

their components abroad or increased imports of those articles during the relevant period.

Information provided by the subject firm revealed that the only articles produced during the relevant period were panels, trim and components, and secondaries. AR 183, 194–195. As such, the Department focused its remand investigation on those articles produced at the subject firm during the relevant period. AR 195–201.

According to the subject firm, all trim and component, secondaries, and panel production at the subject facility had ceased by April 2005 and had shifted to a newly built facility in Jackson, Tennessee. As anticipated by the subject firm (AR 41–42), the production shift began in February 2005 and finished in May 2005. AR 184, 195. Information provided by the subject firm revealed no imports of panels (AR 186), trim and components (AR 187), or secondaries (AR 188). The previously conducted customer survey covered the appropriate products and revealed no increased imports of any products produced by the subject firm. AR 53–56, 67.

In response to the plaintiff's assertion that production had shifted to Mexico, India and China, the company official agreed that a representative of the Mexico plant had visited the subject firm. However, the reason for that visit was related to securing replacement and updated equipment for truss purlin production in Mexico (an article not produced at the subject firm during the relevant period). AR 195. While some production of component parts of these articles did shift to Asia (China), that shift occurred in 2003, which is prior to the relevant period for this petition. Further, those components were not made during the relevant period at the subject firm. AR 184, 195.

Because the remand investigation revealed no imports of articles like or directly competitive with panels, trim and components, secondaries produced by the workers of the subject firm by the subject firm or its customers during the relevant period and no shifts of production of those articles abroad during the relevant period, the statutory requirements of neither Section 222(a)(1) and (2)(a) nor Section 222(a)(1) and (2)(B) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, were met, and the Department cannot certify the subject workers as eligible to apply for TAA. Further, since the workers are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be found eligible to apply for ATAA under Section 246(a)(3)(B)(i) of that law.

### Conclusion

As the result of the findings of the investigation on remand, I affirm the negative determination of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Butler Manufacturing Company, Subsidiary of BlueScope Steel, LTD, Buildings Division, Wall and Roof Panels Production, Galesburg, Illinois (TA–W–56,536); Butler Manufacturing Company, Subsidiary of BlueScope Steel, LTD, Buildings Division, Trim and Components Production, Galesburg, Illinois (TA–W–56,536A); and Butler Manufacturing Company, Subsidiary of BlueScope Steel, LTD, Buildings Division, Secondaries Production, Galesburg, Illinois (TA–W–56,536B).

Signed at Washington, DC this 1st day of November 2005.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 05–22322 Filed 11–8–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–30–U**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA–W) number issued during the periods of October 2005.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for directly-impacted (primary) worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such

workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign county of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as an adversely affected secondary group to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) Either—

(A) The workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) A loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed

importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

#### Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W 57,866; *Consolidated Metco, Inc., Clackamas, OR.*

TA-W 57,867; *Capital City Press, Inc., Barre, VT.*

TA-W 57,914; *Honeywell, Columbia, SC.*

TA-W 57,930; *Cabinet Industries, Inc., Danville, PA.*

TA-W 57,945; *PolyVision Corporation, Clymer, PA.*

TA-W 57,945A; *PolyVision Corporation, Dixonville, PA.*

TA-W 58,023; *Fairchild Semiconductor, West Jordan, UT.*

TA-W 58,087; *Bucillo Corp., Hazleton, PA.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W 57,934; *Arkay Plastics Illinois, Inc., Div. of Arkay Industries, Inc., Paris, IL.*

TA-W 57,982; *Powder Processing and Technology, LLC, Valparaiso, IN.*

TA-W 58,013; *Spectrum Yarns, Inc., Kings Mountain, NC.*

TA-W 58,024; *Black Hawk Products Group, Hayesville, NC.*

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A)(I.A) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A) (no employment decline) has not been met.

TA-W 57,865; *IBM Global Services, Subsidiary of IBM Corp., Boulder, CO.*

TA-W 57,903; *Hewlett Packard, Design Delivery Organization (DDO), San Diego, CA.*

TA-W 57,943; *Henredon Furniture Industries, Inc., Morganton, NC.*

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W 57,890; *Pillowtex Corporation, Kannapolis, NC.*

TA-W 57,890A; *Pillowtex Corporation, Richardson, TX.*

TA-W 57,940; *Ryder Integrated Logistics, Webster, NY.*

TA-W 57,988; *Express Point Technology Services, Inc., Golden Valley, MN.*

TA-W 58,028; *Cat Logistics, Portland, TN.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (Increased imports and (a)(2)(B)(II.C) (has shifted production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W 57,917; *Ultra Clean Technology, Menlo Park, CA.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. The workers firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier or downstream producer to trade-affected companies.

