

distributions of tender proceeds, and any other information that might be requested by the U.S. Government. Directly or through the U.S. Government, CA-PEQ will endeavor to accommodate any information request from the governments of El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua, while protecting confidential information; and will consult with officials of those governments as appropriate.

Miscellaneous Implementing Provisions. CA-PEQ and/or its Members may (i) meet, discuss and provide for an administrative structure to implement the foregoing tariff-rate quota management system, assess its operations and discuss modifications as necessary to improve its workability; (ii) meet, exchange and discuss information regarding the structure and method for implementing the foregoing tariff-rate quota management system; (iii) meet, exchange and discuss the types of information needed regarding the bidding process and distribution of the bid proceeds, that are necessary for implementation of the system; (iv) meet, exchange and discuss information regarding U.S. and foreign government agreements, legislation and regulations affecting the tariff rate quota management system; and (v) otherwise meet, discuss and exchange information as necessary to implement the activities described above and take the necessary action to implement the foregoing tariff-rate quota management system.

Dated: November 7, 2005.

Jeffrey C. Anspacher,
Director, Export Trading Company Affairs.
[FR Doc. E5-6253 Filed 11-10-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

United States Travel and Tourism Advisory Board Meeting

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of an open meeting.

SUMMARY: The United States Travel and Tourism Advisory Board (“Board”) will hold a meeting to discuss topics related to the travel and tourism industry. The meeting will include discussion of the enhanced mandate of the Board, the international advertising and promotion campaign which seeks to encourage individuals to travel to the United States for the express purpose of engaging in tourism, and future issues and

initiatives the Board may pursue. The meeting will be open to the public. Time will be permitted for public comment, which is limited to three minutes per speaker. To sign up for public comment, please contact J. Marc Chittum, U.S. Travel and Tourism Advisory Board, Room 4043, Washington, DC 20230 (Phone: 202-482-1124), *Marc.Chittum@mail.doc.gov*, no later than close of business, Friday, November 25, 2005.

The Board is mandated by Public Law 108-7, Section 210, was initially chartered in 2003, and was re-chartered on September 21, 2005, for a two-year period to end September 20, 2007.

DATE: December 1, 2005.

Time: To be determined.

ADDRESSES: Exact location to be determined, New Orleans, LA. This program will be physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be submitted no later than November 25, 2005, to J. Marc Chittum, U.S. Travel and Tourism Advisory Board, Room 4043, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone 202-482-1124, *Marc.Chittum@mail.doc.gov*. Seating is limited and will be on a first come, first served basis.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: J. Marc Chittum, U.S. Travel and Tourism Advisory Board, Room 4043, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone 202-482-1124, *Marc.Chittum@mail.doc.gov*.

Dated: November 9, 2005.

J. Marc Chittum,
Designated Federal Officer, U.S. Travel and Tourism Board.

[FR Doc. 05-22617 Filed 11-9-05; 1:25 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration, North American Free-Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of decision of panel.

SUMMARY: On November 3, 2005, the binational panel issued its decision in the review of the final determination made by the International Trade Administration, respecting Gray Portland Cement and Clinker from Mexico Final Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Secretariat File

No. USA-MEX-98-1904-02. The binational panel affirmed in part and remanded in part to the International Trade Administration. Copies of the panel decision are available from the U.S. Section of the NAFTA Secretariat.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement (“Agreement”) establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of the final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* (“Rules”). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter has been conducted in accordance with these Rules.

Panel Decision: The Panel remands this case to the Department as follows:

1. Reconsider whether, the evidence in the record supports the conclusion that, Type V cement sold as Type V and Type II cement was not sold in the ordinary course of trade, and provide an explanation recognizing the implications of the economic interrelationship of issues developed in the Seventh Review Remand Determination, the presence or absence of facts on the record regarding promotional quality, and the resulting interaction of all of the factors examined in the reconsideration;

2. If, upon reconsideration, a determination is made which alters the selection of sales for comparison purposes from that made in the original and first remand determination, consider the comparison issues raised by the CDC in conformance with the positions taken by the majority opinions in the Seventh Review Panel; and

3. Reconsider the calculation of the DIFMER allowance on the basis that any positive DIFMER allowance could be considered adverse to CEMEX, that the