

The Deputy Administrator further orders that aggregate production quotas for all other Schedules I and II controlled substances included in §§ 1308.11 and 1308.12 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations remain at zero.

The Office of Management and Budget has determined that notices of aggregate production quotas are not subject to centralized review under Executive Order 12866.

This action does not preempt or modify any provision of state law; nor does it impose enforcement responsibilities on any state; nor does it diminish the power of any state to enforce its own laws. Accordingly, this action does not have federalism implications warranting the application of Executive Order 13132.

The Deputy Administrator hereby certifies that this action will have no significant impact upon small entities whose interests must be considered under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.* The establishment of aggregate production quotas for Schedules I and II controlled substances is mandated by law and by international treaty obligations. The quotas are necessary to provide for the estimated medical, scientific, research and industrial needs of the United States, for export requirements and the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks. While aggregate production quotas are of primary importance to large manufacturers, their impact upon small entities is neither negative nor beneficial. Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator has determined that this action does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

This action meets the applicable standards set forth in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988 Civil Justice Reform.

This action will not result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$117,000,000 or more in any one year, and will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions were deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

This action is not a major rule as defined by section 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. This action will not result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100,000,000 or more; a major increase in costs or prices; or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based

companies to compete with foreign-based companies in domestic and export markets.

Dated: November 3, 2005.

**Michele M. Leonhart,**  
Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 05-22287 Filed 11-8-05; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review 2006 Census of Adult Parole Supervising Agencies.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 70, Number 161, page 48981 on August 22, 2005, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until December 9, 2005. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information,

including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* 2006 Census of Adult Parole Supervising Agencies.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form: CJ-36. Corrections Statistics, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary:* State Departments of Corrections or State Parole authority. *Others:* The Federal Bureau of Prisons. For the CJ-36 form, 54 central reporters (two State jurisdictions in California and one each from the remaining States, the District of Columbia, the Federal Bureau of Prisons, and one local authority) responsible for keeping records on parolees will be asked to provide information for the following categories:

(a) Whether the parole agency is located within the executive or judicial branch of government, whether it is a private organization under contract to a government agency; and whether the agency is administered by the Department of Corrections, a court, an independent agency or another parole agency;

(b) As of June 30, 2006, the number of adult parolees under their jurisdiction;

(c) As of June 30, 2006, the number of adult parolees under their jurisdiction who were supervised following a discretionary release, a mandatory release, a special conditional release, or other type of release from prison;

(d) Whether the adult parole supervising agency also supervises either adult probationers or juveniles on probation or parole/aftercare, and the

number of each under supervision on June 30, 2006;

(e) Whether the adult parole supervising agency conducts prison release hearings; and between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006, the number of prisoners considered for release and the number of prisoners released;

(f) Whether the adult parole supervising agency sets the terms/ conditions of adult parole supervision and, if not, who does;

(g) Between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006, the number of adult parole revocation hearings conducted by the adult parole supervising agency; or who has responsibility for conducting adult parole revocation hearings;

(h) On June 30, 2006, the number of adult parolees under their jurisdiction who were active, inactive, absconders, or supervised out of state;

(i) On June 30, 2006, the number of parolees required to have face-to-face contact with a parole officer at least once per week, once per month, and less than once per month; the number of parolees no longer required to report on a regular basis; and the number of parolees released from prison for whom a reporting frequency had not been determined;

(j) On June 30, 2006, the number of full-time and part-time payroll staff, nonpayroll staff, and contract staff employed by the agency;

(k) On June 30, 2006, the number of full-time and part-time male and female staff employed by the agency;

(l) On June 30, 2006, the number of full-time equivalent staff who directly supervised adults who were active on parole;

(m) Between July 1, 2005 and June 30, 2006, the number of parolees returned to incarceration because of a drug violation;

(n) As of June 30, 2006, whether any parolees were enrolled in a drug treatment program; and the number in a drug treatment program run by a formally trained drug treatment professional, and the number in a self-help or drug awareness program;

(o) As of June 30, 2006, the number of parolees enrolled in a sex offender treatment program;

(p) As of June 30, 2006, the number of parolees enrolled in a mental health treatment program run by a formally trained mental health professional;

(q) Whether on June 30, 2006, the parole agency had a program that provided assistance to adult parolees in obtaining housing, and the type of program;

(r) Whether on June 30, 2006, the parole agency had a program that provided assistance to adult parolees in

obtaining employment, and the type of program;

(s) On June 30, 2006, the number of separate offices in the parole agency;

(t) The number of adult parolees under supervision at the headquarters office on June 30, 2006;

(u) The name of any regional or district office with which the headquarters office is co-located;

(v) As of June 30, 2006, the number of adult parolees under supervision at each regional or district office (including field offices located within that administrative unit); and

(w) As of June 30, 2006, the number of field offices located within each of the regional or district offices which supervised adult parolees.

The Bureau of Justice Statistics uses this information in published reports and for the U.S. Congress, Executive Office of the President, practitioners, researchers, students, the media, and others interested in criminal justice statistics.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that approximately 54 respondents will each take an average of 3 hours to respond.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* It is estimated that there will be 162 hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Brenda E. Dyer, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 3, 2005.

**Brenda E. Dyer,**

*Department Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 05-22272 Filed 11-8-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4418-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 60-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Financial Status Report (Short Form).

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Office of the Comptroller (OC), has submitted the following information collection

request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until January 9, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have suggestions or comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, please contact Cynthia J. Schwimer, Comptroller (202) 307-0623, Office of the Comptroller, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20531.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information:

(1) *Type of information Collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

(2) *The title of the Form/Collection:* Financial Status Report (Short Form).

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form number: none; Office of the Comptroller, Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: The form is completed by State, Local and Tribal Governments, who were awarded grants by the Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, and other cross