#### None

#### Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a)(2)(A) (increased imports) of Section 222 have been met.

TA-W 57,894; *New Fortune Garment Manufacturing Co., Oakland, CA: August 31, 2004.*

TA-W 58,050; *Masterbuilt Manufacturing, Inc., Columbus, GA: September 8, 2004.*

TA-W 57,878; *Solutia, Inc., Decatur, AL: September 23, 2005.*

TA-W 57,886; *LSM Manufacturing Co., Inc., Waterbury, CT: September 2, 2004.*

TA-W 57,898; *BESI, Inc., East Div., Vevay, IN: September 6, 2004.*

TA-W 57,899; *Janf. Inc., t/a Mayflower t/a Alperin, Old Forge, PA: September 7, 2004.*

TA-W 57,918; *Williams Wood Carving, Inc., Hickory, NC: September 7, 2004.*

TA-W 57,921; *Mohawk Home, Div. of Mohawk Industries, Inc., Bentonville, AR: September 9, 2004.*

TA-W 57,924; *Nepera, Div. of Rutherford Chemical, Harriman, NY: September 9, 2004.*

TA-W 57,935; *Jeff Hamilton Collections, Los Angeles, CA: September 1, 2004.*

TA-W 57,949; *C&W Hosiery, Inc., Ft. Payne, AL: September 12, 2004.*

TA-W 57,951; *Laymon Hughes Hos., LLC, Ft. Payne, AL: September 12, 2004.*

TA-W 57,964; *Corlett-Turner Company, Zeeland, MI: September 14, 2004.*

TA-W 57,990; *Sun Look Garment, Inc., San Francisco, CA: September 20, 2004.*

TA-W 57,998; *Allied Industries Co., dba Duralux, Beulaville, NC: September 12, 2004.*

TA-W 58,026; *Premier Fibers, Asheboro, NC: September 23, 2004.*

TA-W 58030; *Holt Hosiery Mills, Finishing, Burlington, NC: September 9, 2004.*

TA-W 58039; *Liberty Fibers Corporation, Subsidiary of Silva Holdings, Inc., Lowland, TN: September 27, 2004.*

TA-W 58122; *Select Staffing, Inc., Tiercon Industries, Caro, MI: September 27, 2004.*

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of Section 222 have been met.

TA-W 57,915; *ICU Medical, Vernon, CT: August 29, 2004.*

TA-W 57,958; *Sanmina-SCI, Bothell Ultrasound Div., Bothell, WA: September 12, 2004.*

TA-W 57,962; *Steelcase, Inc. North America Steel—Grand Rapids Div., Grand Rapids, MI: October 15, 2005.*

TA-W 57,978; *B.A.G. Corp., Div. of Super Sack Bag, Inc., Savoy, TX: September 15, 2004.*

TA-W 57,979; *Eaton Corp., EAMD Marshall Annex Marshall, MI: September 16, 2004.*

TA-W 57,993; *Solectron USA, Inc., (formerly known as SHINEI USA), Hillsboro, OR: 6/18, 2005.*

TA-W 57,996; *Beverage Air, Div. of Carrier Corporation, Spartanburg, SC: September 20, 2004.*

TA-W 58,016; *Child Craft Industries, Inc., New Salisbury, IN: September 12, 2004.*

TA-W 58,042; *A.D.H. Manufacturing Corp., Etowah, TN: September 26, 2004.*

TA-W 58,052; *Suez Energy Generation North America, Trigen Biopower Greenwood Div., Hodges, SC: September 26, 2004.*

TA-W 58,057; *Emerson Motor Technologies, Industrial Motor Div., Kennett, MO: September 30, 2004.*

TA-W 58,064; *VF Jeanswear Limited Partnership, Subsidiary of VF Corporation, Greensboro, NC: October 15, 2004.*

TA-W 58,088; *Twin Rivers Technologies, Inc., Subsidiary of Twin Rivers Technology, LP, Painesville, OH: October 6, 2004.*

TA-W 58,093; *Tenneco Automotive, Monroe Div., Hartwell, GA: October 7, 2004.*

The following certification has been issued. The requirement of supplier to a trade certified firm has been met.

TA-W 57,953; *Ocean Breeze Manufacturing, Vernon, CA: September 13, 2004.*

TA-W 58,054; *Arca Knitting, Inc., Hialeah, FL: September 29, 2004.*

The following certification has been issued. The requirement of downstream

producer to a trade certified firm has been met.

#### None

#### Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

The Department has determined that criterion (2) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm possess skills that are easily transferable.

*TA-W 57,958; Sanmina-SCI, Bothell Ultrasound Div., Bothell, WA.*

The Department has determined that criterion (1) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm are 50 years of age or older.

