropolitan Park System of Greater Boston, (Metropolitan Park System of Greater Boston MPS) West Roxbury Parkway, Bellevue Hill, E. Border, W. Border Rds., Brookline, 05001528

**NEW YORK**

**Allegany County**

Belmont Grange #1243, 32 Willets Ave., Belmont, 05001533

**Broome County**

Harpursville United Methodist Church, NY 79, Harpursville, 05001532

**Chenango County**

West Hill Cemetery, NY 80, Sherburne, 05001534

**Greene County**

A. T. House, 435 Main St., Oak Hill, 05001538

**Monroe County**

McVean, David, House, 805 North Rd., Scottsville, 05001531  
Wheatland Baptist Cemetery, McGinnis, Belcoda and Harmon Rds., Belcoda, 05001536

**Niagara County**

United Office Building, 220 Rainbow Blvd., Niagara Falls, 05001537

**Sullivan County**

Hart House, 50 Hamilton St., Burlington, 05001535

**NORTH CAROLINA**

**Dare County**

Markham—Albertson—Stinson Cottage, 4300 W. Soundside Rd., Nags Head, 05001544

**OREGON**

**Multnomah County**

Lewthwaite, Alexander and Cornelia, House, 1715 SE Montgomery Dr., Portland, 05001539

Miller, Fred O., House, 2339 NE Thompson St., Portland, 05001540

**TEXAS**

**Dallas County**

1926 Republic National Bank, 1309 Main St., Dallas, 05001543

Purvin—Hexter Building, 2038 Commerce St., Dallas, 05001541

**Franklin County**

Franklin County Courthouse and Jail, 200 N Kaufman St., Mount Vernon, 05001542

**VIRGINIA**

**Fairfax County**

Manassas Battlefield Historic District (Boundary Increase), (Civil War Properties in Prince William County MPS) Address Restricted, Manassas, 05001546

**Richmond Independent City**

Scott House, 909 W. Franklin St., Richmond (Independent City), 05001545

**WEST VIRGINIA**

**Hancock County**

Baker's Fort, WV 2, Newell, 05001547

[FR Doc. E5-7799 Filed 12-23-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-51-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 332-288]

**Ethyl Alcohol for Fuel Use: Determination of the Base Quantity of Imports**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of determination.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 16, 2005.

**SUMMARY:** The United States International Trade Commission (USITC or the Commission) is required to determine annually the U.S. domestic market for fuel ethyl alcohol during the 12-month period ending on the preceding September 30. This determination is to be used to establish the "base quantity" of imports of fuel ethyl alcohol with a zero percent local

feedstock requirement that can be imported from CBERA-beneficiary countries. The base quantity to be used by U.S. Customs and Border Protection in the administration of the law is the greater of 60 million gallons or 7 percent of U.S. consumption, as determined by the Commission. Beyond the base quantity of imports, progressively higher local feedstock requirements are placed on imports of fuel ethyl alcohol and mixtures from the CBERA-beneficiary countries.

For the 12-month period ending September 30, 2005, the Commission has determined the level of U.S. consumption of fuel ethyl alcohol to be 3.83 billion gallons. Seven percent of this amount is 268.1 million gallons (these figures have been rounded). Therefore, the base quantity for 2006 should be 268.1 million gallons.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Douglas Newman (202) 205-3328, *douglas.newman@usitc.gov*, in the Commission's Office of Industries. For information on legal aspects of the investigation contact Mr. William Gearhart, *william.gearhart@usitc.gov*, of the Commission's Office of the General Counsel at (202) 205-3091.

Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting our TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

*Background:* Section 7 of the Steel Trade Liberalization Program Implementation Act of 1989 ("the Act"), as amended (19 U.S.C. 2703 note), which concerns local feedstock requirements for fuel ethyl alcohol imported by the United States from CBERA-beneficiary countries, requires that the Commission determine annually the U.S. domestic market for fuel ethyl alcohol. For purposes of making determinations of the U.S. market for fuel ethyl alcohol, the Commission instituted Investigation No. 332-288, Ethyl Alcohol for Fuel Use: Determination of the Base Quantity of Imports, in March 1990. The Commission uses official statistics of the U.S. Department of Energy to make these determinations, as well as the PIERS database of the Journal of Commerce which is based on U.S. export declarations.

