

which it, together with its affiliates, is engaged, or if it meets the size standard set forth in paragraph (d)(1), whichever is higher.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: November 8, 2005.

**Hector V. Barreto,**  
*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 05–22570 Filed 11–10–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Economic Development Administration

#### 13 CFR Parts 301 and 304

[Docket No.: 0507–29210–5294–03]

RIN 0610–AA63

#### Economic Development Administration Reauthorization Act of 2004 Implementation; Regulatory Revision

**AGENCY:** Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule; delay of effective date of certain provisions.

**SUMMARY:** On August 11, 2005, the Economic Development Administration (“EDA”) published an interim final rule in the **Federal Register**. On September 30, 2005, EDA published a final rule in the **Federal Register** delaying the effective date of certain provisions of the interim final rule from October 1, 2005 until November 14, 2005. The September 30, 2005 final rule also extended the deadline for submitting public comments on the interim final rule from October 11, 2005 until November 14, 2005. This final rule further delays the effective date of certain provisions of the interim final rule from November 14, 2005 until January 31, 2006. This delay in effective date is necessary to provide additional time for EDA to consider comments received concerning certain provisions of the interim final rule, as well for EDA to address matters pertaining to the effective implementation of the interim final rule. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this final rule have the meanings ascribed to them in the interim final rule.

**DATES:** The effective date of the following provisions of the interim final rule is delayed from November 14, 2005 until January 31, 2006: (i) Section 304.2(c)(2), pertaining to membership of a District Organization’s governing body; and (ii) Section 301.4, as the provisions of this section relate to

Investment Rates for EDA Planning Investments.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hina Shaikh, Attorney Advisor, Office of Chief Counsel, Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce, Room 7005, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4687.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EDA published an interim final rule in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 47002) on August 11, 2005. The interim final rule reflects the amendments made to EDA’s authorizing statute, the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 3121 *et seq.*) (“PWEDA”), by the Economic Development Reauthorization Act of 2004 (Pub. L. 108–373). In addition to tracking the statutory amendments to PWEDA, the interim final rule reflects EDA’s current practices and policies in administering its economic development programs that have evolved since the promulgation of EDA’s former regulations. The interim final rule also provides for a public comment period.

On September 30, 2005, EDA published a final rule in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 57124) delaying the effective date of certain provisions in the interim final rule from October 1, 2005 until November 14, 2005. The September 30, 2005 final rule also extended the deadline for submitting public comments on the interim final rule from October 11, 2005 until November 14, 2005. All other provisions of the interim final rule became effective on October 1, 2005.

This final rule delays the effective date of the provisions specified in the **DATES** section pertaining to EDA’s Planning Investment Rates and District Organizations from November 14, 2005 until January 31, 2006. This delay in effective date is necessary to provide additional time for EDA to consider comments received concerning certain provisions of the interim final rule, as well for EDA to address matters pertaining to the effective implementation of the interim final rule.

#### Classification

Prior notice and opportunity for public comment are not required for rules concerning public property, loans, grants, benefits, and contracts (5 U.S.C. 553(a)(2)). Because prior notice and an opportunity for public comment are not required pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553 or any other law, the analytical requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) are inapplicable. Therefore,

a regulatory flexibility analysis has not been prepared.

#### Executive Order No. 12866

It has been determined that this final rule is not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

#### Congressional Review Act

This final rule is not “major” under the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*).

#### Executive Order No. 13132

Executive Order 13132 requires agencies to develop an accountable process to ensure “meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications.” “Policies that have federalism implications” is defined in Executive Order 13132 to include regulations that have “substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.” It has been determined that this final rule does not contain policies that have federalism implications.

Dated: November 7, 2005.

**Benjamin Erulkar,**  
*Chief Counsel, Economic Development Administration.*

[FR Doc. 05–22546 Filed 11–10–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–24–P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. NM335; Special Conditions No. 25–307–SC]

#### Special Conditions: Cessna Model 650 Airplanes; High-Intensity Radiated Fields (HIRF)

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special conditions; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** These special conditions are issued for Cessna Model 650 airplanes modified by Elliott Aviation Technical Product Development, Inc. These modified airplanes will have a novel or unusual design feature when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes. The modification incorporates the installation of electronic flight display systems manufactured by Universal