

excluding the last three digits, in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact

FERC, Online Support at
FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll

free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Docket No.	Date received	Presenter or requester
Prohibited:		
1. Project No. 382-000	11-7-05	Amy L. Fesnock.
2. Project Nos. 2539-000 and 12522-000	10-31-05	Jude Pinelski ¹ .
Exempt:		
1. CP05-92-000	11-3-05	Hon. Ron LeLeux.
2. Project No. 2216-000	11-2-05	Hon. Brian Higgins.
3. Project Nos. 2602-000 and 2692-000	10-28-05	Hon. Charles H. Taylor.
4. Project No. 10395-000	11-2-05	Hon. Geoff Davis.

¹ One of nineteen postcard "form" submittals in Docket Nos. P-2539-000 and P-12522-000 filed between 10/31/05 and 11/7/05.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-6418 Filed 11-21-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[IN 165-1; FRL-7999-6]

Adequacy Status of Vigo County, IN, 8-Hour Ozone Redesignation and Maintenance Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that EPA has found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the Vigo County, Indiana 8-hour ozone redesignation request and maintenance plan are adequate for conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the DC Circuit Court ruled that submitted State Implementation Plans (SIPs) cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of our finding, Vigo County can use the motor vehicle emissions budgets from the submitted 8-hour ozone redesignation request and maintenance plan for future conformity determinations. These budgets are effective December 7, 2005. The finding and the response to comments will be available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp.htm>, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Rosenthal, Environmental Engineer, Criteria Pollutant Section (AR-18J), Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency,

Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6052, rosenthal.steven@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever "we," "us" or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

Background

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 5 sent a letter to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management on October 25, 2005, stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets for the year 2015, submitted in the Vigo County, Indiana 8-hour ozone redesignation request and maintenance plan, are adequate. This finding has been announced on EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp.htm>, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

We've described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision"). We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination.

Dated: November 10, 2005.

Bharat Mathur,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 05-23091 Filed 11-21-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisition of Shares of Bank or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the office of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than December 6, 2005.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Jacqueline G. King, Community Affairs Officer) 90 Hennepin Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480-0291:

1. *Paul J. Hanisch*, Crosby, North Dakota; to acquire voting shares of Hanisch Bankshares, Ltd., Crosby, North Dakota and thereby indirectly acquire