Section 225 of the Customs and Trade Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-382, August 20, 1990) amended the original language set forth in the Steel Trade Liberalization Program Implementation Act of 1989. The amendment requires the Commission to make a determination of the U.S. domestic market for fuel ethyl alcohol for each year after 1989.

Issued: December 21, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E5-7858 Filed 12-23-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

### Request for Comments Concerning the Institution of a Section 751(B) Review Investigation on Polychloroprene Rubber From Japan

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for comments regarding the institution of a section 751(b) review investigation concerning the Commission's affirmative determination in investigation No. AA1921-129, Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission invites comments from the public on whether changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant the institution of an investigation pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)) (the Act) to review the Commission's affirmative determination in investigation No. AA1921-129. The purpose of the proposed review investigation is to determine whether revocation of the existing antidumping finding on imports of polychloroprene rubber from Japan is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)(2)(A)). Polychloroprene rubber is provided for in subheadings 4002.41, 4002.49, and 4003.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 27, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

George Deyman (202-205-3197), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proposed investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background.**—On July 31, 1973, the Treasury Department (Treasury) determined that imports of polychloroprene rubber from Japan are being sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV) within the meaning of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160 *et seq.*) (38 FR 20630, August 2, 1973), and on October 31, 1973, the Commission determined, within the meaning of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended, that an industry in the United States is being, or is likely to be, injured by reason of imports of such LTFV merchandise. Accordingly, Treasury ordered that antidumping duties be imposed on such imports (38 FR 33593, December 6, 1973). On December 8, 1998, the Commerce Department (Commerce) determined that revocation of the antidumping finding on polychloroprene rubber from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping (63 FR 67656, December 8, 1998), and on July 30, 1999, the Commission determined that revocation of the antidumping finding would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (64 FR 41458, July 30, 1999, and 64 FR 42962, August 6, 1999). Accordingly, Commerce ordered that the antidumping finding be continued (64 FR 47765, September 1, 1999). On November 4, 2004, Commerce determined that revocation of the antidumping finding on polychloroprene rubber from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping (69 FR 64276, November 4, 2004), and on July 21, 2005, the Commission determined that revocation of the antidumping finding would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (70 FR 42101, July 21, 2005). Accordingly, Commerce again ordered that the antidumping finding be continued (70 FR 44893, August 4, 2005).

On November 22, 2005, the Commission received a request to review its affirmative determination in investigation No. AA-1921-129 pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)). The request was filed by The Gates Corp. (Gates), Denver, CO. Gates alleges that the October 2005 announcement by the Italian firm Polimeri Europa ("Polimeri"), one of the world's largest producers of polychloroprene rubber, that it was permanently closing its sole manufacturing plant is a fundamental

change that constitutes changed circumstances sufficient to warrant review of the antidumping finding. Specifically, Gates contends that this development "represents a very important change in the status quo," that the loss of a supplier of this magnitude will have a major impact on the availability of supply and conditions of competition of polychloroprene rubber, that continuation of the antidumping finding undermines access to polychloroprene rubber, and that revocation of the antidumping finding is not likely to result in the continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic polychloroprene rubber industry.

**Written comments requested.**—Pursuant to section 207.45(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Commission requests comments concerning whether the alleged changed circumstances, brought about by the closing of Polimeri's sole polychloroprene rubber plant, are sufficient to warrant institution of a review investigation.

**Written submissions.**—Comments must be filed with the Secretary to the Commission no later than 45 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain business proprietary information must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II(C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

Additional written submissions to the Commission, including requests pursuant to section 201.12 of the Commission's rules, shall not be accepted unless good cause is shown for accepting such submissions, or unless the submission is pursuant to a specific request by a Commissioner or Commission staff.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be