#### None

Since the workers are denied eligibility to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

*TA-W 57,943; Henredon Furniture Industries, Inc., Morganton, NC.*  
*TA-W 57,934; Arkay Plastics Illinois, Inc., Paris, IL.*  
*TA-W 58,013; Spectrum Yarns, Inc., Kings Mountain, NC.*  
*TA-W 57,866; Consolidated Metco, Inc., Clackamas, OR.*  
*TA-W 57,914; Honeywell, Columbia, SC.*  
*TA-W 57,930; Cabinet Industries, Inc., Danville, PA.*  
*TA-W 57,945; PolyVision Corporation, Clymer, PA.*  
*TA-W 57,945A; PolyVision Corporation, Dixonville, PA.*  
*TA-W 58,023; Fairchild Semiconductor, West Jordan, UT.*  
*TA-W 58,087; Bucillo Corp., Hazleton, PA.*  
*TA-W 57,867; Capital City Press, Inc., Barre, VT.*  
*TA-W 57,917; Ultra Clean Technology, Menlo Park, CA.*  
*TA-W 58,024; Black Hawk Products Group, Hayesville, NC.*  
*TA-W 57,890; Pillowtex Corporation, Kannapolis, NC.*  
*TA-W 57,890A; Pillowtex Corporation, Richardson, TX.*  
*TA-W 57,988; Express Point Technology Services, Inc., Golden Valley, MN.*  
*TA-W 58,028; Cat Logistics, Portland, TN.*

The Department has determined that criterion (3) of Section 246 has not been

met. Competition conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse.

#### None

#### Affirmative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determinations.

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(ii) have been met.

I. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

II. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

III. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (i.e., conditions within the industry are adverse).

*TA-W 57,878; Solutia, Inc., Decatur, AL: September 23, 2005.*  
*TA-W 57,886; LSM Manufacturing Co., Inc., Waterbury, CT: September 2, 2004.*  
*TA-W 57,898; BESI, Inc., East Div., Vevay, IN: September 6, 2004.*  
*TA-W 57,899; Janef, Inc., t/a Mayflower t/a Alperin, Old Forge, PA: October 4, 2005.*  
*TA-W 57,915; ICU Medical, Vernon, CT: August 29, 2004.*  
*TA-W 57,918; Williams Wood Carving, Inc., Hickory, NC: September 7, 2004.*  
*TA-W 57,921; Mohawk Home, Div. of Mohawk Industries, Inc., Bentonville, AR: September 9, 2004.*  
*TA-W 57,924; Nepera, Div. of Rutherford Chemical, Harriman, NY: September 9, 2004.*  
*TA-W 57,935; Jeff Hamilton Collections, Los Angeles, CA: September 1, 2004.*  
*TA-W 57,949; C&W Hosiery, Inc., Ft. Payne, AL: September 12, 2004.*  
*TA-W 57,951; Laymon Hughes Hos., LLC, Ft. Payne, AL: September 12, 2004.*  
*TA-W 57,962; Steelcase, Inc., North America Steel—Grand Rapids Div., Grand Rapids, MI: October 15, 2005.*  
*TA-W 57,964; Corlett-Turner Company, Zeeland, MI: September 14, 2004.*  
*TA-W 57,978; B.A.G. Corp., Div. of Super Sack Bag, Inc., Savoy, TX: September 15, 2004.*

*TA-W 57,979; Eaton Corp., EAMD Marshall Annex, Marshall, MI: September 16, 2004.*

*TA-W 57,990; Sun Look Garment, Inc., San Francisco, CA: September 20, 2004.*

*TA-W 57,993; Solectron USA, Inc., (formerly known as SHINEI USA), Hillsboro, OR: June 18, 2005.*

*TA-W 57,996; Beverage Air, Div. of Carrier Corporation, Spartanburg, SC: September 20, 2004.*

*TA-W 57,998; Allied Industries Co., dba Duralux, Beulaville, NC: September 12, 2004.*

*TA-W 58,016; Child Craft Industries, Inc., New Salisbury, IN: September 12, 2004.*

*TA-W 58,026; Premiere Fibers, Asheboro, NC: September 23, 2004.*

*TA-W 58,030; Holt Hosiery Mills, Finishing, Burlington, NC: September 9, 2004.*

*TA-W 58,039; Liberty Fibers Corporation, Subsidiary of Silva Holdings, Inc., Lowland, TN: September 27, 2004.*

*TA-W 58,042; A.D.H. Manufacturing Corp., Etowah, TN: September 26, 2004.*

*TA-W 58,052; Suez Energy Generation North America, Trigen Biopower Greenwood Div., Hodges, SC: September 26, 2004.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of October 2005. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: November 2, 2005.

**Erica R. Cantor,**

*Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 05-22325 Filed 11-8-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**